COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 4390-06

Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Subject: Aircraft and Airports; Consumer Protection; Disabilities; Economic Development;

Emergencies; Eminent Domain and Condemnation; Highway Patrol; Insurance -

Automobile; Licenses - Motor Vehicle; Licenses - Driver's; Licenses -

Miscellaneous; Motor Vehicles; Optometry; Political Subdivisions; Railroads;

Roads and Highways; Transportation; Taxation - Property

Type: Original Date: June 10, 2020

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to transportation.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	Fully Implemented (FY 2025)	
General Revenue*	(Could Exceed \$3,727,392 to \$3,943,730)	(Could Exceed \$676,201 to \$933,285)	(Could exceed \$677,617)	(Could exceed \$9,526,048)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	(Could Exceed \$3,727,392 to \$3,943,730)	(Could Exceed \$676,201 to \$933,285)	(Could exceed \$677,617)	(Could exceed \$9,526,048)	

^{*}Oversight notes the majority of FY 2025 impact (\$8,847,000) represents a <u>continuation</u> of existing sales tax exemptions currently set to expire December 31, 2023 (§144.805).

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 46 pages.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 2 of 46 June 10, 2020

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	Fully Implemented (FY 2025)		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	Fully Implemented (FY 2025)	
Aviation Trust Fund (0952)*	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,979,858	
Conservation Commission Fund (0609)*	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$161,131)	
Parks, Soil, & Water Funds (0613 & 0614)*	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$128,905)	
School District Trust Fund (0688)*	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$1,289,047)	
Water Patrol Division Fund (0400)	\$0	\$0	Less than \$25,000	Less than \$25,000	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	Less than \$25,000	Up to \$3,425,775	

^{*}Oversight notes this represents a <u>continuation</u> of existing sales tax exemptions that are currently set to expire December 31, 2023. (§144.805).

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 3 of 46 June 10, 2020

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	Fully Implemented (FY 2025)			
General Revenue	3 to 7 FTE	3 to 7 FTE	3 FTE	3 FTE			
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE 3 to 7 FTE 3 to 7 FTE 3 FTE 3 FTE							

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	Fully Implemented (FY 2025)	
Local Government*	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$11,800,000)	

^{*}Oversight notes this represents a <u>continuation</u> of existing sales tax exemptions that are currently set to expire December 31, 2023.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 4 of 46 June 10, 2020

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§32.300- Remote Driver's License Renewal System

Officials at the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume the following regarding this proposal: The Department anticipates requiring resources to communicate with optometrists, and to review vision examinations that are submitted. It is unknown the amount of FTE required, but DOR anticipates needing 0-4 FTE. If the impact of this provision is too significant for our current staff to absorb, additional FTE will be requested through the appropriations process.

Oversight assumes DOR is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes DOR could absorb the costs related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties at substantial costs, DOR could request funding through the appropriation process.

DOR notes OA-ITSD services for system requirements for optometrist/DOR vision exam submission will be required at a cost of \$336,528 (3,542.40 hours x \$95 per hour) in FY 2021. DOR also notes OA-ITSD services for the mobile driver's license renewal system will be required at a cost of \$1,664,226 in FY 2021.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary in regards to DOR's assumptions; therefore, Oversight will reflect DOR's OA-ITSD costs on the fiscal note.

§§143.441 & 144.805 - Aviation Taxation

Officials from **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume Section 143.441 adds freight forwarders to the definition of corporations. DOR assumes an unknown impact on Total State Revenue and General Revenue. Additionally, the proposal would extend the sunset date on the aviation jet fuel tax in Section 144.805. This tax was to sunset on December 31, 2023 and is extended to December 31, 2033.

The extension of the sunset date will not fiscally impact the Department.

For informational purposes, DOR provided the amount collected each of the last four years.

FY 2015 \$4,823,355 FY 2016 \$4,663,184 FY 2017 \$3,889,020 FY 2018 \$6,543,872

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 5 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight notes this proposal would continue to exempt jet fuel from state sales and use tax and local sales tax after the first \$1.5 million in state sales and use tax is paid. Aviation jet fuel for federal grant recipients, airports that have been approved for federal grant funds or which are otherwise eligible to apply for federal grant funds, would be exempt from local sales and use tax, at the rates that were in effect December 30, 1987.

Oversight Estimation of Forgone Sale and Use Tax Collection

The average sales tax collection by DOR between FY 2015 and FY 2018 was \$4,979,858.

Per U.S. Energy Information Administration the average purchase of jet fuel in Missouri between FY 2015 and FY 2018 was \$ 294.9 Million.

The average annual cost to the state is estimated as follows (on \$294.9 million of jet fuel sales):

Tax	Potential collection	Actual collection	Difference (forgone tax)
3% GR Sales Tax	\$8,847,000	\$0	(\$8,847,000)
Aviation Trust Fund	\$0	\$4,979,858	\$4,979,858
	\$2,949,000	\$1,659,953	(\$1,289,047)
1% School District			
Trust			
.1 % Park, Soil, Water	\$294,900	\$165,995	(\$128,905)
.125% Conservation	\$368,625	\$207,494	(\$161,131)
Total Tax	\$12,459,525	7,013,300	(\$5,446,225)

^{*} Estimate does not include the loss generated by section 144.809.

Oversight used the above calculation to estimate the annual Local Sale tax loss as follows:

Oversight notes roughly the average local rate of sales & use tax percentage in Saint Louis Lambert International Airport (Lambert) and Kansas City International Airport (KCI) is 4.4 %. Therefore, Oversight will show fiscal impact to local political subdivisions as \$11.8 M (\$294 M (Avg. Jet Fuel Purchase) x 4.4%).

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 6 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight notes DOR indicated they did not anticipate a reduction in funding from Section 144.807, relative to what is currently collected, because the department is collecting \$0 revenue due to the exemption in current law. However, Oversight considers the extension of a sunset date as having an impact because the baseline of current law assumes the exemption would cease and the money would have been collected if not for this bill. Therefore, Oversight will show an unknown negative fiscal impact to General Revenue for the extension of the sales tax exemption authorized in Section 144.807.

Oversight has estimated the loss to political subdivisions as shown above for exempting aviation jet fuel used or consumed at airports which are recipients of federal grant funds, or have applied for or been approved for federal grant funds, from local sales and use tax, at the rates that were in effect December 30, 1987. Oversight assumes this proposed legislation would extend an existing provision by removing or changing the expiration date. Oversight also assumes that removing or changing the expiration date would extend any fiscal impact associated with the existing provision; therefore, Oversight will include an estimated impact in this fiscal note.

Officials from the **Department of Transportation**, **Department of Natural Resources**, and **Missouri Department of Conservation** each defer to DOR to estimate the fiscal impact of the proposed legislation on their respective organization.

Officials from **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** assume extending the sales tax exemption for aviation jet fuel could decrease the future amount of incoming revenues available in the Parks and Soils Sales Tax Funds for long term operation of Missouri's state parks and historic sites as well as assistance to agricultural landowners through volunteer programs.

The Department's Parks and Soils Sales Tax Funds are derived from one-tenth of one percent sales and use tax pursuant to Article IV Section 47(a) of the Missouri Constitution. Therefore, the sales tax exemption could result in an unknown loss to the Parks and Soils Sales Tax Funds. to General Revenue for the extension of the sales tax exemption authorized in Section 144.807.

§144.070 - Motor Vehicle Dealer Provisions

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. DOR notes current statute authorizes dealers to collect sales tax; however, none currently do.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 7 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight notes that DOR has stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for DOR.

§§217.850, 577.800 & 632.460 - Unmanned Aircraft

For the purpose of this proposed legislation, officials from the **Office of State Public Defender** (**SPD**) state they cannot assume that existing staff will provide effective representation for any new cases arising where indigent persons are charged with the proposed new crimes relating to the unlawful use of an unmanned aircraft near a correctional center. These new crimes range from a new class A misdemeanor to a class B felony. The Missouri State Public Defender System is currently providing legal representation in caseloads in excess of recognized standards.

