

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 2134-01
Bill No.: SB 424
Subject: Taxation and Revenue - Property; Cities, Towns and Villages; County Officials;
Property, Real and Personal
Type: Original
Date: March 22, 2019

Bill Summary: This proposal establishes the Land Bank Act.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** state many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the Governor.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could require additional resources.

Officials at the **Department of Economic Development**, the **Department of Revenue**, the **Office of the State Courts Administrator**, the **Department of Corrections**, the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations**, the **Missouri Department of Transportation**, the **Department of Conservation**, the **Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration**, the **Office of State Auditor** and the **State Tax Commission** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

Oversight notes that the Department of Economic Development, the Department of Revenue, the Office of the State Courts Administrator, the Department of Corrections, the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, the Missouri Department of Transportation, the Department of Conservation, the Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration, the Office of State Auditor and the State Tax Commission each has stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note.

Officials at the **City of Kansas City** and **St. Louis County** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective entities from this proposal.

In response to similar legislation from this year, HB 821, officials at the **Monroe County Assessor's Office** assumed no fiscal impact from this proposal.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials at the **Springfield Public Schools** assume no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Oversight only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other cities, counties and school districts were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. For a general listing of political subdivisions included in our database, please refer to www.legislativeoversight.mo.gov.

Oversight assumes §140.981.1 states that, “A city may establish a land bank agency by ordinance, resolution, or rule, as applicable.” Until such action is taken by the governing body of the city, Oversight assumes no fiscal impact from this proposal.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2020 (10 Mo.)	FY 2021	FY 2022
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2020 (10 Mo.)	FY 2021	FY 2022
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State
Department of Economic Development
Department of Revenue
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Department of Corrections
Department of Labor and Industrial Relations
Missouri Department of Transportation
Department of Conservation
Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration
Office of State Auditor
State Tax Commission
City of Kansas City
St. Louis County
Monroe County Assessor's Office
Springfield Public Schools

Kyle Rieman
Director
March 22, 2019



Ross Strope
Assistant Director
March 22, 2019