COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 4231-02

Bill No.: HCS for HB 1682

Subject: Tobacco Products; Education, Elementary and Secondary;

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 12, 2020

Bill Summary: This proposal prohibits vapor product usage in indoor areas of public

schools or on school buses.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 4231-02

Bill No. HCS for HB 1682

Page 2 of 4 February 12, 2020

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2021 FY 2022 FY 202			
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 4231-02 Bill No. HCS for HB 1682 Page 3 of 4 February 12, 2020

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

In response to a similar, previous version of this bill, officials at the **Department of Elementary** and **Secondary Education** assumed this proposal would have no direct fiscal impact on their agency.

Oversight only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, school districts were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A general listing of political subdivisions included in our database is available upon request.

The previous version made vaping on school property an infraction. Smoking was already an infraction, and according to the Office of State Courts Administrator (OSCA), there were only 7 cigarette smoking infractions in FY 2019. Oversight assumed adding vaping would immaterially increase the number of infractions. This version removes the infraction for both smoking and vaping. **Oversight** assumes removing the infraction altogether would immaterially reduce the number of smoking infractions, and not have a material fiscal impact.

Oversight assumes that school district policies already bar the use of vapor products on campus. Oversight notes the Kansas City Public School District, Columbia Public School District and Springfield Public School student codes of conduct already bar this behavior.

Because of a lack of contrary information, this note has a \$0 net direct fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2021 (10 Mo.)	FY 2022	FY 2023
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2021 (10 Mo.)	FY 2022	FY 2023
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 4231-02 Bill No. HCS for HB 1682 Page 4 of 4 February 12, 2020

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal bans vaping at schools, and removes an infraction for both smoking cigarettes and vaping in schools.

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Julie Morff Director

February 12, 2020

Ross Strope Assistant Director February 12, 2020