While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide effective representation in all cases where the right to counsel attaches.

Oversight notes over the last three fiscal years, the SPD has lapsed a total of \$153 of General Revenue appropriations (\$2 out of \$28.0 million in FY 2017; \$150 out of \$42.5 million in FY 2018; and \$1 out of \$46.0 million in FY 2019). Therefore, Oversight assumes the SPD is at maximum capacity, and the increase in workload resulting from this bill cannot be absorbed with SPD's current resources.

Adding one additional Assistant Public Defender 1 (APD) with a starting salary of \$47,000, will cost approximately \$74,500 per year in personal service and fringe benefit costs. One additional APD II (\$52,000 per year; eligible for consideration after 1 year of successful performance at APD I) will cost the state approximately \$81,000 per year in personal service and fringe benefit costs. When expense and equipment costs such as travel, training, furniture, equipment and supplies are included, Oversight assumes the cost for a new APD could approach \$100,000 per year.

Oversight assumes the SPD cannot absorb the additional caseload that may result from this proposal within their existing resources and, therefore, will reflect a potential additional cost of (Less than \$100,000) per year to the General Revenue Fund.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 8 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Missouri Office of Prosecution Services (MOPS)** assume the proposal will have no measurable fiscal impact on MOPS. The creation of a new crime creates additional responsibilities for county prosecutors which may, in turn, result in additional costs, which are difficult to determine.

Oversight notes that MOPS has stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for MOPS.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. DOC notes the legislation includes the requirement that the department post a warning sign, no smaller than 11" x 14". The cost of the sign from Missouri Vocational Enterprise (MVE) is approximately \$65 each. Therefore, the cost to place one sign at all 22 prisons would be \$1,430 (\$65 x 22). These costs will be absorbed by the Department.

Oversight notes §577.800.5 requires a 11" x 14" warning sign at each high capacity venue. Oversight assumes the cost for these signs will be minimal and, therefore, can be absorbed.

Oversight does not have any information contrary to that provided by DOC; therefore, Oversight will reflect DOC's no impact for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Department of Mental Health**, **Department of Commerce and Insurance**, **Department of Natural Resources**, **Missouri State Highway Patrol**, **Department of Transportation** and **Office of State Courts Administrator** each state the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight notes that the agencies mentioned above have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for these agencies.

§227.476 - Memorial Highway

Officials from the **Department of Transportation (MoDOT)** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight notes that MoDOT has stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for MoDOT.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 9 of 46 June 10, 2020

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

§227.600 - Tube Transport System

Officials from the **Department of Transportation**, **Office of the Governor** and **Office of Administration** each assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight notes that the agencies mentioned above have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for these agencies.

§§227.803 & 227.804 - Memorial Highways

Officials from the **Department of Transportation (MoDOT)** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight notes that MoDOT has stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for MoDOT.

§§300.010 & 407.815 - Composition of Off-Highway Vehicles

Officials at the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume the following regarding this proposal:

Administrative Impact

To implement the proposed legislation, the Department will be required to:

- Update procedures;
- Update website;
- Provide notification to Powersport dealers; and
- Train staff.

FY2021- Motor Vehicle Bureau

Administrative Analyst I 20 hrs. @\$14.70 per hr. = \$ 294 Revenue Manager 10 hrs. @\$20.59 per hr. = \$ 206 = \$ 500

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 10 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

FY 2021- Strategy and Communications Office

Management Analysis Specialist I	10 hrs. @ \$18.42 per hr.	= \$	184
Administrative Analyst II	20 hrs. @ \$18.75 per hr.	= \$	375
•		= \$	559

Total Costs = \$ 1,059

The Department anticipates that we will be able to absorb the above listed administrative costs. If multiple bills pass that require Department resources, FTE may be requested through the appropriations process.

Oversight assumes DOR is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes DOR could absorb the costs related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties at substantial costs, DOR could request funding through the appropriation process.

Revenue Impact

The Department assumes that any unit that weighs less than 1,500 pounds and has a straddle seat, regardless of the width, will be considered an ATV, which must be titled and registered. However, the Department is unable to estimate if this change will have any effect on the number of units that would be titled and registered. Therefore, the Department cannot estimate the revenue impact.

Oversight is unsure of how many ATVs will now be titled and registered as a result of this proposal; therefore, Oversight will reflect an "Unknown" positive increase in revenue to the General Revenue fund. Oversight notes DOR's website indicated a title fee of \$8.50, a registration (decal) fee of \$10.25 and a processing fee of \$6 for a total of \$24.75 per ATV.

Officials from the Missouri Department of Conservation, Department of Commerce and Insurance, Department of Transportation, Department of Natural Resources, Attorney General's Office, Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol, Department of Agriculture and Office of Administration each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight notes that the agencies mentioned above have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for these agencies.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 11 of 46 June 10, 2020

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

§301.030 - Motor Vehicle Registration Periods

Officials from the **Department of Revenue**, **Department of Transportation** and **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight notes that the agencies mentioned above have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for these agencies.

§301.032 - Registration Fees for Fleet Vehicles

Officials from the **Department of Revenue** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Officials from the **Department of Transportation** defer to DOR for the fiscal impact of this proposal.

Oversight notes that the agencies mentioned above have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for these agencies.

Upon further inquiry, **DOR** noted no fleet registrations have been received thus far.

Oversight notes subsection 301.032.6 was added to the Missouri Revised Statutes in 2019 (SB 89). Oversight assumes this proposal may possibly impact future revenues (or it could simply be clarifying language); however, since no fleet registration fees have been collected regarding this subsection thus far, Oversight will not reflect a fiscal impact from these changes.

§§301.140, 301.190, 301.210, 301.213, 301.280 & 301.560 - Transportation Provisions

Officials at the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume the following regarding this proposal:

Administrative Impact

To implement the proposed legislation, the Department will be required to:

- · Update internal procedures;
- · Update the department website;

KB:LR:OD

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 12 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

- Update the Missouri Titling Manual and Dealer Operating Manual;
- · Create a new form;
- · Update title penalty chart
- · Train internal staff;
- · Implement ITSD changes; and
- · Send communications to power sport and motor vehicle dealers.

FY 2021 – Motor Vehicle Bureau

Administrative Analyst I	80 hrs. @ \$14.70 per hr.	=	\$1,176.00
Management Analysis Specialist I	120 hrs. @ \$18.42 per hr.	=	\$2,210.40
Management Analysis Specialist II	30 hrs. @ \$20.57 per hr.	=	\$ 617.10
Revenue Manager	10 hrs. @ \$20.59 per hr.	=	\$ 205.90

FY 2021 – Strategy and Communications Office

Management Analysis Specialist I	50 hrs. @ \$18.42 per hr.	=	\$ 921.00
Administrative Analyst II	20 hrs. @ \$18.75 per hr.	=	\$ 375.00

Total Costs = \$5,505.40

Oversight assumes DOR could absorb these additional responsibilities (listed above) within their current appropriation levels.

FTE Impact

DOR anticipates the need for three (3) additional FTE positions (2 FTE Management Analysis Specialists I at \$38,304 annually and 1 FTE Admin. Office Support Assistant at \$28,668 annually) to review and process these transactions. Anytime a dealer fails to provide a title to a purchaser within 60 days of the purchase date, the Department must take action against the selling dealers bond, and determine the amount in which the bond payout will be. DOR will also have to handle appeals when the bond claim decision the Director makes a determination of is appealed. Due to the complex nature of these transactions, the department requires two of these positions be Management Analysis Specialists I and one position be an Administrative Office Support Assistant to implement the proposed legislation.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 13 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Total Number of Dealer Sales Per Calendar Year

2017 - 1,200,814

2018 - 1,179,181

2019 - 1,184.036

Average number of dealer sales per year – 1,188,010

The following is based on the assumption that one-half percent (0.5%) of dealer sales per year will result in the need for the director to determine the amount paid from the bond, release of the bond and prepare documents for an administrative hearing commission appeals regarding the director's determination.

