COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 3496S.01I Bill No.: SB 712

Subject: Family Law; Retirement - Schools; Retirement Systems and Benefits - General;

Teachers

Type: Original

Date: February 17, 2022

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions related to the Public School Retirement

System and Public Education Employee Retirement System.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on General					
Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on Other State					
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

L.R. No. 3496S.01I Bill No. SB 712 Page **2** of **7** February 17, 2022

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2024	FY 2025			
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on All Federal					
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in an	y
of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.	

☐ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of
the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 3496S.01I Bill No. SB 712 Page **3** of **7** February 17, 2022

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement (JCPER)** state this proposal has no fiscal impact to the JCPER. The JCPER's review indicates that these provisions would not create a "substantial proposed change" in future plan benefits as defined in section 105.660 (10).

Current Status of the Public School Retirement System (PSRS) as of June 30, 2020:

Active members: 78,848

Inactive members: 83,014 (includes retired, surviving beneficiary, disabled and terminated

vested)

Funded Ratio

Market Value of Assets: \$40,710,304,168 84.6% Actuarial Value of Assets: \$41,705,058,666 84.4%

Liabilities: \$49,641,020,407

Covered Payroll: \$4,919,286,106

Recommended Contribution for FY 2022: 29% - Employers and employees contribute in equal amounts of 14.5%.

Percent Dollars (Estimated)

Employer 14.5% \$713,296,485 estimated Employee 14.5% \$713,296,485 estimated Total 29.0% \$1,426,592,970 estimated

Current Status of the Public Education Employee Retirement System (PEERS) as of June 30, 2020

Funded Ratio

Market Value of Assets: \$5,118,843,873 84% Actuarial Value of Assets: \$5,257,846,689 86%

Liabilities: \$6,089,401,204

Covered Payroll: \$1,732,243,294

L.R. No. 3496S.01I Bill No. SB 712 Page **4** of **7** February 17, 2022

Recommended Contribution for FY 2021: 13.72%. Employers and employees contribute in equal amounts of 6.86%.

Percent Dollars (Estimated)

Employer 6.86% \$118,831,890 estimated Employee 6.86% \$118,831,890 estimated Total 13.72% \$237,663,780 estimated

Officials from **Public Schools and Education Employee Retirement Systems** state, currently, Sections 169.141 and 169.715 allows for any Public School Retirement System (PSRS) or Public Education Employee Retirement System (PEERS) retiree that selects a joint-and-survivor plan and has a subsequent divorce be allowed to return to a single life option upon receipt of the application by the System.

- This provision will only occur if the divorce decree provides for sole retention of their retirement benefits.
- Retroactive benefits are not payable.
- The divorce must occur on or after September 1, 2017.

In addition the current law also allows for any retiree that selects a joint-and-survivor plan and has a divorce after retirement but prior to September 1, 2017 to be allowed to return to a single life option upon receipt of the application by the System provided that they comply with the following criteria:

- For divorces that occurred before September 1, 2017, and the divorce decree clearly states that the retiree retains sole retention of his/her retirement benefit and the ex-spouse is relinquishing all rights, the following must occur:
 - o The parties can obtain an amended or modified divorce decree after September 1, 2017 or
 - O The nominated spouse consents in writing to his/her immediate removal as nominated beneficiary and disclaims all rights to future benefits to the satisfaction of the Board. (The Systems would develop a form to be used in this circumstance.)
- For divorces that occurred before September 1, 2017, and the divorce decrees does not provide for sole retention by the retired person, the parties must obtain an amended or modified divorce decree after September 1, 2017, which provides for sole retention by the retired person of all rights to the retirement allowance.

Retroactive benefits for divorce pop-up are not payable.

SB 712 relates to members of PSRS and PEERS who retired before September 1, 2015 and choose a joint-and-survivor plan and elected to list their same-sex domestic partner as a nominated beneficiary.

