

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 0871S.03S  
 Bill No.: CCS for HCS for SB 109  
 Subject: Taxation and Revenue - General; Department of Natural Resources; Fees;  
 Environmental Protection; Mining and Oil and Gas Production  
 Type: Original  
 Date: May 10, 2023

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies and creates new provisions relating to natural resources.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	Fully Implemented (FY 2027)
General Revenue*	\$0 or Could exceed (\$400,000)	\$148,194 or (Could exceed \$206,174)	Less than \$177,833	Less than \$177,833
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue</b>	<b>\$0 or Could exceed (\$400,000)</b>	<b>\$148,194 or (Could exceed \$206,174)</b>	<b>Less than \$177,833</b>	<b>Less than \$177,833</b>

\*Oversight notes the fee revenue (from sections 444.768 & 444.772) is currently set to expire in 2024 (FY 2025). This proposal extends the sunsets to 2030. This reflects a continuance of the existing fees authorized in Sections 444.768 & 444.772.

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>				
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2024</b>	<b>FY 2025</b>	<b>FY 2026</b>	<b>Fully Implemented (FY 2027)</b>
Flood Resiliency Improvement Fund**	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Geologic Resources Fund (0801)*	\$0	\$0	\$94,499	\$188,998
Oil and Gas Wells Fund (0543)*	\$0	\$0	\$42,376	\$50,851
Hazardous Waste Fund (0676)*	\$410,713	\$2,637,746	\$3,001,010	\$3,001,010
Solid Waste Management Fund – Scrap Tire Subaccount (0569)*	\$0	\$0	\$1,256,718	\$2,513,435
Environmental Radiation Monitoring Fund (0656)*	\$0	\$116,111	\$139,333	\$139,333
Mined Land Reclamation Fund (0906)*	\$0	\$621,523	\$745,828	\$745,828
Safe Drinking Water Fund (0679)*	\$0	\$4,635,702	\$5,562,842	\$5,562,842
Natural Resources Protection Fund – Air Pollution Permit Fee Subaccount (0594)*	\$0	\$5,469,282	\$6,563,138	\$6,563,138
Natural Resources Protection Fund – Water Pollution Permit Fee Subaccount (0568)*	\$0	\$4,827,794	\$5,793,353	\$5,793,353
State Mine Inspection Fund (0973)	More or Less than \$70,000	More or Less than \$70,000	More or Less than \$70,000	More or Less than \$70,000
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>More or Less than \$480,713</b>	<b>More or Less than \$18,378,158</b>	<b>More or Less than \$23,269,097</b>	<b>More or Less than \$24,628,778</b>

\*Oversight notes this fee revenue is currently set to expire prior to January 1, 2026 (FY 2026). This proposal extends the sunset to 2034. This reflects a continuance of the existing fees authorized in Sections 256.700, 259.080, 260.262, 260.273, 260.380, 260.392, 260.475, 444.768, 444.772, 640.100, 643.079 & 644.057.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>				
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2024</b>	<b>FY 2025</b>	<b>FY 2026</b>	<b>Fully Implemented (FY 2027)</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>				
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2024</b>	<b>FY 2025</b>	<b>FY 2026</b>	<b>Fully Implemented (FY 2027)</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

- Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.
- Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>				
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2024</b>	<b>FY 2025</b>	<b>FY 2026</b>	<b>Fully Implemented (FY 2027)</b>
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0 or Up to \$400,000</b>	<b>\$0 or Up to \$354,368</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

Due to time constraints, **Oversight** was unable to receive some agency responses in a timely manner and performed limited analysis. Oversight has presented this fiscal note on the best current information that we have or on information regarding a similar bill(s). Upon the receipt of agency responses, Oversight will review to determine if an updated fiscal note should be prepared and seek the necessary approval to publish a new fiscal note.

#### §12.070 – Federal Mining Revenue Distribution

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (SCS for HCS for HB 779), officials from the **Missouri Department of Agriculture, Department of Natural Resources, Office of Administration - Budget and Planning** and **Missouri Department of Transportation** each assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (HCS for HB Nos. 948 & 915), officials from the **Missouri Department of Conservation** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

**Oversight** notes that the above mentioned agencies have stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organizations. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note for these agencies and for this section of the proposal.

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (SCS for HCS for HB 779), officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE)**, DESE stated based on their review of the legislation, it is somewhat unclear as to who distributes these funds when they are sent to the state. DESE assumes no ITSD costs for this part of the proposal.

**Oversight** assumes DESE will not be materially fiscally impacted by updating these distribution changes and will show DESE's zero fiscal estimate for ITSD programming.

**Oversight** does not have information to the contrary and therefore, Oversight will reflect the estimates as provided by DESE.