- 1,188,010 average number of dealer sales per calendar year
- x 0.5% percentage of sales regarding dealer bond action
 - 5,940 number of dealer sales per calendar year requiring bond action
 - / 252 number of work days per year
 - 24 number of dealer sales per work day the Department anticipates will require a bond claim determination.

DOR assumes a cost for these FTE to total approximately \$170,000 per year.

DOR also assumes the following costs to their systems:

1.	TRIPS	\$13,441
2.	Online NOS	\$13,441
3.	TRPA	\$13,441
4.	DMVC	\$13,441
5.	DNOS	\$13,441
6.	DDLR	\$13,441
7.	Del-Fee	\$20,212
TOT	AL	\$100,858

In addition, DOR states due to the customer not being provided the title at the time of sale, DOR could experience an unknown loss of interest due to the delay in an unknown amount of sales tax collection.

Officials from the **Department of Transportation** and **Attorney General's Office** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

KB:LR:OD

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 14 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight notes that the agencies mentioned above have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for these agencies.

§301.193 - Abandoned Property Provisions

Officials at the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume the following regarding this proposal:

Administrative Impact

To implement the proposed legislation, the Department will be required to:

- Update four internal procedures;
- Update the Notice of Failure to Present Certificate of Title (Form 5044);
- Update the Notice To Owner(s) and Lienholder(s) Regarding Abandoned Vehicle, All Terrain Vehicle (ATV), Vessel, Watercraft, Outboard Motor and Trailer (Form 5227);
- Update the department website;
- Update Abandoned Vehicle Manual;
- Create three new forms to accommodate the new provisions; and
- Send communications to used motor vehicles dealers.

FY 2021 - Motor Vehicle Bureau

Administrative Office Support Assistant	10 hrs. @ \$13.78 per hr.	= \$137.80
Administrative Analyst I	80 hrs. @ \$14.70 per hr.	= \$1,176.00
Revenue Manager	20 hrs. @ \$20.59 per hr.	= \$411.80

FY 2021 - Strategy and Communications Office

Management Analysis Specialist I	90 hrs. @ \$18.42 per hr.	= \$1,657.80
Administrative Analyst II	40 hrs. @ \$18.75 per hr.	= \$750.00

Total Costs = \$4,133.40

The Department anticipates being able to absorb the above listed administrative costs. If multiple bills pass that require Department resources, FTE may be requested through the appropriations process.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 15 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight assumes DOR will use existing staff and will not hire additional FTE to conduct these activities; therefore, Oversight will not reflect the administrative costs DOR has indicated on the fiscal note.

Officials from the **Department of Commerce and Insurance (DCI)** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight notes that DCI has stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for DCI.

§301.564 - Licensure for Boat Manufacturers and Dealers

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol (MHP)** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight notes that MHP has stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for this agency.

Officials at the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume the following regarding this proposal:

FY2020 - Motor Vehicle Bureau

The impact to the Motor Vehicle Bureau is minimal. The Department will be responsible for updating procedures, correspondence letters, and the Dealer Operating Manual. The Department will also be responsible for sending out notifications to all boat dealers and boat manufacturers via GovDelivery.

Administrative Office Support Asst.	15 hrs. @ \$13.78 per hr.	= \$206.70
Revenue Manager	5 hrs. @ \$20.59 per hr.	= \$102.95
Total		\$309.65

FY2020 - Administration Division, Communications Bureau

Administrative Analyst I	15 hrs. @ \$14.70 per hr.	=\$220.50
Management Analysis Spec I	15 hrs. @ \$18.42 per hr.	<u>= \$276.30</u>
Total		\$496.80

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 16 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

The Department anticipates being able to absorb the costs associated with this legislation. If multiple bills pass that require Department resources, the Department my request FTE through the appropriations process.

Oversight assumes DOR is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes DOR could absorb the costs related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties at substantial costs, DOR could request funding through the appropriation process.

§301.576 - Third-Party Motor Vehicle Reports

Officials from the **Department of Revenue**, **Attorney General's Office**, **Department of Commerce and Insurance** and **Office of the State Courts Administrator** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight notes that the agencies mentioned above have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for these agencies.

§§301.3069 & 301.3159 - Special License Plates

Officials at the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume the following regarding this proposal:

Administrative Impact

To implement the proposed legislation, the Department will be required to:

- Update procedures and the Department website;
- Update the Application for Missouri Personalized and Special License Plate (DOR-1716);
- Communicate with the Central Missouri Honor Flight for development of the license plate;
- Develop the Meritorious Service Medal license plate design; and
- Complete programming and user acceptance testing for identified systems.

FY 2021 - Motor Vehicle Bureau

Management Analysis Spec I

 $480 \text{ hrs.} \ \text{@} \$18.42 \text{ per hr.} = \$8,842$

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 17 of 46 June 10, 2020

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

FY 2021 - Strategy and Communications Office

Administrative Analyst III 20 hrs. @ \$19.80 per hr. = \$ 396

FY 2021 - General Services Bureau

Administrative Office Support Assistant 10 hrs. @ \$13.78 per hr. = \$ 138

Total Costs = \$9,376

Oversight assumes DOR is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes DOR could absorb the administrative personnel costs related to this proposal.

Set-up cost:

DOR notes the Department is charged \$215 for the initial set-up, design, and programming for a new specialty plate for passenger, truck, RV, disabled and motorcycle plates. This is a one-time fee that will be charged for each plate upon passage of this bill for a cost of **\$430** in FY 2021.

Plate manufacturing cost:

The Department pays \$3.75 per plate for manufacturing flat plates through Missouri Vocational Enterprises (MVE).

It is unknown how many applicants would apply for these license plates. The Department is assuming 200 applicants per year at a cost to the Department of \$625 (10 months) in FY 2021 and \$750 in FY 2022 and FY 2023.

It is unknown how many eligible Missouri residents would apply for the Meritorious Service Medal plate license plate. If the Department receives 200 applicants per year, the cost to the Department would be \$625 (10 months) in FY 2021 and \$750 in FY 2022 and FY 2023.

Mailings:

It currently cost the Department, on average, \$5.55 to ship a box of 10 sets of plates to contract offices once they have completed the manufacturing process through MVE.

The mailing cost will be \$93 (10 months) in FY 2021 and \$111 in FY 2022 and FY 2023.

KB:LR:OD

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 18 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Note: \$5.55 is an average cost, as the cost may decrease or increase based on the weight of the package, the area to which it is being sent, and how many boxes are being sent at one time.

The Department would incur an additional postage cost for mailing notifications to those applicants notifying them their plates are ready for pickup at the respective license office.

Assuming 200 applications per year for each plate at a cost of \$206 (10 months) in FY 2021 and \$246 in FY 2022 and FY 2023 for letters (\$0.025), envelopes (\$0.040), and postage (\$0.55).

DOR notes OA-ITSD services will be required at a cost of \$12,620 in FY 2021 (132.84 x \$95 per hour).

Oversight assumes DOR is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes DOR could absorb the supply and mailing costs related to this proposal. Oversight will reflect the OA-ITSD costs of \$12,620 on the fiscal note.

Revenue Impact

DOR notes this legislation will result in an increase in the \$15 specialty plate reservation fees collected. It is unknown how many applicants will elect to obtain these specialty plates, but the increase should be minimal. \$15 specialty plate reservation fees are split 75-15-10 to Highways, Cities, and Counties.

Oversight assumes the increase in revenue will be minimal; therefore, Oversight will not reflect the potential increase on the fiscal note.

