COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 5201-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SJR 62

Subject: Animals; Department of Conservation; Constitutional Amendments; Fishing and

Hunting

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 21, 2020

Bill Summary: This proposal amends the Constitution to assert the right to hunt and fish.

FISCAL SUMMARY

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2021 | FY 2022 | FY 2023 |
| General Revenue | \$0 or (More than \$7,800,000) | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue | \$0 or (More than \$7,800,000) | \$0 | \$0 |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2021 | FY 2022 | FY 2023 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 5201-01 Bill No. SJR 62 Page 2 of 6 February 21, 2020

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2021 | FY 2022 | FY 2023 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) | | | | |
|--|---------|--------------|---|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2021 | FY 2022 FY 2 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2021 | FY 2022 | FY 2023 | |
| Local Government | \$0* | \$0 | \$0 | |

^{*}Transfer out and transfer in net to zero <u>if</u> a special election is called.

L.R. No. 5201-01 Bill No. SJR 62 Page 3 of 6 February 21, 2020

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC)** assume this proposal will have an unknown negative fiscal impact caused by conflicting language between this constitutional amendment language and the Wildlife Code of Missouri that lead to enforcement actions.

Oversight notes according to MDC's website, MDC seeks input from the public in regards to changes to the Missouri Wildlife Code on an annual basis. From this input, recommendations are provided to the Director and the Conservation Commission. Oversight assumes there will not be a direct fiscal impact. Fiscal impact will be determined after MDC seeks input from the public in regards to changes to the Missouri Wildlife Code.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assume each year, a number of joint resolutions that would refer to a vote of the people a constitutional amendment and bills that would refer to a vote of the people the statutory issue in the legislation may be considered by the General Assembly.

Unless a special election is called for the purpose, Joint Resolutions proposing a constitutional amendment are submitted to a vote of the people at the next general election. Article XII section 2(b) of the Missouri Constitution authorizes the governor to order a special election for constitutional amendments referred to the people. If a special election is called to submit a Joint Resolution to a vote of the people, §115.063.2, RSMo, requires the state to pay the costs. The cost of the special election has been estimated to be \$7.8 million based on the cost of the 2016 Presidential Preference Primary.

The SOS is required to pay for publishing in local newspapers the full text of each statewide ballot measure as directed by Article XII, Section 2(b) of the Missouri Constitution and Section 116.230-116.290, RSMo. Funding for this item is adjusted each year depending upon the election cycle. A new decision item is requested in odd numbered fiscal years and the amount requested is dependent upon the estimated number of ballot measures that will be approved by the General Assembly and the initiative petitions certified for the ballot. In FY 2014, the General Assembly changed the appropriation so that it was no longer an estimated appropriation.

In FY19, over \$5.8 million was spent to publish the full text of the measures for the August and November elections. The SOS estimates \$65,000 per page for the costs of publications based on the actual cost incurred for the one referendum that was on the August 2018 ballot.

L.R. No. 5201-01 Bill No. SJR 62 Page 4 of 6 February 21, 2020

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

The SOS will continue to assume, for the purposes of this fiscal note, that it should have the full appropriation authority it needs to meet the publishing requirements. Because these requirements are mandatory, we reserve the right to request funding to meet the cost of our publishing requirements if the Governor and the General Assembly again change the amount or continue to not designate it as an estimated appropriation.

Oversight has reflected in this fiscal note, the state potentially reimbursing local political subdivisions the cost of having this joint resolution voted on during a special election in fiscal year 2021. This reflects the decision made by the Joint Committee on Legislative Research, that the cost of the elections should be shown in the fiscal note. The next scheduled statewide primary election is in August 2020 and the next scheduled general election is in November 2020 (FY 2021 for both). It is assumed the subject within this proposal could be on one of these ballots; however, it could also be on a special election called for by the Governor (on a different date). Therefore, Oversight will reflect a potential election cost reimbursement to local political subdivisions in FY 2021.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules (JCAR)** state that the proposal is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact to their agency beyond its current appropriation.

Oversight assumes JCAR will be able to administer any rules resulting from this proposal with existing resources.

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND | \$0 or (More than <u>\$7,800,000</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |
|---|--|------------|------------|
| <u>Transfer Out</u> - SOS - reimbursement of local election authority election costs if a special election is called by the Governor | \$0 or (More than \$7,800,000 | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |
| FISCAL IMPACT - State Government GENERAL REVENUE FUND | FY 2021 (10 Mo.) | FY 2022 | FY 2023 |

L.R. No. 5201-01 Bill No. SJR 62 Page 5 of 6 February 21, 2020

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This constitutional amendment, if adopted by the voters, affirms the right to hunt, fish, and harvest wildlife. No law, rule, or regulation shall unreasonably restrict hunting, fishing, or harvesting wildlife or the use of traditional devices and methods.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 5201-01 Bill No. SJR 62 Page 6 of 6 February 21, 2020

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Missouri Department of Conservation Office of the Secretary of State Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

Julie Morff Director

February 21, 2020

Ross Strope Assistant Director February 21, 2020

Cim Al