

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0855S.04A
 Bill No.: SS for HCS for HB 369, with SA1
 Subject: Agriculture, Department of; Animals; Cemeteries; Civil Procedure; Conservation,
 Department of; Fishing and Hunting; Law Enforcement Officers & Agencies;
 Liability; Natural Resources, Department of; Parks and Recreation; Property, Real
 and Personal; Search and Seizure
 Type: Original
 Date: May 12, 2021

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to land management.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
General Revenue*	Could exceed (\$95,530)	Could exceed (\$19,988)	Could exceed (\$20,487)
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	Could exceed (\$95,5630)	Could exceed (\$19,988)	Could exceed (\$20,487)

*Oversight assumes the fiscal impact to the state (if any) would not reach the \$250,000 threshold.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Antioch Cemetery Fund*	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.
- Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Local Government	Could exceed \$19,500	Could exceed \$19,988	Could exceed \$20,487

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Due to time constraints, **Oversight** was unable to receive some agency responses in a timely manner and performed limited analysis. Oversight has presented this fiscal note on the best current information that we have or on information regarding a similar bill(s). Upon the receipt of agency responses, Oversight will review to determine if an updated fiscal note should be prepared and seek the necessary approval to publish a new fiscal note.

§253.387 – Authorizes DNR to purchase a historic cemetery

In response to similar legislation from this year (SB 396), officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** assumed the following regarding this proposal:

Section 253.390.1 does not identify how the property of the Antioch Cemetery located at 2300 Antioch Road, Clinton, Missouri, is to be purchased. Therefore, the impact of acquiring the property is an unknown impact to the Department.

Section 253.390.2 states the Department will make adequate provisions for the proper care, maintenance, and safekeeping of the property to be acquired by the Department of Natural Resources, Division of State Parks. In order for the Division of State Parks to care, maintain and keep the site safe, the Department would need to construct a parking lot and put fencing around the site at an estimated cost of \$64,100. Surveys, both for the site and National Register, would need to be completed, nomination to the National Register of Historic Places (if eligible), ground penetrating radar, additional staffing needs of a Maintenance Worker II to provide oversight of the care of the facility, signage, interpretive panels, and additional expense and equipment costs for maintenance and repair services such as contracted mowing, purchase of chemicals, gravel, roadway maintenance, parking area maintenance and tree maintenance for an estimated total of \$133,248 in FY 2022. The analysis was based on a comparable site within Missouri State Parks for the proper care, maintenance, and safekeeping of the proposed property.

The Department and the Division of States Parks does not have the funds appropriated or otherwise available to acquire by gift, for the acquisition of the Antioch Cemetery located at 2300 Antioch Road, Clinton, Missouri, and is therefore requesting the funding from General Revenue to acquire, provisions for proper care, maintenance, and safekeeping of the proposed property.

Oversight will assume the Department of Natural Resources will not be required to purchase the cemetery and will not reflect a cost for acquisition. Also, upon discussion with the sponsor of a similar bill from 2020 and viewing the cemetery's layout, Oversight assumes DNR will not develop a parking lot, and therefore, will not reflect the estimated \$25,000 cost in the fiscal note.

Oversight will also not reflect an additional .25 FTE in staffing needs. However, Oversight notes there may be additional bills that pass this year that require additional work (partial FTE) by DNR and cumulatively, DNR may need an additional full FTE in future budgets.

In response to similar legislation from this year (SB 396), officials from the **Office of Administration (OA) – Facilities Management and Design and Construction (FMDC)** assumed this bill authorizes the Department of Natural Resources to acquire the historic Antioch Cemetery in Clinton, Missouri.

If this property transfer were to occur, FMDC assumes that routine maintenance and management of the property would be performed by the Department of Natural Resources. However, FMDC would be responsible for contracting for and overseeing any necessary capital improvement projects, as with other state park and historic site properties. FMDC assumes that the number of capital improvement projects would be few, if any, given the nature of the property. Therefore, FMDC assumes that there will be no or minimal fiscal impact as a result of this bill. Any work required by this bill could be absorbed by FMDC's existing staff.

