# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH **OVERSIGHT DIVISION**

### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 1913S.02C Bill No.: SCS for SB 459

Children and Minors; Courts; Domestic Relations; Family Law Subject:

Type: Original

March 16, 2021 Date:

This proposal modifies provisions relating to child custody arrangements. Bill Summary:

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>			
Effect on General			
Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>			
Effect on Other State			
Funds	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

L.R. No. 1913S.02C Bill No. SCS for SB 459

Page **2** of **5** March 16, 2021

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>			
Effect on All Federal			
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>			
Effect on FTE	0	0	0

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced rev	enues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any
of the three fiscal years after implementation of the	e act or at full implementation of the act.

☐ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED FY 2022 FY 2023 FY 202				
Local Government \$0 \$0 \$0				

L.R. No. 1913S.02C Bill No. SCS for SB 459 Page **3** of **5** March 16, 2021

#### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

### **ASSUMPTION**

§452.375 – Child custody arrangements

Officials from the **Department of Social Services (DSS)** state the following:

**Income Maintenance (IM):** 

Sections 452.375.2 and 452.375.4:

The Family Support Division (FSD) has policies and procedures in place regarding custody arrangements in determining eligibility for all public assistance programs FSD administers. The provisions of this bill do not alter the eligibility criteria for any public assistance programs FSD administers.

**Child Support (CS):** 

Section 452.375.2

**FSD** 

The proposed section 452.375.2 requires courts to award parents' equal parenting time (i.e., 50/50 visitation) unless the presumption is rebutted by preponderance of the evidence. Under proposed 452.375.4 the general assembly encourages the court to enter a temporary parenting plan as early as practicable in a proceeding under Chapter 452, consistent with the provisions of subsection 2 of this section, when determining custody arrangements.

FSD Child Support assumes that if this bill passes most judicial orders will include equal parenting time for each parent. The directions for the Civil Procedure Form No. 14 allow a 34% visitation credit for a parent who has 181-183 overnight visits with his/her child (i.e., 50/50 visitation) up to 50% credit if the court determines the credit is unjust and inappropriate. A 50% visitation credit may result in a \$0 obligation. Today, courts may enter no parenting time up to equal parenting time. Likewise, the court may order no credit for visitation or credit up to 50% based on the circumstances of the party. Awarding equal parenting time in all orders and giving obligors a 34% to 50% visitation credit may reduce the child support obligations in Missouri judicial orders.

Since support amounts are assigned to the state when a parent and child receive Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), and all new orders may be reduced by a 34% up to 50% visitation credit, the state's ability to recoup TANF payments will be reduced. The state retains approximately 35% of all assigned collections in the Child Support Enforcement (CSEC) Fund; a reduction in the ability to recoup TANF expenditures will reduce the amount of assigned collections deposited into the CSEC fund that help fund the Child Support program.

L.R. No. 1913S.02C Bill No. SCS for SB 459 Page **4** of **5** March 16, 2021

In state fiscal year (SFY) 2020, there were 4,017 new judicial support orders entered in the Missouri Automated Child Support System (MACSS). FSD's caseload for state fiscal year 2020 was 308,514 cases of which 13,468 (or 4%) were TANF cases. Therefore, of the 4,017 new judicial orders entered in MACSS in SFY 2020, FSD assumes 4% or 161 were on TANF cases and the judicial current support obligations were assigned to the state. The total assigned support that accrued on those orders for SFY 2020 was \$286,967, with an average annual accrual per order amount of \$1,782 (\$286,967/161). Assuming the number of TANF recipients remains static, FSD estimates the amount of support assigned to the state for the recoupment of TANF benefits could be reduced by up to \$286,967 per year which results in \$100,438 (\$286,967 x 35% FMAP) less that the state could potentially collect and retain as CSEC revenues.

The impact on CSEC revenues will be a range of \$0 (no change in the obligation) up to \$100,438 (assuming equal parenting time results in a \$0 obligation). This funding will need a general revenue pickup to keep the Child Support program at the same level.

Therefore, the total fiscal impact to FSD is \$0 to \$100,438.

#### DLS

The proposed legislation will not have any fiscal impact on the Division of Legal Services (DLS) because this bill does not increase the need for DLS services in litigation, hearings, human resources, or investigations. DLS defers to the Family Support Division (FSD-CS) for the fiscal impact of this proposed legislation on the Family Support Division as it could result in a reduction of assigned arrears to the state, resulting in a negative fiscal impact to the FSD.

**Oversight** considers the fiscal impact estimated by DSS to be more of a potential indirect fiscal impact, depending upon the judgements of the courts, the current custody agreements of the parents, and the financial status of the parties. Therefore, Oversight will assume that the proposal will not have a direct fiscal impact on the state.

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services** and the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

L.R. No. 1913S.02C Bill No. SCS for SB 459

Page **5** of **5** March 16, 2021

FISCAL IMPACT –	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
State Government	(10 Mo.)		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT –	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Local Government	(10 Mo.)		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

### FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Health and Senior Services Department of Social Services Office of the State Courts Administrator

Julie Morff Director

March 16, 2021

Ross Strope Assistant Director March 16, 2021