COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0841-01

Bill No.: Perfected HB 260

Subject: Animals; Civil Penalties; Department of Conservation

Type: Original

Date: February 28, 2019

Bill Summary: This proposal imposes civil penalties for poaching certain animals.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022		
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022		
Conservation Commission*	\$0	\$0	\$0		
State School Moneys*	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

^{*}Revenues/Transfers In and Costs/Transfers Out net to zero

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 0841-01

Bill No. Perfected HB 260

Page 2 of 5 February 28, 2019

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022		
Total Estimated					
Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022		
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2021					
Local Government	Could exceed \$4,057,083	Could exceed \$4,868,500	Could exceed \$4,868,500		

L.R. No. 0841-01 Bill No. Perfected HB 260 Page 3 of 5 February 28, 2019

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC)** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. MDC notes the following violations were issued in CYs 2017 & 2018:

Type of Animal Poached	Number of Violations CY 2017	Number of Violations CY 2018	New Proposed Restitution (House Amendment 1)
Wild Turkey	273	274	Between \$500 and \$1,000
Paddlefish	42	16	Between \$500 and \$1,000
White-tailed Deer	2,362	2,369	Between \$2,000 and \$5,000
Black Bear	1	0	Between \$10,000 and \$15,000
Elk	0	0	Between \$10,000 and \$15,000

Source: MDC

Oversight notes from MDC's website that a point system is used to assist staff in identifying serious and repeat offenders of the Wildlife Code. Points are assigned to offenses based on the severity of the violation. When a violation occurs, an MDC agent will issue a ticket. If there is a conviction, the court may assess a fine (which goes to the county) and/or time in jail. Oversight will use the information in the table below to estimate the amount of civil penalty revenue the state may collect from these provisions.

Type of Animal Poached	Assumed Number of Violations	Minimum Fine Amount	Assumed Amount of Fine Revenue
Wild Turkey	273	\$500	\$136,500
Paddlefish	16	\$500	\$8,000
White-tailed Deer	2,362	\$2,000	\$4,724,000
Black Bear	0	\$10,000	\$0
Elk	0	\$10,000	\$0
Total			\$4,868,500

L.R. No. 0841-01 Bill No. Perfected HB 260 Page 4 of 5 February 28, 2019

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight assumes a court may or may not assess the fines listed in the proposal. Additionally, the new civil penalty amounts may deter people from poaching these animals. Oversight notes there were 1,349 misdemeanor charges issued in FY 2018 for taking wildlife illegally (§252.040).

Based on the information provided in the table above, Oversight assumes the amount of fine revenue could exceed \$4,868,500 and will reflect that on the fiscal note, and will reflect the new civil penalty revenue flowing through the state funds to school districts.

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2020 (10 Mo.)	FY 2021	FY 2022
CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND	,		
Revenue - MDC - Restitution for poaching	Could exceed \$4,057,083	Could exceed \$4,868,500	Could exceed \$4,868,500
<u>Transfer Out</u> - to State School Moneys Fund	Could exceed \$4,057,083	Could exceed \$4,868,500	Could exceed \$4,868,500
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
STATE SCHOOL MONEYS FUND			
<u>Transfer In</u> - from Conservation Commission Fund for restitution for poaching	Could exceed \$4,057,083	Could exceed \$4,868,500	Could exceed \$4,868,500
<u>Costs</u> - Distribution to School Districts	Could exceed <u>\$4,057,083</u>	Could exceed \$4,868,500	Could exceed \$4,868,500
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON			

L.R. No. 0841-01 Bill No. Perfected HB 260 Page 5 of 5 February 28, 2019

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS	Could exceed <u>\$4,057,083</u>	Could exceed <u>\$4,868,500</u>	Could exceed <u>\$4,868,500</u>
Income - civil penalties for poaching (§252.042)	Could exceed <u>\$4,057,083</u>	Could exceed \$4,868,500	Could exceed <u>\$4,868,500</u>
SCHOOL DISTRICTS	(10 Mo.)		
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill specifies that the court may require any person found guilty of chasing, pursuing, taking, transporting, killing, processing, or disposing of certain wildlife in violation of the Missouri Conservation Commission's rules and regulations to make restitution to the state. The moneys collected will be transferred to the State School Moneys Fund.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Missouri Department of Conservation Office of the State Courts Administrator

Kyle Rieman Director

February 28, 2019

Top Rime

Ross Strope Assistant Director February 28, 2019