COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 5278-02

Bill No.: SCS for HB 1558

Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Sexual Offenses

Type: Original Date: May 4, 2018

Bill Summary: This proposal creates offenses regarding nonconsensual dissemination of

private sexual images.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND										
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021							
General Revenue	(\$20,687)	(\$50,641)	(\$71,024)							
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	(\$20,687)	(\$50,641)	(\$71,024)							

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS										
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021							
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0							

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 8 pages.

L.R. No. 5278-02

Bill No. SCS for HB 1558

Page 2 of 8 May 4, 2018

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS										
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021							
Total Estimated Net Effect on All										
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0							

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)										
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021							
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0							

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS									
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021						
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0						

L.R. No. 5278-02 Bill No. SCS for HB 1558 Page 3 of 8

May 4, 2018

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol** assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state this legislation creates a new section to RSMo 573. No similar charge has previously existed, the expected outcome is difficult to assess. We estimate using an algorithm that calculates projections for similar conditions in the past and the standard response for a new class D nonviolent felony is as follows:

Nonviolent Class D

	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028
New										
Admissions	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Probations	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Cumulative Populations										
Prison	3.0	6.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0
Parole				3.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
Probation	5.0	10.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Impact										
Prison Population	3.0	6.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0
Field Population	5.0	10.0	15.0	18.0	21.0	21.0	21.0	21.0	21.0	21.0
Population Change	8.0	16.0	24.0	27.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0

The proposed offense is classified as nonviolent, but if the sexual images were of children, the department could consider this a sex and child abuse offense, as is the offense of child pornography is also in chapter 573. Sex and child abuse offenses have a longer prison time served and may require the completion of the Missouri Sex Offender Program.

For individuals charged with a nonviolent D Felony, the average sentence is 5 years with 3 years incarceration (including parole violators) and 2 years on parole. For probationers the average term is 3 years, as the offense is expected to be eligible for earned compliance discharge credits. We expect an increase in population of 8 in the first year, with 3 going to prison and 5 to probation. There are not enough increases expected to the probation population to warrant a new caseload requiring additional probation and parole officers.

L.R. No. 5278-02

Bill No. SCS for HB 1558

Page 4 of 8 May 4, 2018

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

This bill also adds the additional offense of threatening to disseminate private sexual images. Since this is also a new charge and there is little data to review, the standard response for a new class E felony is as follows:

	FYZD19	FY2028	FY2621	FY 2022	LA 2 2 2 3	FY2024	742025	TV 2026	TV2027	F¥2028
New										
Admitsions	!	1	5	;	1	1	1	1	1	7
Probations	,	,	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Consulative Populations										
Rigge	<u>1</u>	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Releases to Supervision.	×.		1	Ĺ	1	1	1	1	1	1
#regation	2		6	6	5	- 5	5	- 5	5	ϵ
Impact										
Prison Population	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Reid Population	2	1	12	2	2	4		1	7	1
Population Change	3	6	9	9	7	3	9	9	9	9
P&P Officers +/-	3	3	6	6	9	1)	ı)	ı)·	13	le le

The average sentence for a new class E felony is 3.4 years. In the first year, we expect an increase of 1 to the institutional population and an increase of 2 to the field population. This is not significant enough to require an additional probation and parole officer.

The combined impact of these two offenses is as follows:

11/1	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY 2024	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028
New										
Admissions	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Probation s	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Cumulative Populations										
Prison	4	8	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
Parole			1	4	7	7	7	7	7	7
Probation	7	14	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
Impact										
Prison Population	4	8	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
Field Population	7	14	22	25	28	28	28	28	28	28
Population Change	11	32	33	33	39	39	39	39	39	39

The average sentence is still 3.4 years. We expect the incarcerated population to increase by 4 the first year, and by 8 the second year. The largest influence at four years still does not reach the need for a new probation and parole officer.

L.R. No. 5278-02 Bill No. SCS for HB 1558 Page 5 of 8 May 4, 2018

ASSUMPTION (continued)

If this impact statement has changed from statements submitted in previous years, it is because the Department of Corrections (DOC) has changed the way probation and parole daily costs are calculated to more accurately reflect the way the Division of Probation and Parole is staffed across the entire state.

