

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 5750-03
Bill No.: Perfected SCS for SB 892
Subject: Retirement - Local Government; Retirement Systems and Benefits - General;
Attorneys; County Officials; Counties
Type: Original
Date: March 1, 2018

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions of the retirement system for prosecuting and circuit attorneys.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement (JCPER)** state the proposal may constitute a substantial proposed change in future plan benefits as defined in Section 105.660(10), RSMo. It is impossible to accurately determine the fiscal impact of this proposed legislation without an actuarial cost statement prepared in accordance with 105.665, RSMo.

Pursuant to section 105.670, an actuarial cost statement must be filed with the Chief Clerk of the Missouri House of Representatives, the Secretary of the Senate, and the Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement as public information for at least five legislative days prior to final passage of the bill. An actuarial cost statement for this legislation has not been filed with the JCPER.

PACARS Current System Status: (as of July 1, 2016)

Market Value:	\$37,851,019	Funded Ratio:83.9%
Actuarial Value:	\$37,851,019	Funded Ratio: 83.9%
Liabilities:	\$45,074,928	

Recommended contribution for 2016/2017: \$2,037,365

Anticipated contribution for 2016/2017:

Expected Monthly County Contribution	\$ 860,030
Expected \$4 Surcharge Contribution	\$1,248,337
Interest Credit	<u>\$ 72,545</u>
Total Anticipated 2016 Contribution	\$2,180,912

Current Monthly County Contribution:

1st Class Counties	\$646
2nd Class Counties	\$271
3rd Class Counties	\$187
4th Class Counties	\$187

Covered Payroll: \$9,910,390

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Prosecuting and Circuit Attorney's Retirement System (PACARS)** have reviewed the bill and, based on that review, state we are of the view that the bill was intended by the drafters to be at least revenue neutral, and, possibly, to improve the future fiscal health of the system, as compared to the existing statutory provisions.

There are provisions in the bill which correlate the way in which creditable service is accumulated more closely with the way the retirement benefits are calculated, so as to make the contributions to the system more closely relate to the expected retirement payments. Also, the bill requires new full time prosecutors to contribute to their retirement funds, in a manner similar to other retirement systems.

The bill also addresses certain issues presented by the current language of Sections 56.800 et seq. For example, the current language now allows members who qualified for a retirement benefit as a part-time prosecutor, and returned to serve as a full time prosecutor, to obtain a retirement benefit equal to 50% of the Final Average Compensation as a full time prosecutor. Provisions in SB 892 would make the benefits and the payments into the System correspond. In this way the bill addresses a "loophole" which currently allows certain members to qualify for a retirement benefit which costs the System more than the payments into the system would have "paid for". According to the System's actuaries, each such individual currently reduces the System's funded ratio by 1%. The bill also addresses transfers of creditable service between the System, and other retirement systems of the State, as well as the effect of returning to work as a prosecutor after leaving the position (having already fully vested) for a significant period of time.

Oversight assumes, based on the response from PACARS, that the proposal will have no fiscal impact on PACARS. Oversight also assumes this proposal is not making changes to section 56.807 that would change the monthly contributions from counties or from the City of St. Louis into PACARS; therefore, Oversight will not show a fiscal impact to local governments.

Officials from the **Missouri Local Government Employees Retirement System** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

In response to a previous version, officials from **Boone County** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

In response to a similar proposal (HCS/SB 639) from 2016, officials from the **City of Columbia** and the **City of Kansas City** each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2019 (10 Mo.)	FY 2020	FY 2021
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Joint Committee on Public Retirement
Missouri Local Government Employees Retirement System
Prosecuting and Circuit Attorney's Retirement System
Boone County
City of Kansas City
City of Columbia

Ross Strope

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ross Strope", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Acting Director
March 1, 2018