

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 5278-01
Bill No.: HB 1558
Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Sexual Offenses
Type: Original
Date: January 5, 2018

Bill Summary: This proposal creates the offense of nonconsensual dissemination of private sexual images.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
General Revenue	(\$15,515)	(\$37,981)	(\$58,111)
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	(\$15,515)	(\$37,981)	(\$58,111)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol** assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state HB 1558 creates a new class D, non-violent offense, creating a new section to RSMo 573. No similar charge has previously existed, therefore, estimates were completed using an algorithm that calculates projections for similar conditions in the past.

We expect an increase in population of 8 the first year, with 3 going to prison and 5 to probation. In the second year a total increase of 16, with 6 going to prison and 10 sentenced to probation. In the fifth year and every year thereafter, we expect an increase of 30 to the total DOC population, with 9 sentenced to prison and 21 sentenced to probation. For incarcerated individuals charged with a nonviolent D Felony, the average sentence is 5 years with 3 in prison (including parole violators) and 2 on parole. For probationers the average sentence is 3 years, as the offense is expected to be eligible for earned compliance discharge credits.

If this impact statement has changed from statements submitted in previous years, it is because the Department of Corrections (DOC) has changed the way probation and parole daily costs are calculated to more accurately reflect the way the Division of Probation and Parole is staffed across the entire state.

In December 2017, the DOC reevaluated the calculation used for computing the Probation and Parole average daily cost of supervision and revised the cost calculation to be used for 2018 fiscal notes. The new calculation estimates the increase/decrease in caseloads at each Probation and Parole district due to the proposed legislative change. For the purposes of fiscal note calculations, the DOC averaged district caseloads across the state and came up with an average caseload of 51 offender cases per officer. The new calculation assumes that an increase/decrease of 51 cases in a district would result in a change in costs/cost avoidance equal to the cost of one FTE staff person in the district. Increases/decreases smaller than 51 offenders are assumed to be absorbable.

In instances where the proposed legislation would only affect a specific caseload, such as sex offenders, the DOC will use the average caseload figure for that specific type of offender to calculate cost increases/decreases.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

The DOC cost of incarceration is \$17,003 per day or an annual cost of \$6,206 per offender. The DOC cost of probation or parole is determined by the number of P&P Officer II positions that would be needed to cover the new caseload.

The DOC would assume this legislation will result in long term cost as indicated in the chart below.

	# to prison	Cost per year	Total Costs for prison	# to probation & parole	Cost per year	Total cost for probation and parole	Grand Total - Prison and Probation (includes and 2% inflation)
Year 1	3	(\$6,206)	(\$15,515)	5	absorbed	\$0	(\$15,515)
Year 2	6	(\$6,206)	(\$37,981)	10	absorbed	\$0	(\$37,981)
Year 3	9	(\$6,206)	(\$58,111)	15	absorbed	\$0	(\$58,111)
Year 4	9	(\$6,206)	(\$59,273)	18	absorbed	\$0	(\$59,273)
Year 5	9	(\$6,206)	(\$60,458)	21	absorbed	\$0	(\$60,458)
Year 6	9	(\$6,206)	(\$61,667)	21	absorbed	\$0	(\$61,667)
Year 7	9	(\$6,206)	(\$62,901)	21	absorbed	\$0	(\$62,901)
Year 8	9	(\$6,206)	(\$64,159)	21	absorbed	\$0	(\$64,159)
Year 9	9	(\$6,206)	(\$65,442)	21	absorbed	\$0	(\$65,442)
Year 10	9	(\$6,206)	(\$66,751)	21	absorbed	\$0	(\$66,751)

For the purpose of this proposed legislation, officials from the **Office of State Public Defender (SPD)** cannot assume that existing staff will provide effective representation for any new cases arising where indigent persons are charged with the proposed new crime of nonconsensual dissemination of private sexual images, a new class D felony.

While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide effective representation in all cases where the right to counsel attaches.

Oversight assumes the SPD can absorb the additional caseload that may result from this proposal.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services (OPS)** assume the proposal would not have a measurable fiscal impact on their agency. The creation of a new crime creates additional

ASSUMPTION (continued)

responsibilities for county prosecutors which may, in turn, result in additional costs which are difficult to determine.

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** state there may be some impact, but there is no way to quantify that currently. Any significant changes will be reflected in future budget requests.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
---	---------------------	---------	---------

GENERAL REVENUE

<u>Costs - DOC - increased incarceration costs for nonconsensual dissemination of private sexual images</u>	<u>(\$15,515)</u>	<u>(\$37,981)</u>	<u>(\$58,111)</u>
---	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>(\$15,515)</u>	<u>(\$37,981)</u>	<u>(\$58,111)</u>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill creates the offense of nonconsensual dissemination of private sexual images, which is a class D felony. A person commits such offense when he or she: intentionally disseminates an image of another person fitting criteria specified in the bill; obtains the image under circumstances in which a reasonable person would know or understand that the image was to remain private; and knows or should have known that the person in the image has not consented to the dissemination. The bill specifies certain exceptions to the offense.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION (continued)

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Public Defender
Department of Corrections
Office of the State Courts Administrator

Ross Strope



Acting Director
January 5, 2018