## COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 3296S.02T
Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for HB 1667
Subject: Consumer Protection; Drugs and Controlled Substances; Food; Department of Health and Senior Services
Type: Original
Date: June 2, 2022

Bill Summary: This proposal creates the "Kratom Consumer Protection Act".

# FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>				
Effect on General				
Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>				
Effect on Other State				
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	
Total Estimated Net				
Effect on <u>All</u> Federal				
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>				
Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

□ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

□ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	
Local Government	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	

L.R. No. 3296S.02T Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for HB 1667 Page **3** of **5** June 2, 2022

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

#### §196.1170 – Sale of kratom products

Officials from the **City of Kansas City** state this legislation may have a negative fiscal impact on Kansas City, Missouri if the City were to lose revenue from not being able to have a sales tax on this product due to the preemption language in the legislation. The potential negative impact is unknown.

**Oversight** notes provisions of this bill (§196.1170.3) provides that the General Assembly occupies and preempts the regulation of kratom products to the exclusion of any order, ordinance, or regulation of any political subdivision of the state and that any political subdivision's existing or future orders, ordinances or regulations relating to kratom will be void. As Oversight does not have information to the contrary, Oversight will reflect a \$0 to (Unknown) potential loss of revenue to local governments.

**Oversight** also notes subsection .7 provides that if a kratom dealer violates certain provisions of this proposal, the director of the Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS) may, after notice and hearing, impose a fine of no more than \$500 for the first offense and no more than \$1,000 for second and subsequent offenses. In addition, a dealer that violates certain provisions of this proposal is guilty of a class D misdemeanor. As it is unknown whether the DHSS will impose any fines. Oversight assumes, for fiscal note purposes, the amount of fines collected will be minimal and will not present fine revenue for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Missouri Office of Prosecution Services (MOPS)** state there is no measurable fiscal impact to MOPS. The enactment of a new crime [196.1170.7(2)] creates additional responsibilities for county prosecutors and the circuit attorney which may in turn result in additional costs which are difficult to determine.

**Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect no impact for MOPS for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Department of Commerce and Insurance**, the **Department of Health and Senior Services**, the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol**, the **Office of the State Public Defender**, the **City of Claycomo**, the **St. Louis County Clerk's Office** and the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

L.R. No. 3296S.02T Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for HB 1667 Page **4** of **5** June 2, 2022

## **Rule Promulgation**

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** assume this proposal is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact beyond its current appropriation.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** note many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with its core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

**Oversight** only reflects the responses received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other cities and various county officials were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A listing of political subdivisions included in the Missouri Legislative Information System database is available upon request.

FISCAL IMPACT – State Government	FY 2023 (10 Mo.)	FY 2024	FY 2025
	, , ,		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025
	(10 Mo.)		
LOCAL GOVERNMENTS			
CITIES			
<u>Revenue Reduction</u> – Cities			
Potential reduction in licensing fees	<u>\$0 to</u>	<u>\$0 to</u>	<u>\$0 to</u>
(§196.1170)	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON	<u>\$0 to</u>	<u>\$0 to</u>	<u>\$0 to</u>
LOCAL GOVERNMENTS - CITIES	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>

L.R. No. 3296S.02T Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SS for HB 1667 Page **5** of **5** June 2, 2022

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

This proposal may directly impact small businesses that sell kratom products. (§196.1170)

### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill establishes the "Kratom Consumer Protection Act", which requires dealers who prepare, distribute, sell, or expose for sale a food that is represented to be a kratom product to disclose on the product label the basis on which this representation is made. A dealer is prohibited from preparing, distributing, selling, or exposing for sale a kratom product that does not conform to these labeling requirements.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Commerce and Insurance Department of Health and Senior Services Department of Public Safety – Missouri Highway Patrol Office of the Secretary of State Office of the State Public Defender City of Claycomo City of Kansas City St. Louis County Clerk's Office Joint Committee on Administrative Rules Missouri Office of Prosecution Services Office of the State Courts Administrator

rere workf

Julie Morff Director June 2, 2022

The Alter

Ross Strope Assistant Director June 2, 2022