Officials from the **Department of Transportation (MoDOT)** defer to DOR for the fiscal impact of this proposal.

§301.3174 - Special License Plates for the Association of Missouri Electric Cooperatives

Officials at the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume the following regarding this proposal:

Administrative Impact

To implement the proposed legislation, the Department will be required to:

• Update procedures and the Department website;

KB:LR:OD

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 19 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

- Update the Application for Missouri Personalized and Special License Plates (DOR-1716);
- Communicate with the Association of Missouri Electric Cooperatives for development of the license plate; and
- Complete programming and user acceptance testing for identified systems.

FY 2021 - Motor Vehicle Bureau

Management Analysis Spec I 240 hrs. @ \$18.42 per hr. = \$4,421

FY 2021 - Strategy and Communications Office

Administrative Analyst III 10 hrs. @ \$19.80 per hr. = \$ 198

FY 2021 - General Services Bureau

Administrative Office Support Assistant 5 hrs. @ \$13.78 per hr. = \$ 69

Total Costs = \$4,688

Oversight assumes DOR will use existing staff and will not hire additional FTE to conduct these activities; therefore, Oversight will not reflect the administrative costs DOR has indicated on the fiscal note.

DOR- ITSD notes this bill would allow a personalized specialty plate registration in excess of 24,000 pounds to update and produce a renewal notice. The AMEC truck plates 18,000 to 80,000 will not be an annual registration; they will expire in December, be prorated monthly and will not have a grace period to February.

Changes would be:

- modify program DM50020 to allow weights for specific plate types; and
- modify program DM50040 to allow for 1 or 2 plates and for allowing heavy plates

DOR notes OA-ITSD services will be required at a cost of \$40,528 in FY 2021 (426.6 hours x \$95 per hour).

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary in regards to DOR's assumptions; therefore, Oversight will reflect DOR's OA-ITSD costs on the fiscal note.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 20 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Revenue Impact

DOR notes this legislation will result in an unknown, but potentially minimal increase in the \$15 specialty plate reservation fees collected since more vehicles will be eligible for them. \$15 specialty plate reservation fees are split 75-15-10 to Highways, Cities, and Counties.

Oversight assumes the increase in revenue will be minimal; therefore, Oversight will not reflect the potential increase on the fiscal note. Oversight notes the Association of Missouri Electric Cooperatives specialty license plate was authorized in 2019. As of February 3, 2020, there have been no plates issued. DOR still needs the \$5,000 fee and 200 applications before these plates can be issued.

Officials from the **Department of Transportation (MoDOT)** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight notes that MoDOT has stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for MoDOT.

§301.3176 - "Backstoppers" Special License Plate

Officials at the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume the following regarding this proposal:

Administrative Impact

To implement the proposed legislation, the Department will be required to:

- Update procedures and the Department website;
- Update the Application for Missouri Personalized and Special License Plate (DOR-1716);
- Communicate with the BackStoppers organization for development of the license plate; and
- Complete programming and user acceptance testing for identified systems.

FY 2020 - Motor Vehicle Bureau

Management Analysis Spec I 240 hrs. @ \$18.42 per hr. = \$4,421

FY 2020 - Strategy and Communications Office

Administrative Analyst III 10 hrs. @ \$19.80 per hr. = \$ 198

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 21 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

FY 2020 - General Services Bureau

Administrative Office Support Assistant 5 hrs. @ \$13.78 per hr. = \$ 69

Total Costs = \$4,688

Set-up cost:

The Department is charged \$215 for the initial set-up, design, and programming for a new specialty plate for passenger, truck, RV, disabled and motorcycle plates. This is a one-time fee that will be charged upon passage of this bill in FY 2021.

Plate manufacturing cost:

The Department pays \$3.75 per plate for manufacturing flat plates through Missouri Vocational Enterprises (MVE).

It is unknown how many applicants would apply for these license plates. The Department is assuming 200 applicants per year at a cost to the Department of \$625 (10 months) in FY 2021 and \$750 in FY 2022 and FY 2023.

Mailings:

It currently costs the Department, on average, \$5.55 to ship a box of 10 sets of plates to contract offices once they have completed the manufacturing process through MVE.

The mailing cost will be \$93 (10 months) in FY 2021 and \$111 in FY 2022 and FY 2023.

Note: \$5.55 is an average cost, as the cost may decrease or increase based on the weight of the package, the area to which it is being sent, and how many boxes are being sent at one time.

The Department would incur an additional postage cost for mailing notifications to those applicants notifying them their plates are ready for pickup at the respective license office.

Assuming 200 applications per year at a cost of \$103 (10 months) in FY 2021 and \$123 in FY 2022 and FY 2023 for letters (\$0.025), envelopes (\$0.040), and postage (\$0.55).

DOR notes OA-ITSD services will be required at a cost of \$10,569 (111.25 hours x \$95 per hour) in FY 2021.

KB:LR:OD

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 22 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight assumes DOR is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes DOR could absorb the supply and mailing costs related to this proposal. Oversight will reflect the OA-ITSD costs of \$10,569 on the fiscal note.

Revenue Impact

DOR notes this legislation will result in an increase in the \$15 specialty plate reservation fees collected. It is unknown how many applicants will elect to obtain a BackStoppers specialty plate, but the increase should be minimal. Fifteen dollar specialty plate reservation fees are split 75-15-10 to Highways, Cities, and Counties.

Oversight assumes the increase in revenue will be minimal; therefore, Oversight will not reflect the potential increase on the fiscal note.

Officials from the **Department of Transportation (MoDOT)** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight notes that MoDOT has stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for MoDOT.

§§302.020 & 302.026 - Protective Headgear for Motorcycle and Motortricycle Operators and Riders

Officials from the Department of Transportation, Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol, Department of Corrections, Department of Commerce and Insurance, Office of the State Public Defender and Office of Prosecution Services each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight notes that the agencies mentioned above have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for these agencies.

Officials at the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume the following regarding this proposal:

Administrative Impact

The proposed changes would require the Department to:

KB:LR:OD

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 23 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

- Update Web pages and procedures outlining the new helmet provisions;
- Modify the Missouri Driver Guide;
- Modify the Missouri Motorcycle Manual.

FY 2021 Driver License Bureau

Management Analysis Spec II 20 hrs. x \$20.57 = \$411.40 Revenue Manager Band I 15 hrs. x \$20.59 = \$308.85 Administrative Analyst II 4 hrs. x \$17.13 = \$68.52 Management Analysis Spec I 20 hrs. x \$18.42 = \$368.40 Total = \$1,157.17

The Department anticipates being able to absorb these costs. If multiple bills are passed that require Department resources, FTE may be requested in the appropriations process.

Oversight assumes DOR will use existing staff and will not hire additional FTE to conduct these activities; therefore, Oversight will not reflect the administrative costs DOR has indicated on the fiscal note.

Oversight notes there were 211 convictions in FY 2019 and 99 convictions in FY 2018 for failure to wear protective/approved headgear when on a motorcycle in motion. Oversight notes these convictions result in a fine of \$25, with the entire \$25 going to schools. Oversight is unable to determine how many of these convictions were by persons 18 years of age or younger.

Oversight assumes the loss of revenue will be minimal; therefore, Oversight will not reflect this loss on the fiscal note.

Officials from the **Department of Social Services (DSS)** assume the following regarding this proposal:

Missouri Healthnet Division (MHD) assumes the medical benefits provided under MO HealthNet meet the requirements as specified in the bill to operate a motorcycle without a helmet, whereas uninsured individuals would be prohibited from riding a motorcycle un-helmeted. There are two categories of individuals who would sustain severe traumatic brain injuries (TBI) and result in increased MO HealthNet expenditures.

