# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 0206S.01I Bill No.: SB 309

Subject: Law Enforcement Officers and Agencies; Criminal Procedure; Property, Real and

Personal; Search and Seizure

Type: Original

Date: January 4, 2023

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to searches and seizures.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>				
Effect on General				
Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	
Conservation				
Commission Fund				
(0609)*	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>				
Effect on Other State				
Funds	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	

<sup>\*</sup>Based on other agency's responses, **Oversight** assumes this potential fiscal impact would <u>not</u> reach the \$250,000 threshold.

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

L.R. No. 0206S.01I Bill No. SB 309 Page **2** of **4** January 4, 2023

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026		
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>					
Effect on All Federal					
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026		
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>					
<b>Effect on FTE</b>	0	0	0		

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expe	enditures or reduced revenue	es) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any
of the three fiscal years after	er implementation of the act	or at full implementation of the act.

☐ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of
the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED FY 2024 FY 2025 FY 2					
Local Government \$0 \$0					

L.R. No. 0206S.01I Bill No. SB 309 Page **3** of **4** January 4, 2023

#### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **ASSUMPTION**

§542.296 – Search and seizure

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC)** state an unknown impact. This would impact conservation agents' ability to enforce the Wildlife Code on private property.

**Oversight** does not have any information contrary to that provided by MDC. Therefore, Oversight will reflect MDC's (unknown) impact for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the Department of Public Safety – (Missouri National Guard and Missouri Highway Patrol), the Missouri Office of Prosecution Services, the Office of the State Courts Administrator, the Office of the State Public Defender, the Kansas City Police Department, the St. Joseph Police Department, the St. Louis County Police Department, and the Phelps County Sheriff's Department assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

In response to similar legislation from 2022 (SB 1027), officials from the **Attorney General's Office** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

**Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note.

**Oversight** only reflects the responses received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other local law enforcement were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A listing of political subdivisions included in the MOLIS database is available upon request.

FISCAL IMPACT – State Government	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026
	(10 Mo.)		
CONSERVATION COMMISSION			
<b>FUND (0609)</b>			
Cost – MDC (§542.296) Inability to			
enforce Wildlife Code on private			
property	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON			
THE CONSERVATION			
COMMISSION FUND	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)

FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026
	(10 Mo.)		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This act provides that a search and seizure of a person's private property is unlawful if such search and seizure was made without a warrant on the property of a landowner who fenced or posted a "no trespassing" sign on the property, or, by some other means, indicated unmistakably that entry is not permitted, except in the case of national security or in the case of a missing person.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Attorney General's Office
Department of Public Safety
Missouri Department of Conservation
Missouri Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Office of the State Public Defender
Kansas City Police Department
St. Joseph Police Department
St. Louis County Police Department
Phelps County Sheriff's Department

Julie Morff Director

January 4, 2023

Ross Strope Assistant Director January 4, 2023