Oversight assumes OA is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes OA could absorb the costs related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties at substantial costs, OA could request funding through the appropriation process.

In response to similar legislation from this year (SB 396), officials from the **Attorney General's Office** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for this agency.

In response to similar legislation from this year (SB 396), officials from the **Office of the State Treasurer** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for this agency.

Oversight will also reflect a savings to local political subdivisions of roughly \$19,000 annually if DNR either pays for or performs the maintenance and repair services instead of the City of Clinton and/or the cemetery board.

Oversight notes this proposal authorizes DNR to acquire the property. Oversight assumes DNR may choose not to acquire the property, in which case there will be no fiscal impact. However, Oversight will reflect in the fiscal note the impact of DNR acquiring the cemetery by gift in FY 2022.

§§270.170, 270.180, 270.260, 270.270 & 270.400 – Feral Swine

In response to similar legislation from this year (SCS for HCS for HB 508), officials from the **Missouri Highway Patrol, Office of the State Courts Administrator** and **Missouri Office of Prosecution Services** each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

In response to similar legislation from this year (SCS for HCS for HB 508), officials from the **Missouri Department of Agriculture** and **Missouri Department of Conservation** each assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

In response to similar legislation from this year (SCS for HCS for HB 508), for the purpose of the proposed legislation, and as a result of excessive caseloads, the **Missouri State Public Defender (SPD)** could not assume existing staff will be able to provide competent, effective representation for any new cases where indigent persons are charged with the proposed new crime(s) under Chapter 270 concerning feral swine, which are classified as a class E felony. The Missouri State Public Defender System is currently providing legal representation in caseloads in excess of recognized standards. While the number of new cases may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide competent and effective representation in all cases where the right to counsel attaches.

Oversight assumes the SPD will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the SPD for fiscal note purposes.

In response to similar legislation from this year (SCS for HCS for HB 508), officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assumed the following regarding this proposal:

Section 270.270, RSMo, states any person possessing or transporting live Russian or European wild boar or wild-caught swine on or through public land is guilty of a class A misdemeanor for a first offense and a class E felony for subsequent offenses. The language making subsequent offenses a class E felony is new.

Since this is a new offense, the department will use a standard class E felony response. For each new nonviolent class E felony, the department estimates one person will be sentenced to prison and two to probation. The average sentence for a nonviolent class E felony offense is 3.4 years, of which 2.1 years will be served in prison with 1.4 years to first release. The remaining 1.3 years will be on parole. Probation sentences will be 3 years.

The cumulative impact on the department is estimated to be 2 additional offenders in prison and 7 additional offenders on field supervision by FY 2024.

	# to prison	Cost per year	Total Costs for prison	# of offenders to Probation & Parole	Costs for more P&P officers	Grand Total of Costs to DOC
Year 1	1	(\$7,756)	(\$6,463)	2	\$0	(\$6,463)
Year 2	2	(\$7,756)	(\$15,822)	4	\$0	(\$15,822)
Year 3	2	(\$7,756)	(\$16,139)	7	\$0	(\$16,139)
Year 4	2	(\$7,756)	(\$16,461)	7	\$0	(\$16,461)
Year 5	2	(\$7,756)	(\$16,791)	7	\$0	(\$16,791)
Year 6	2	(\$7,756)	(\$17,127)	7	\$0	(\$17,127)
Year 7	2	(\$7,756)	(\$17,469)	7	\$0	(\$17,469)
Year 8	2	(\$7,756)	(\$17,818)	7	\$0	(\$17,818)
Year 9	2	(\$7,756)	(\$18,175)	7	\$0	(\$18,175)
Year 10	2	(\$7,756)	(\$18,538)	7	\$0	(\$18,538)

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary in regards to DOC’s assumptions; therefore, Oversight will reflect DOC’s estimated costs on the fiscal note.