1) Individuals who are privately insured at the time of the accident, but sustain severe TBI and are unable to meet the higher premiums charged by private health insurance plans or are forced to spend down resources and become eligible for Medicaid because of their disability.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 24 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

2) Individuals who are Medicaid recipients at the time of the accident and sustain severe TBI.

The Missouri State Highway Patrol reported 1,979 non-fatal injured persons resulting from motorcycle crashes in 2014. MHD assumes 90% of the injured persons were age 26 or older (1979*90%=1,781) and all individuals were meeting the current state law requiring helmet use.

Based on crash statistics from the National Highway Transportation and Safety Administration, 4.7% of helmeted motorcyclists involved in a crash suffered from severe TBI whereas 7.3% of un-helmeted motorcyclists suffered from severe TBI.

Therefore, MHD assumes 88.4 persons sustained severe TBI injuries in 2014 (most current data available) as a result of motorcycle crashes while wearing helmets (1,781*4.7%). This number would increase to 130 individuals with severe TBI injuries if helmets were no longer required under state law (1,781*7.3%). Therefore, it is estimated that 46.3 individuals will sustain severe TBI as a result of this legislation (130-83.7).

1) MHD assumes that of these 46.3 individuals, 30% will ultimately spend down their resources and qualify for Medicaid, and will be classified as totally and permanently disabled (PTD). MHD assumes that some individuals would qualify more quickly than others. MHD assumes that of those individuals with new TBIs, 15% would qualify within the first year, 22.5% would qualify within the second year, and 30% would ultimately qualify within three years.

Based on these assumptions, MHD assumes 6.9 new PTD in FY 2021, 17.4 in FY 2022, and 31.3 in FY 2023. In addition, MHD assumes that TBIs will be life-long injuries; therefore, the number of individuals being served under Medicaid will continue to compound.

Based on FY 2019 annual Medicaid expenditures for a recipient with PTD trended annually by a 5.1% inflationary factor, MHD estimates an annual first year cost of \$22,076.67 per person for a total first year cost of \$152,329 in FY 2021.

2) MHD further assumes that of the 46.3 individuals annually sustaining severe TBI as a result of this legislation, 7.05% (based on current Medicaid recipients ages 18-64 divided by the general Missouri population ages 18-64) will be Medicaid recipients at the time of the accident, or 3.3 individuals.

Based on a 2013 report issued by the Arkansas Spinal Cord Commission, the average acute care Medicaid costs for TBI was \$15,783 (average from 2007-2012). This initial cost was inflated by a three year average Hospital Market Basket Trend from SFY 2010 through SFY 2018.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 25 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Therefore, the initial hospitalization cost is estimated to be \$21,240 per person in FY 2021 or \$70,092 (\$21,240* 3.3 individuals).

The one-time acute care costs are in addition to the annual cost to care for a person with TBI. The average cost for a custodial parent (based on FY 2018 expenditures) is \$5,962.83. MHD assumes annual expenditures will increase to the PTD level for an annual increase of \$14,023.27 per person sustaining a TBI as a result of this legislation.

This amount is trended annually by 5.1%. MHD estimates the annual costs for caring for an individual with TBI will be \$46,276.79 (\$14,023.27* 3.3 individuals each year). The total annual cost for individuals who are Medicaid recipients at the time of the accident is \$121,209 (\$70,092 + \$51,117). MHD assumes that TBIs will be life-long injuries; therefore, the number of individuals being served under Medicaid will continue to compound.

This cost reflects expenditures incurred by the Division of MO HealthNet. Any Medicaid services incurred by the Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS) and the Department of Mental Health (DMH) will be included in their responses.

MHD estimates the total impact as follows:

FY 2021: Total - \$273,538; GR - \$95,375; Federal - \$178,163 FY 2022: Total - \$583,298 GR - \$203,378; Federal - \$379,920 FY 2023: Total - \$1,006,889; GR - \$351,072; Federal - \$655,817

Each year, an additional 14.6 privately insured individuals would gradually become eligible for Medicaid as a result of a severe head injury sustained while riding a motorcycle without a helmet.

3.3 Medicaid recipients would sustain severe head injuries annually while riding a motorcycle without a helmet.

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS)** assume the following regarding this proposal:

Changing the helmet law will increase the number of individuals incurring a traumatic brain injury, thus there will be an increase in participants requesting services through Adult Brain Injury program (ABI).

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 26 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Based on motorcycle crash statistics provided by the Missouri State Highway Patrol, there were 1,126 personal injuries that resulted from motorcycle crashes in 2019 that involved persons age 26 through 64. According to a National Occupant Protection Use Survey, it is estimated that half of those persons would not be wearing a helmet if this proposed legislation is passed; resulting in 563 (age 26 - 64) individuals involved in a motorcycle crash while not wearing a helmet $(1,126 \times .50 = 563)$.

Based on assessment of other states, if 50 percent of those individuals experience a traumatic brain injury (TBI), and 50 percent of those with a TBI are eligible for program enrollments, there would be 141 additional enrollments to the ABI Program per year ($563 \times .50 \times .50 = 140.75$).

Participation in the ABI Program and Brain Injury Waiver (BIW) is subject to available funding and without additional funding any potential new participants will be added to a waiting list for rehabilitation services. In Fiscal Year 2019, there were 108 Missourians on the ABI Program wait list, with a wait time of over 176.5 days before rehabilitation services were provided through the program. The BIW was approved effective October 1, 2019, implementation is pending. Additional program participants would result in the ABI program and BIW requesting additional funding.

The impact to the ABI program will be additional participants each year (additional annual impact plus the impact from the previous year) since the average length of enrollment in the program is greater than the three years estimated in this fiscal note.

Brain Injury Fund

Currently there is a two dollar surcharge collected for the Brain Injury Fund (Section 304.028, RSMo) from the fines assessed for each citation given for failure to wear protective headgear.

If it is no longer illegal to not wear protective headgear for those age 26 and over, it would cause a decrease in the amount collected for the Brain Injury Fund.

According to the Missouri State Highway Patrol there were 244 citations made in 2019 for failure to wear protective headgear while riding a motorcycle for those age 26 and older. Therefore it is estimated that revenue to the Brain Injury Fund will decrease by approximately \$500 each year from the current level of collection.

Oversight assumes the decrease in the Brain Injury Fund will be minimal; therefore, Oversight will not reflect this decrease on the fiscal note.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 27 of 46 June 10, 2020

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Oversight notes the Brain Injury Fund (0742) had a fund balance of \$883,861 as of May 31, 2020.

Oversight notes the language in this substitute changed the age from 18 to 26 years old. The agency responses to HCS for HB Nos. 2193 & 2190 are based on the previous language (18 years or younger); however, this will not change the fiscal impact of these sections.

Oversight assumes some people 26 and over could choose not to wear protective headgear as a result of this proposal. Accordingly, there may be an increase in injuries or the severity of injuries to motorcyclists not wearing protective headgear which may **indirectly** result in increased costs to the state. Oversight assumes no **direct** fiscal impact to state and local governments from the protective headgear exemption.

Oversight also assumes the Department of Health and Senior Services will request increased appropriations depending on the actual increases in TBI paid for by the state.

Officials from the **Department of Mental Health (DMH)** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight notes that DMH has stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for DMH.

§§302.170 & 302.181 - Digital Driver's License Program

Officials at the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume the following regarding this proposal:

Administrative Impact

The proposed legislation will require amendments to our current vendor contract or a request for procurement of a new license vendor contract to implement a digital driver license option, also referred to as the Mobile Driver License Application (mDL).

The cost for the digital driver license program is based on estimates provided by the Department's current licensing vendor. The Department will seek additional appropriations if a decision is made to move forward with full implementation.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 28 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

There is a \$4.49 annual subscription fee associated with the purchase of an mDL, which is paid by the mDL holder to the app store when downloading the application and activating the mDL. This fee includes the app store fee and the vendor's fee. Once the app store collects its portion of the fee, the remaining fee balance is passed on to the vendor for its services.

