

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 4915-05  
Bill No.: Perfected SS#2 for SB 552  
Subject: Criminal Procedure; Crimes and Punishment; Courts; Auditor, State; Victims of Crime; Public Officers; Lobbying  
Type: Original  
Date: March 29, 2018

---

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions related to official misconduct.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2019</b>	<b>FY 2020</b>	<b>FY 2021</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2019</b>	<b>FY 2020</b>	<b>FY 2021</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2019</b>	<b>FY 2020</b>	<b>FY 2021</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2019</b>	<b>FY 2020</b>	<b>FY 2021</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2019</b>	<b>FY 2020</b>	<b>FY 2021</b>
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

Officials at the **Office of State Auditor** assume no fiscal impact from this proposal. Any impact may be absorbed through current appropriations.

In response to a previous version, officials at the **Missouri Ethics Commission (MEC)** assumed no fiscal impact from this proposal. However, should there be a significant increase in violations, the MEC may seek additional appropriations in future budget requests.

Officials at the **Office of the Attorney General (AGO)** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if there is a significant increase in litigation.

Officials at the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assume there may be some impact but there is no way to quantify that currently. Any significant changes will be reflected in future budget requests.

Officials at the **Department of Corrections** and the **Office of Prosecution Services** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

In response to a previous version, officials at the **Office of the Secretary of State** and the **Department of Public Safety's Missouri Highway Patrol** each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

Officials at the **City of Kansas City**, the **Joplin Police Department**, the **Jackson County Board of Election Commission** and the **Kansas City Board of Election Commission** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective entities from this proposal.

In response to a previous version, officials at **Boone County**, the **Boone County Sheriff's Department**, the **St. Louis County Police Department**, the **Springfield Police Department**, the **St. Louis County Board of Election Commissioners**, the **Platte County Board of Elections** and the **St. Louis County Department of Justice Services** each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective entities from this proposal.

Officials at the **Greene County Sheriff's Department** assume an unknown impact for this proposal.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

In response to a previous version, officials at the **Callaway County Commission** assumed an unknown impact for this proposal.

**Oversight** inquired the Callaway County Commission to provide a further explanation to their response and it could not be provided at this time. Oversight assumes this proposal allows law enforcement agencies and prosecutors to request an audit by the State Auditor, modifies the punishment for violating lobbying and conflict of interest provisions and the offense of official misconduct and would have no direct fiscal impact.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Callaway County Commission  
Office of State Auditor  
Office of the Attorney General  
Office of the State Courts Administrator  
Missouri Ethics Commission  
Office of the Secretary of State  
Department of Public Safety  
    Missouri Highway Patrol  
Department of Corrections  
Office of Prosecution Services  
Boone County  
City of Kansas City  
Boone County Sheriff's Department  
St. Louis County Police Department  
Springfield Police Department  
Joplin Police Department  
St. Louis County Board of Election Commission  
Jackson County Board of Election Commission  
Platte County Board of Election Commission  
Kansas City Board of Election Commission  
St. Louis County Department of Justice Services  
Greene County Sheriff's Department

Ross Strobe



Acting Director  
March 29, 2018