Oversight notes this version of the legislation adds a provision that a person who recklessly or knowingly releases any swine to live in a wild or feral state upon any public or private land may be fined up to \$2,000 for each separate offense. Oversight is unaware of how many convictions this could result in; therefore, Oversight will reflect a positive “Unknown” amount of revenue to local school districts.

Oversight notes this proposal also repeals provisions of law creating the Animal Health Fund. Oversight referred to the Monthly Fund Activity Reports published by the Office of the State Treasurer and was unable to find this fund on the reports as far back as 2012; therefore, Oversight assumes there will be no impact from this provision.

§§537.346 & 537.347 – Landowner liability if owner invites person on land for recreational purposes

In response to similar legislation from this year (Perfectred HS for HCS for HB 441), officials from the **City of Kansas City** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their

organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note.

In response to similar legislation from this year (Perfect HS for HCS for HB 441), officials from the **Department of Commerce and Insurance**, the **Department of Natural Resources**, the **Department of Public Safety's Office of the Director, State Emergency Management Agency**, the **Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development**, the **Department of Health and Senior Services**, the **Department of Corrections**, the **Missouri National Guard**, the **Missouri Highway Patrol**, the **Department of Social Services**, the **Missouri House of Representatives**, the **Joint Committee on Education**, the **Oversight Division**, the **Missouri Senate**, the **Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan**, the **Missouri Office of Prosecution Services**, the **MoDOT & Patrol Employees' Retirement System** and the **Missouri Department of Transportation** each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

In response to similar legislation from this year (Perfect HS for HCS for HB 441), officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assumed impact to only those sections mentioned above. DOR assumes all other sections from the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for those sections.

In response to similar legislation from this year (Perfect HS for HCS for HB 441), officials from the **City of Corder**, the **Jackson County Board of Elections** and the **St. Louis County Board of Elections** each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

§537.354 – Limited Liability from Prescribed Burns

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Office of Administration (OA)** assumed the proposal limits the ability of State agencies to recover for damage to state-owned property caused by a prescribed burn conducted by adjoining property owner. The fiscal impact of this bill is unknown as the frequency of such instances and any resulting damage would depend on the facts and circumstances of each incident. As the state self assumes liability for damage to most property, any resulting damage would be paid for by state funds.

Oversight notes each incident would have to be reviewed on a case by case basis and is unclear how many instances would occur and/or how much damages would incur on an annual basis as a result of this proposal. Oversight inquired the Office of Administration for additional information regarding the number of prescribed burns relating to state property and will update any information received in a future fiscal note. **Oversight** does not have information to the contrary and therefore, Oversight will reflect a \$0 to negative unknown impact for this proposal.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Department of Natural Resources**, the **Department of Public Safety's Fire Safety and Office of the Director**, the **Department of Commerce and Insurance**, the **Missouri Department of Agriculture**, the **Missouri Department of Conservation**, the **Office of the State Courts Administrator**, the **Missouri Department of Transportation**, the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules**, the **State Tax Commission**, the **Office of the Secretary of State** and the **Attorney General's Office** each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **City of Claycomo**, the **City of Corder**, the **City of Hughesville**, the **City of Kansas City**, the **City of O'Fallon**, the **City of Springfield**, the **City of St. Louis** and **Boone County** each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Fruitland Area Fire Protection District** assumed the proposal will have a positive impact for prescribed burns by limiting the liability to the burn manager and the property owner. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for this agency.