Implementation and Program Services \$700,000 one time Cloud Hosting Charges \$400,000 per year First year total \$1,100,000

Each year following: \$400,000

The actual final pricing could potentially be higher or significantly lower depending on the final scope, requirements, adoption trends, development of the alternate business models, etc. Potential models exist that could reduce and possibly eliminate these costs. Until an RFP is issued and responses from potential contractors come in, the Department will assume the high end of the cost estimates.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary in regards to DOR's assumptions; however, DOR has indicated the \$1,100,000 cost in FY 2021 could be lower depending on several factors. Therefore, Oversight will reflect DOR's costs of "Up to \$1,100,000" on the fiscal note.

DOR notes to implement the proposed legislation, the Department will be required to:

- Work with Office of Administration to amend the current license issuance vendor contract or issue a Request for Proposal (RFP) to compare available pricing and technology for Mobile Driver License Applications;
- Complete business requirements and design documents to modify the Missouri Electronic Driver License (MEDL) Central Issuance system, the Missouri Driver License System (MODL), Missouri AAMVA Interface (MAI), and supporting applications to allow for integration with the Mobile Driver License Application (mDL);
- Update forms, manuals, letters, and the Department website;
- Update the on-line and printed versions of the Missouri Driver Guide, Missouri Motorcycle Guide and Commercial Driver License Manual;
- Update policies, procedures, and the Uniform License Issuance Manual (ULIM);
- Train staff: and

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 29 of 46 June 10, 2020

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

• Deploy outreach efforts to inform the public, state and federal agencies and other entities using the Department of Revenue issued driver or nondriver license (identification card) for verification of identity of the Mobile Driver License Application (mDL).

FY 2021 - Driver License Bureau

Administrative Analyst II	1,512 hrs. @ \$17.13 per hr.	= \$25,901
Management Analysis Spec II	2,352 hrs. @ \$20.57 per hr.	= \$48,381
Revenue Manager	756 hrs. @ \$20.59 per hr.	<u>= \$15,566</u>
Total		= \$89,848

FY 2022 - Driver License Bureau

Revenue Processing Tech II	1,008 hrs. @ \$13.07 per hr.	= \$ 13,175
Administrative Analyst II	1,531 hrs. @ \$17.13 per hr.	= \$ 26,226
Management Analysis Spec II	3,659 hrs. @ \$20.57 per hr.	= \$ 75,266
Revenue Manager	766 hrs. @ \$20.59 per hr.	= \$ 15,772
Total		= \$130,439

FY 2022 - Personnel Services Bureau

Administrative Analyst II	1,176 hrs. @ \$17.13 per hr.	= \$20,145
Management Analysis Spec II	1,176 hrs. @ \$20.57 per hr.	= \$24,190
Total		= \$44,335

Oversight assumes DOR could hire additional FTE as a result of this proposal. Based on the number of hours required to implement this proposal, Oversight assumes DOR could hire up to 4 additional FTE. Oversight will reflect the costs of potential FTE in the corresponding years the work will be required. Oversight assumes required hours over 1,080 may necessitate an additional FTE to be hired; therefore, Oversight assumes DOR may need 2 additional FTE in FY 2021 and four additional FTE in FY 2022.

Below is a summary of the FTE costs by fiscal year:

FY 2021 (10 Months) - Driver License Bureau

1 Administrative Analyst II 1 Management Analysis Specialist II Salary plus fringe benefits = \$106,249

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 30 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

FY 2022 - Driver License Bureau

1 Administrative Analyst II 1 Management Analysis Specialist II Salary plus fringe benefits = \$128,542

FY 2022 - Personnel Services Bureau

1 Administrative Analyst II

1 Management Analysis Specialist II

Salary plus fringe benefits = \$128,542

Officials from the **Department of Transportation (MoDOT)** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight notes that MoDOT has stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for MoDOT.

§302.205 - Medical Alert Notation on Driver's Licenses and Identification Cards

Officials at the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume the following regarding this proposal:

Administrative Impact

The proposed legislation would require the Department to work with our license issuance vendor to modify the card design for driver license and nondriver identification card printing to include a medical notation for specific medical conditions, illnesses, and disorders. The current card format does not have the available space to allow for a string of information as it appears to be required by the proposed language.

Redesigning the card to allow this additional notation may require reduction of important license restriction information or the card may not comply with the 2016 Card Design Standards. DOR would need to:

 Work with the driver license issuance vendor to modify driver license and nondriver license card design to allow for placement of the proposed data string detailing the requested medical notation;

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 31 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

- Complete business requirements and design documents to modify the Missouri Electronic Driver License (MEDL) issuance system, the Central Driver Information System (CDIS), and the Missouri Driver License System (MODL) in relation to the medical alert notation;
- Complete programming and user acceptance testing of the Missouri Electronic Driver License (MEDL) issuance system and supporting applications;
- Submit a change request to the current license vendor to modify the fillable donor information section on the back of the driver license and nondriver identification card and request a placeholder for a medical information notations;
- Complete testing with factory print facility for data changes and card design changes for the new medical alert notation;
- Update forms, manuals, correspondence letters, and the Department website;
- Create a new application form for applicants to request a medical notation, including the waiver and space for the physician's sworn statement;
- Update the mail-in application forms for military renewal application;
- Update the on-line and printed versions of the Missouri Driver Guide, Missouri Motorcycle Guide and Commercial Driver License Manual;
- Update policies, procedures, and the Uniform License Issuance Manual (ULIM); and
- Train staff.

FY 2021 - Driver License Bureau

Administrative Analyst II	1,192 hrs. @ \$17.13 per hr.	= \$20,419
Management Analysis Spec II	2,032 hrs. @ \$20.57 per hr.	= \$41,798
Revenue Manager	240 hrs. @ \$20.59 per hr.	<u>= \$ 4,942</u>
Total		= \$67,159

FY 2021 - Personnel Services Bureau

Administrative Analyst III	120 hrs. @ \$19.80 per hr.	=\$2,376
Management Analysis Spec I	120 hrs. @ \$18.42 per hr.	= \$2,210
Total		= \$4,586

FY 2022 - Driver License Bureau

Administrative Analyst II	40 hrs. @ \$17.13 per hr.	= \$ 685
Management Analysis Spec II	40 hrs. @ \$20.57 per hr.	= \$ 823
Revenue Manager	20 hrs. @ \$20.59 per hr.	<u>= \$ 412</u>
Total		=\$1,920

Total Costs = \$73,665

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 32 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight assumes DOR may hire additional staff due to the amount of hours required to implement this proposal. However, DOR also may handle this internal work without seeking additional appropriation authority. Oversight assumes DOR may hire two additional FTE to staff for the two positions listed above that are anticipated to need over 1,080 hours of work. Therefore, Oversight will range the fiscal impact to DOR from \$0 (work hours specified above will be handled by existing staff with no actual additional state expenditures) to an additional 2 FTE for FY 2021 (\$110,089) only.

DOR notes:

License Vendor Cost FY 2021

Due to the extensive changes that may be required to add this variable notation, the estimated one-time cost fo the vendor card redesign development, testing, and implementation is \$75,000.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary in regards to DOR's assumptions regarding vendor costs; therefore, Oversight will reflect DOR's vendor costs on the fiscal note.

DOR notes OA-ITSD states services will be required at a cost of \$122,197 (1,286.28 hours x \$95 per hour) in FY 2021 to implement these changes.