In December 2017, the DOC reevaluated the calculation used for computing the Probation and Parole average daily cost of supervision and revised the cost calculation to be used for 2018 fiscal notes. The new calculation estimates the increase/decrease in caseloads at each Probation and Parole district due to the proposed legislative change. For the purposes of fiscal note calculations, the DOC averaged district caseloads across the state and came up with an average caseload of 51 offender cases per officer. The new calculation assumes that an increase/decrease of 51 cases in a district would result in a change in costs/cost avoidance equal to the cost of one FTE staff person in the district. Increases/decreases smaller than 51 offenders are assumed to be absorbable.

In instances where the proposed legislation would only affect a specific caseload, such as sex offenders, the DOC will use the average caseload figure for that specific type of offender to calculate cost increases/decreases.

The DOC cost of incarceration is \$17.003 per day or an annual cost of \$6,206 per offender. The DOC cost of probation or parole is determined by the number of P&P Officer II positions that would be needed to cover the new caseload.

The DOC would assume this legislation will result in long term cost as indicated in the chart.

	# to prison	Cost per year	Total Costs for prison	# to probation & parole	Cost per year	Total cost for probation and parole	Grand Total - Prison and Probation (includes and 2% inflation
Year 1	4	(\$6,206)	(\$20,687)	7	absorbed	\$0	(\$20,687)
Year 2	8	(\$6,206)	(\$50,641)	14	absorbed	\$0	(\$50,641)
Year 3	11	(\$6,206)	(\$71,024)	22	absorbed	\$0	(\$71,024)
Year 4	11	(\$6,206)	(\$72,444)	25	absorbed	\$0	(\$72,444)
Year 5	11	(\$6,206)	(\$73,893)	28	absorbed	\$0	(\$73,893)

L.R. No. 5278-02 Bill No. SCS for HB 1558 Page 6 of 8 May 4, 2018

ASSUMPTION (continued)

For the purpose of this proposed legislation, officials from the **Office of State Public Defender** (**SPD**) cannot assume that existing staff will provide effective representation for any new cases arising where indigent persons are charged with the proposed new crime of nonconsensual dissemination of private sexual images, a new class D felony; or threatening non consensual dissemination of private sexual images, a new Class E felony.

While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide effective representation in all cases where the right to counsel attaches.

Oversight assumes the SPD can absorb the additional caseload that may result from this proposal.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services (OPS)** assume the proposal would not have a measurable fiscal impact on their agency. The creation of a new crime creates additional responsibilities for county prosecutors which may, in turn, result in additional costs which are difficult to determine.

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** state there may be some impact, but there is no way to quantify that currently. Any significant changes will be reflected in future budget requests.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
GENERAL REVENUE			
Costs - DOC - increased incarceration costs for nonconsensual dissemination of private sexual images and/or threatening the nonconsensual dissemination of private sexual images	(\$20,687)	<u>(\$50,641)</u>	(\$71,024)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(\$20.687)	(\$50.641)	(\$71,024)

L.R. No. 5278-02 Bill No. SCS for HB 1558 Page 7 of 8 May 4, 2018

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill creates the offense of nonconsensual dissemination of private sexual images, which is a class D felony. A person commits such offense when he or she: intentionally disseminates an image of another person fitting criteria specified in the bill; obtains the image under circumstances in which a reasonable person would know or understand that the image was to remain private; and knows or should have known that the person in the image has not consented to the dissemination. The bill specifies certain exceptions to the offense.

The bill also creates the offense of threatening the nonconsensual dissemination of private sexual images, a new class E felony.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol Office of Prosecution Services Office of the State Public Defender Department of Corrections Office of the State Courts Administrator

Ross Strope

Acting Director

Com A Date

RS:LR:OD

L.R. No. 5278-02 Bill No. SCS for HB 1558 Page 8 of 8 May 4, 2018

May 4, 2018