§542.525 – Cameras on private property

In response to similar legislation from this year (HCS for HB 1166), officials from the **Attorney General's Office**, the **Department of Commerce and Insurance**, the **Department of Economic Development**, the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education**, the **Department of Health and Senior Services**, the **Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development**, the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations**, the **Department of Mental Health**, the **Department of Natural Resources**, the **Department of Corrections**, the **Department of Revenue**, the **Department of Public Safety – (Division of Alcohol and Tobacco Control, Capitol Police, Fire Safety, Missouri Gaming Commission, Missouri Highway Patrol, Missouri National Guard, Missouri Veterans Commission, Office of the Director, and State Emergency Management Agency)**, the **Department of Social Services**, the **Missouri Department of Agriculture**, the **Missouri Department of Conservation**, the **Missouri Department of Transportation**, the **MoDOT & Patrol Employees' Retirement System**, the **Office of Administration**, the **Office of Administration - Administrative Hearing Commission**, the **Office of the Secretary of State**, the **Office of the State Public Defender**, the **Missouri Lottery Commission**, **MCHCP**, **MOHELA**, **MOSERS**, the **Office of the State Courts Administrator**, the **Office of the Governor**, the **Missouri House of Representatives**, the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules**, the **Joint Committee on Education**, the **Legislative Research**, the **Oversight Division**, the **Missouri Senate**, the **Office of the State Auditor**, the **University of Missouri**, the **City of Claycomo**, the **City of Corder**, the **City of Kansas City**, the **City of O'Fallon**, the **City of Springfield**, the **City of St. Louis**, the **Kansas City Police Department**, and the **St. Louis County Police**

Department each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

In response to similar legislation from this year (HCS for HB 1166), officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning (B&P)** stated this proposal has no direct impact on B&P and no direct impact on general and total state revenues and will not impact the calculation pursuant to Art. X, Sec. 18(e).

In response to similar legislation from this year (HCS for HB 1166), officials from the **Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement (JCPER)** stated this proposal will not affect retirement plan benefits as defined in §105.660(9).

In response to similar legislation from this year (HCS for HB 1166), officials from the **Missouri Ethics Commission**, the **Office of the Lieutenant Governor**, the **Office of the State Treasurer**, the **Missouri Office of Prosecution Services** and **Boone County** each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for this section.

Senate Amendment 1 - §537.328 – Camping on Private Campground

In response to similar legislation from this year (Perfectured HB 1070), officials from the **Attorney General’s Office**, the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations**, the **Missouri Office of Prosecution Services** and the **Office of the State Public Defender** each assumed this proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Senate Amendment 1 (cont’d) - §§316.250 & 537.348 – Landowner Liability if land is adjacent to a park

In response to similar legislation from this year (Perfectured HB 1070), officials from the **Attorney General’s Office** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note.

In response to similar legislation from this year (Perfectured HB 1070), officials from the cities of **Ballwin** and **O’Fallon** each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

In response to similar legislation from this year (Perfectured HB 1070519), officials from the cities of **Brentwood**, **Norborne**, **Southwest City**, **St. Louis** and **Tipton** each assumed the proposal

will have no fiscal impact on their organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these sections.

Responses regarding the proposed legislation as a whole

Officials from the **State Tax Commission** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for this agency.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – State Government</u>	FY 2022 (10 Mo.)	FY 2023	FY 2024
GENERAL REVENUE			
<u>Cost - DNR - maintenance equipment (mower, trailer, weedeater, blower, etc.) (§253.387) p.3-4</u>	(\$19,500)	(\$19,988)	(\$20,487)
<u>Cost - DNR – fencing (§253.387) p.3-4</u>	(\$39,100)	\$0	\$0
<u>Cost - DNR – survey (§253.387) p.3-4</u>	(\$2,530)	\$0	\$0
<u>Cost - DNR - sign age (§253.387) p.3-4</u>	(\$4,400)	\$0	\$0
<u>Cost - DNR - historic survey and radar (§253.387) p.3-4</u>	(\$30,000)	\$0	\$0
<u>Cost - DOC - potential increase in the number of offenders under supervision (§§270.170, 270.180, 270.260, 270.270 & 270.400) p. 4-6</u>	\$0 to (\$6,463)	\$0 to (\$15,822)	\$0 to (\$16,139)
<u>Loss – OA – potential loss of recovering damages on state owned property from limiting liability on prescribed burns (§537.354) p.7</u>	<u>\$0 or (Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0 or (Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0 or (Unknown)</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON			