Oversight notes ITSD assumes that every new IT project/system will be bid out because all their resources are at full capacity. For this bill, ITSD assumes they will contract out the programming changes needed to the MEDL, MODL, and CDIS systems. ITSD estimates the project would take 1,286.28 hours at a contract rate of \$95 per hour for a total cost to the state of \$122,197. Oversight notes that an average salary for a current IT Specialist within ITSD is \$51,618, which totals roughly \$80,000 per year when fringe benefits are added. Assuming that all ITSD resources are at full capacity, Oversight assumes ITSD may (instead of contracting out the programming) hire an additional IT Specialist to perform the work required from this bill. However, for fiscal note purposes, Oversight will reflect the cost of \$122,197 as indicated by OA-ITSD and DOR.

Officials from the **Department of Transportation** and **Department of Health and Senior Services** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol (MHP)** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. MHP will be required to make a change to accept the new field from the DOR, but can make this change with in-house personnel and minimal development time.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 33 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight notes that the agencies mentioned above have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for these agencies.

§§302.720 & 302.723 - Disability Accommodations for Commercial Drivers' License Applicants

Officials at the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume the following regarding this proposal:

Administrative Impact

To implement the proposed legislation, the Department will be required to:

- Work with OA-ITSD to update the Missouri Electronic Driver License system (MEDL) and the Missouri Driver License system (MODL) for data collection or restriction processing;
- Work with the Missouri State Highway Patrol (MSHP) to draft regulation for testing accommodations for CDL knowledge and skills testing;
- Complete programming and user acceptance testing;
- Update procedures and the Department website;
- Update the Uniform License Issuance Manual (ULIM); and
- Update the Missouri Driver Guide and CDL manual.

FY 2021 - Driver License Bureau

Management Analysis Spec II	160 hrs. @ \$20.57 per hr.	=\$3,291
Administrative Analyst II	80 hrs. @ \$17.13 per hr.	= \$1,370
Revenue Manager	40 hrs. @ \$20.59 per hr.	<u>= \$ 824</u>
Total		= \$5,485

FY 2021 - Personnel Services Bureau

Administrative Analyst III	10 hrs. @ \$19.80 per hr.	= \$	198
Management Analysis Spec I	10 hrs. @ \$18.42 per hr.	= \$	184
Total		= \$	382

Total Cost = \$5,867

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 34 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight assumes DOR will use existing staff and will not hire additional FTE to conduct these activities; therefore, Oversight will not reflect the administrative costs DOR has indicated on the fiscal note.

DOR notes OA-ITSD services will be required at a cost of \$13,441 (141.48 hours x \$95 per hour) in FY 2021.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary in regards to DOR's assumptions regarding OA-ITSD costs; therefore, Oversight will reflect these costs on the fiscal note.

Officials from the **Department of Transportation** and **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight notes that the agencies mentioned above have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for these agencies.

§303.026 - Motor Vehicle Insurance Records

Officials at the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume there will be no fiscal impact with utilizing current insurance database until funding for an integrated system is secured.

Oversight notes that DOR has stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for DOR.

§303.200 - Residual Insurance Market Entities

Officials from the **Department of Commerce and Insurance (DCI)** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Officials from DCI state that here is no fiscal impact to DCI because these high risk pools are currently already in operation and the department is already overseeing their operations. This legislation will not change or alter how the department oversees these operations.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 35 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

The language updates the organizational structure of the governing bodies of these high risk pools and provides personal liability protection to those serving at no compensation on these non-profit Boards and Commissions. Recent litigation around the country has revealed personal liability exposure for these non-compensated Board members. This language is intended to protect the individual board members from their personal liability; any professional liability arising through their official acts would still be covered by the high risk pools themselves.

As to the auto insurance high risk pool plan legislative language, this language allows the plan to change how policies are administered - by adding additional approved contractual arrangements.

It adds provisions into the statute regarding assessments; however, that language reflects what was already within the approved Plan of Operation and reflects no change in how assessments for the auto high risk pool have been administered since its inception in the 1950s.

The current contract administrator for the private passenger auto insurance high risk pool, which contracted under the previous statutory language, has given formal notice they intend to terminate their contract. This language will allow the high risk pool to contract with other entities to continue servicing private passenger auto policies in the high risk pool.

Again, these provisions have no fiscal impact to the DCI.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight notes that the above mentioned agencies have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their respective organizations. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note.

§304.170 - Weight and Length Restrictions for Trailers Designed to Carry Harvested Cotton

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture**, **Department of Transportation**, **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol** and **Department of Revenue** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight notes that the agencies mentioned above have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for these agencies.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 36 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

§§304.172 & 304.180 - Weigh and Load Restrictions for Emergency Vehicles Operating on Interstates

Officials from the **Department of Transportation (MoDOT)** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. MoDOT states this proposal is a federally mandated correction to current statute, and failure to comply will result in federal monies to the State Road Fund to be redirected to achieving federal compliance. This would cost MoDOT approximately \$2.6 million in one-time signage costs and would cost counties and municipalities approximately \$6.2 million in one-time signage costs.

Oversight assumes this proposal will put Missouri in compliance with federal mandates; therefore, Oversight assumes there will be no additional costs to MoDOT.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol (MHP)** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight notes that MHP has stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for MHP.

§§305.800, 305.802, 305.804, 305.806, 305.808 & 305.810 - Removal and Disposal of Abandoned Aircraft

Officials from the **Department of Transportation (MoDOT)** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight notes that MoDOT has stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for that agency.

Oversight only reflects the responses that we have received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, several Recorders of Deeds were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A general listing of political subdivisions included in our database is available upon request.

Oversight assumes the procedures governing the removal and disposal of abandoned aircraft would not be invoked often enough to materially impact the budget of any affected political subdivision.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 37 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

§306.127 - Temporary Boater Safety Identification Cards Sunset Extension

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol (MHP)** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. MHP notes the Water Patrol Division currently has a system in place regarding the temporary boater identification cards.

Oversight inquired of MHP as to how many temporary boater safety identification cards have been issued. **MHP** stated the following:

CY 2019: 3,561 cards purchased at a cost of \$7.75 each for a total of \$27,597.75 (net) CY 2018: 2,798 cards purchased at a cost of \$7.75 each for a total of \$21,684.50 (net) CY 2017: 2,696 cards purchased at a cost of \$7.75 each for a total of \$20,894.00 (net)

MHP notes the temporary boater safety identification cards are sold for \$9; however, Jet Pay (payment processing vendor) collects \$1.25 of the \$9.

CY 2019: 3,561 payments processed at \$1.25 each (to Jet Pay) for a total of \$4,451.25 CY 2018: 2,798 payments processed at \$1.25 each (to Jet Pay) for a total of \$3,497.50 CY 2017: 2,696 payments processed at \$1.25 each (to Jet Pay) for a total of \$3,370.00

Oversight notes the fund balance in the Water Patrol Division Fund (0400) as of March 31, 2020 was \$1,713,849.

Oversight notes that the authority to issue temporary boater safety identification cards and collect the associated fees in Section 306.127, RSMo expires on December 31, 2022. This proposal extends that expiration date to December 31, 2032. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a revenue extension (continuance) of "Less than \$30,000" beginning January 1, 2023 for this proposal. In addition, Oversight will reflect a continuing cost of "Less than \$5,000" beginning January 1, 2023 for a net fiscal impact of "Less than \$25,000."

§307.015 - Dump Truck Mud Flaps

Officials from the **Department of Transportation**, **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol** and **Department of Revenue** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 38 of 46 June 10, 2020

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight notes that the agencies mentioned above have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for these agencies.

§407.1329 - Recreation Vehicle Dealer Agreements

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office** and **Department of Revenue** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight notes that the agencies mentioned above have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for these agencies.

Bill as a Whole

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assume many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could require additional resources.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules (JCAR)** state that the proposal is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact to their agency beyond its current appropriation.