L.R. No. 3496S.01I Bill No. SB 712 Page **5** of **7** February 17, 2022

The Missouri Marriage Definition Amendment, also known as Amendment 2, was on the August 3, 2004 ballot in Missouri as a legislatively referred constitutional amendment, where it was approved. The measure amended the Constitution so that only marriages between a man and a woman would be valid and recognized in the state. On June 26, 2015, the U.S. Supreme Court held in a 5 to 4 decision that the Fourteenth Amendment requires all states to grant same-sex marriages and recognize same-sex marriages granted in other states.

SB 712 allows that a member who elected to receive reduced monthly payments on or before September 1, 2015, with his or her same-sex domestic partner as the nominated beneficiary may have the retirement allowance increased to the amount he or she would have received if he or she had not elected to receive reduced payments.

The member must do the following:

- The member must execute an affidavit, along with any supporting information and documentation required by the Board of Trustees, attesting to the existence of the domestic partnership at the time of the nomination and that the partnership has since ended.
- The nominated beneficiary must consent to the removal and disclaim all rights to future benefits in writing, or the parties must obtain a court order or judgment after September 1, 2021, removing the nominated beneficiary.
- If the member and beneficiary were legally married at the time of retirement or thereafter, the marriage must be dissolved, and the dissolution decree must provide for the sole retention of the allowance by the member.

A member who elected to receive reduced monthly payments on or before September 1, 2015, with his or her same-sex domestic partner as the nominated beneficiary may nominate a successor beneficiary. If the former nominated partner precedes the member in death, the member must execute an affidavit attesting to the existence of the partnership at the time of the former nomination. Otherwise, the member must execute an affidavit, along with any supporting information and documentation required by the Board of Trustees, attesting to the existence of the domestic partnership at the time of the nomination and that the partnership has since ended, and the nominated beneficiary must consent to the removal and disclaim all rights to future benefits in writing or the parties must obtain a court order or judgment after September 1, 2021, removing the nominated beneficiary.

If the member and beneficiary were legally married at the time of retirement or thereafter, the marriage must be dissolved, and the dissolution decree must provide for the sole retention of the allowance by the member. Any nomination of a successor beneficiary must occur within one year of September 1, 2021, or within one year of marriage, whichever is later.

SB 712 would impact a very limited group of retired members. PSRS/PEERS retiree must be in a same-sex domestic relationship, retired prior to September 1, 2015 and elected one of the joint and survivor payment options at retirement in order to be eligible to qualify for this statute. As of

L.R. No. 3496S.01I Bill No. SB 712 Page **6** of **7** February 17, 2022

June 30, 2020, 28,414 of 58,855 PSRS service retirees, or 48%, are receiving a joint and survivor benefit option. There are only 67 PSRS members (0.1%) that could be impacted by this provision. As of June 30, 2020, 9,646 of 30,166 PEERS service retirees, or 32%, are receiving a joint and survivor annuity benefit option. There are only 7 PEERS members (0.02%) that could be impacted by this provision.

The Systems have an actuary firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers (PWC), that prepares actuarial statements on any proposed legislation as well as the annual actuarial valuation reports for the Systems. This legislation has been submitted to them for an actuarial statement. As soon as the actuarial statement is available, they will be amending our fiscal response to include their analysis.

In response to a similar proposal, SB 608 (2021), the cost estimate conducted by PricewaterhouseCooper indicated the impact of the proposed provisions was estimated to be an insignificant fiscal gain to PSRS and PEERS.

Oversight assumes the impact to the retirement systems would be immaterial. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for the employer members, School Districts and Community Colleges.

FISCAL IMPACT – State Government	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025
	(10 Mo.)		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025
	(10 Mo.)		
	\$0	\$0	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

JLH:LR:OD

L.R. No. 3496S.01I Bill No. SB 712 Page **7** of **7** February 17, 2022

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement Public Schools and Education Employee Retirement Systems

Julie Morff Director

February 17, 2022

Ross Strope Assistant Director February 17, 2022