GENERAL REVENUE*	<u>Could exceed (\$95,530)</u>	<u>Could exceed (\$19,988)</u>	<u>Could exceed (\$19,988)</u>
ANTIOCH CEMETARY FUND			
Revenue – gifts, bequests, donations, collections and/or appropriations §253.387	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Cost – maintenance of the cemetery §253.387	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE ANTIOCH CEMETARY FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

*Costs in FY 2022 would be higher if DNR is required to pay to acquire the cemetery.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government</u>	FY 2022 (10 Mo.)	FY 2023	FY 2024
LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS			
<u>Savings</u> – annual maintenance now responsibility of DNR (§253.387) p. 3-4	\$19,500	\$19,988	\$20,487
<u>Revenue</u> – School Districts – fines (§§270.170, 270.180, 270.260, 270.270 & 270.400) p. 6	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	<u>Could exceed</u> <u>\$19,500</u>	<u>Could exceed</u> <u>\$19,988</u>	<u>Could exceed</u> <u>\$20,487</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

Small business farmers could be impacted by this proposal in section 537.354.

Small business campgrounds could be positively impacted by this proposal if it provides some level of protection against lawsuits in section 537.328.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

§253.387 – Authorizes DNR to purchase a historic cemetery

This bill authorizes the Department of Natural Resources to acquire by purchase or gift the Antioch Cemetery in Clinton, Missouri, to be operated and maintained by the Division of State Parks. The bill requires the Department to allow for burials to continue until all plots have been purchased. The Department can charge no more than \$100 per burial to be credited to the newly created "Antioch Cemetery Fund". The Department is not liable for the costs associated with the burial.

§§270.170, 270.180, 270.260, 270.270 & 270.400 – Feral Swine

This proposal modifies provisions relating to feral swine.

§537.354 – Limited Liability from Prescribed Burns

This bill creates the "Prescribed Burning Act", which specifies that any landowner or agent of a landowner will not be liable for damage, injury, or loss caused by a prescribed burn, as defined in the bill, or the resulting smoke of a prescribed burn unless the landowner is proven to be negligent. Additionally, no certified burn manager will be liable if the burn is conducted in accordance with a written prescribed burn plan unless the burn manager is found to be negligent. The provisions of the bill do not apply to damage, injury, or loss to property, lands, rights-of-way, or easements of certain utilities and railroad companies.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.


SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Administration
Department of Natural Resources
Department of Public Safety
Department of Commerce and Insurance
Missouri Department of Agriculture
Missouri Department of Conservation
Missouri Department of Transportation
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
State Tax Commission


Office of the Secretary of State
Attorney General's Office
Office of the State Courts Administrator
City of Ballwin
City of Brentwood
City of Norborne
City of Southwest City
City of Tipton
City of Claycomo
City of Corder
City of Hughesville
City of Kansas City
City of O'Fallon
City of Springfield
City of St. Louis
Boone County
Fruitland Area Fire Protection District
Department of Corrections
Office of the State Public Defender
Missouri Office of Prosecution Services
Missouri Highway Patrol
Department of Economic Development
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Mental Health
Department of Labor and Industrial Relations
Department of Revenue
Department of Social Services
Joint Committee on Education
Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement
Legislative Research
Missouri Ethics Commission
Missouri House of Representatives
Missouri Lottery Commission
Missouri Senate
MoDOT & Patrol Employees' Retirement System
Office of the Governor
Office of the Lieutenant Governor
Office of the State Auditor
Office of the State Treasurer
Oversight Division
University of Missouri System
EPARC

L.R. No. 0855S.04A
Bill No. SS for HCS for HB 369, with SA1
Page **16** of **16**
May 12, 2021

MCHCP
MOHELA
MOSERS
Kansas City Police Department
St. Louis County Police Department
Office of the State Treasurer



Julie Morff
Director
May 12, 2021



Ross Strobe
Assistant Director
May 12, 2021