Oversight assumes JCAR will be able to administer any rules resulting from this proposal with existing resources.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963 Page 39 of 46

Page 39 of 46 June 10, 2020

KB:LR:OD

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government GENERAL REVENUE FUND	FY 2021 (10 Mo.)	FY 2022	FY 2023	Fully Implemented (FY 2025)
Revenue - title/registration fees for ATVs (§§300.010 & 407.815) p. 10	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Cost - DOR (§§301.140, 301.190, 301.210, 301.213, 301.280 & 301.560) p. 12				
Personal Services	(\$87,730)	(\$106,329)	(\$107,392)	(\$108,466)
Fringe Benefits Total Costs - DOR	(\$63,695) \$151,425	(\$69,872) (\$176,201)	(\$70,225) (\$177,617)	(\$70,582) (\$179,048)
FTE Change -	¥,	(4-7-5))	(+-//,/)	(+-12,5-12)
DOR	3 FTE	3 FTE	3 FTE	3 FTE
Cost - OA-ITSD services (§\$301.140, 301.190, 301.210, 301.213, 301.280 & 301.560) p. 13	(\$100,858)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Cost - DOR - OA- ITSD (§§301.3069 & 301.3159) p.18	(\$12,620)	\$0	\$0	\$0
<u>Cost</u> - DOR - OA- ITSD services (§301.3174) p. 19	(\$40,528)	\$0	\$0	\$0
<u>Cost</u> - DOR - OA- ITSD services (§301.3176) p. 21	(\$10,569)	\$0	\$0	\$0
UD ID OD				

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963 Page 40 of 46 $\,$

Page 40 of 46 June 10, 2020

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government (continued)	FY 2021 (10 Mo.)	FY 2022	FY 2023	Fully Implemented (FY 2025)
Cost - DOR - implementation and program services (§§302.170 & 302.181) p. 28	(Up to \$700,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Cost - DOR - cloud hosting services (§§302.170 & 302.181) p. 28	(Up to \$400,000)	(Up to \$400,000)	(Up to \$400,000)	(Up to \$400,000)
Cost - DOR - Administrative costs (ranged from \$0 or up to costs of FTE per fiscal year) (§§302.170 &				
302.181) p. 29 FTE Change -	\$0 to (106,249)	\$0 to (\$257,084)	\$0	\$0
DOR	0 or 2 FTE	0 or 4 FTE	0 FTE	0 FTE
Cost - DOR - Administrative costs (ranged from using existing staff to hiring two (2) additional FTE for FY 2021)				
(§302.205) p. 31 FTE Change -	\$0 to (\$110,089)	\$0	\$0	\$0
DOR	0 or 2 FTE	0 FTE	0 FTE	0 FTE
Cost - DOR - vendor cost for card redesign (§302.205)	(675.000)	40	40	do.
p. 32	(\$75,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0

KB:LR:OD

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963 Page 41 of 46 $\,$

Page 41 of 46 June 10, 2020

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government (continued)	FY 2021 (10 Mo.)	FY 2022	FY 2023	Fully Implemented (FY 2025)
<u>Cost</u> - DOR - OA- ITSD costs (§302.205) p. 32	(\$122,197)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Cost - DOR - OA- ITSD costs (§§302.720 & 302.723) p. 34	(\$13,441)	\$0	\$0	\$0
<u>Cost</u> - DOR - OA- ITSD costs (§32.300) p. 4	(\$336,528)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Cost - DOR - OA- ITSD costs (§32.300) p. 4	(\$1,664,226)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Cost - SPD - Salaries, fringe benefits, and equipment and expense (§§217.850, 577.800, and 632.460, SA 3) p. 7	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
Loss - §143.441 Air Freight Forwarders defined as Corporations p. 4	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
Loss - Jet Fuel Tax Sales Exemption (§144.805) p. 5-6	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$8,847,000)

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963 Page 42 of 46

June 10, 2020

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government (continued)	FY 2021 (10 Mo.)	FY 2022	FY 2023	Fully Implemented (FY 2025)
Loss - DOR - lost potential earnings on sales tax revenue (§§301.140, 301.190, 301.210, 301.213, 301.280 & 301.560) p. 13	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(Could Exceed \$3,727,392 to \$3,943,730)	(Could Exceed \$676,201 to \$933,285)	(Could exceed <u>\$677,617)</u>	(Could exceed \$9,526,048)
Estimated Net FTE Change to the General Revenue Fund	3 to 7 FTE	3 to 7 FTE	3 FTE	3 FTE
AVIATION TRUST FUND				
Revenue - Continuation of Direct Payments (§144.805) p. 5-6	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$4,979,858</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE AVIATION TRUST FUND	0.2	0.2	0.2	\$4 070 959
IKUSI FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$4,979,858</u>

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963 Page 43 of 46

June 10, 2020

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government (continued)	FY 2021 (10 Mo.)	FY 2022	FY 2023	Fully Implemented (FY 2025)
CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND				
Loss - Jet Fuel Tax Sales Exemption (§144.805) p. 5-6	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	(\$161,131)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(\$161,131)</u>
PARK, SOIL, WATER FUNDS				
Loss - Jet Fuel Tax Sales Exemption (§144.805) p. 5-6	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	(\$128,905)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE PARK, SOIL AND WATER FUNDS	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	(\$128,905)

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963 Page 44 of 46

Page 44 of 46 June 10, 2020

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE WATER PATROL DIVISION FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	Less than <u>\$25,000</u>	Less than <u>\$25,000</u>
Cost - MHP - \$1.25 payment processing fee to Jet Pay (§306.127) p. 37	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	(Less than \$5,000)	(Less than \$5,000)
WATER PATROL DIVISION FUND Revenue Extension - MHP - Extension of Fee (§306.127) from 12/22 to 12/32 - \$9 fee on average of over 3,000 cards issued annually (§306.127) p. 37	\$0	\$0	(Less than \$30,000)	(Less than \$30,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE SCHOOL DISTRICT TRUST	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(\$1,289,047)</u>
Loss - Jet Fuel Tax Sales Exemption (§144.805) p. 5-6	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	(\$1,289,047)
SCHOOL DISTRICT TRUST FUND				
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government (continued)	FY 2021 (10 Mo.)	FY 2022	FY 2023	Fully Implemented (FY 2025)

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 45 of 46 June 10, 2020

FISCAL IMPACT -				Fully
Local Government	FY 2021			Implemented
	(10 Mo.)	FY 2022	FY 2023	(FY 2025)
LOCAL				
POLITICAL				
SUBDIVISIONS				
Loss - Jet Fuel Tax				
Sales Exemption				
(§144.805) p. 5-6	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	(\$11,800,000)
ESTIMATED NET				
EFFECT ON				
LOCAL				
POLITICAL				
SUBDIVISIONS	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(\$11,800,000)</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

§143.441 - Small businesses that buy aviation fuel could be impacted as a result of this proposal.

§144.070 - Small motor vehicle dealers could be positively impacted as a result of this proposal.

§§301.140, 301.190, 301.210, 301.213, 301.280 & 301.560 - Small dealerships could be impacted as a result of this proposal.

§303.200 - Small business insurance companies may be impacted by this proposal.

§301.193 - Certain small business used motor vehicle dealers may be impacted by this proposal.

§407.1329 - Small business RV dealers may be impacted by this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation modifies provisions relating to transportation.

This legislation is federally mandated (§§304.172 & 304.180), would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS #3 for SCS for HB 1963

Page 46 of 46 June 10, 2020

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue

Department of Transportation

Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol

Attorney General's Office

Department of Commerce and Insurance

Department of Agriculture

Missouri Department of Conservation

Department of Natural Resources

Office of the Secretary of State

Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

Office of Administration - Budget and Planning

State Tax Commission

Department of Economic Development

Public Service Commission

Office of Administration

Office of the Governor

Office of the State Courts Administrator

Office of Prosecution Services

Office of the State Public Defender

Department of Corrections

Department of Social Services

Department of Mental Health

Julie Morff Director

Julie Moff

June 10, 2020

Ross Strope Assistant Director June 10, 2020