Upon further inquiry, MDA notes the department has not received any payments from the National Forest Receipts Program. **Oversight** assumes the Mark Twain National Forest is located in the following counties:

Carter            Bollinger            Ozark            Howell            Butler            Barry

Texas	Taney	Stone	Ste. Gen.	Wright	Douglas
Christian	Washington	Ripley	Iron	Callaway	Boone
Phelps	Oregon	Laclede	Wayne	Reynolds	Crawford
Shannon	St. Francois	Madison	Dent	Pulaski	

Section 163.024 - Fine Revenue for Certain School Districts

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (SCS for HCS for HB 779), officials from **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE)** believed the potential impact is unknown based on the language as written. If the school district had to record the Doe Run Fines as fines, it would reduce the amount of basic formula funds owed to the school district which could be a savings to the state. If the school district has received Doe Run Fines and it has reduced their basic formula payment DESE will be required to pay those funds back to the district which would be a cost to the state. DESE is unable to estimate the exact amount of the impact without knowing the amount of fines involved.

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (SCS for HCS for HB 779), officials from the **Department of Natural Resources** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for this agency for this section.

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (HCS for HB Nos. 948 & 915), officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning (B&P)** stated B&P defers to the Department of Natural Resources for potential impacts to the county school funds based on their issuance of penalties authorized in this section. To the extent that any additional revenues to the county school funds are considered fines that could impact school finances, B&P defers to the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education for the potential impact.

Previously, **DESE** stated the fines paid into the Iron County school fund are from the Doe Run mine settlement and if those fines had to be included in the districts local effort calculations it would result in a lower foundation formula to those districts.

That being said, the last time these same fines where paid, this exact same law was in place to prevent the large fine amount from devastating the amount of foundation formula the affected districts would receive. So the reply was in reference to the fact that last time these same fines were paid they were not included as part of the local effort deduction.

But there would officially be a lower formula call if this law were not in place, but at this time DESE does not have enough information in order to estimate that.

**Oversight** notes the following school districts appear to be located in Iron County:

- South Iron County R-I School Districts (Hold Harmless)
- Arcadia Valley R-II

- Belleview R-III
- Iron County C-4 School District (Hold Harmless)

Per the second amended and restated Administrative Order on Consent No. APCP-2019-001 the penalty to be paid by the respondents is \$1,200,000 in three annual payments of \$400,000, a fourth payment of \$354,368 plus an additional payment of \$384,771.

Based on information provided by DNR, **Oversight** notes the first two payments of \$400,000 have been paid as well as the payment for \$384,771 for a total of \$1,184,771. The remaining payment of \$400,000 was due by September 9, 2022 and a fourth payment of \$354,368 is due September 8, 2023.

Based on information provided by DESE, **Oversight** notes some of the school districts located in Iron County are considered hold harmless and the state aid payment would not change regardless of the whether the fine revenue is included in the calculation of local effort for districts considered hold harmless. However, two of the districts are not considered hold harmless and any fine revenue not included in the calculation of local effort as a result of this proposal would result in a dollar for dollar increase in the state aid calculation. Therefore, Oversight will show a range of impact from \$0 (districts impacted are considered hold harmless) to a potential increased call to the foundation formula.

Oversight anticipates the exclusion of the fine revenue from the calculation of local effort would not impact the foundation formula until the year following remittance. Therefore, Oversight will show a cost for General Revenue beginning in FY 2024 for an amount equal to the fine revenue of \$400,000 followed by \$354,368 in FY 2025.

**Oversight** only reflects the responses received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, county commissioners, and school districts were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A listing of political subdivisions included in the Missouri Legislative Information System (MOLIS) database is available upon request.

§§256.700, 259.080, 260.262, 260.273, 260.380, 260.392, 260.475, 444.768, 444.772, 640.100, 643.079 & 644.057 – Extension of Department of Natural Resources Fees

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (SCS for HCS for HB 779), officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

DNR noted the following amounts have been collected for the various fees:

§256.700 – Geologic Resources Fund (0801) (in response to SB 109 (2023))

FY 2020	\$200,585
FY 2021	\$180,593

FY 2022        \$185,816

**Oversight** notes there was a \$232,585 balance in the Geologic Resources Fund as of January 31, 2023. Oversight will reflect revenue in the amount of \$188,998 (average of past three fiscal years) continuing due to the fee extension from 2025 to 2031 on the fiscal note.

§259.080 – Oil and Gas Well Resources Fund (0543)

FY 2020        \$57,548  
FY 2021        \$46,155  
FY 2022        \$48,851

**Oversight** notes there was a \$324,596 balance in the Fund as of January 31, 2023. Oversight will reflect revenue in the amount of \$50,851 (average of past three fiscal years) continuing due to the fee extension from 2025 to 2031 on the fiscal note.

§260.262 – Lead-Acid Battery Fee (Hazardous Waste Fund 0676)

FY 2020        \$757,417  
FY 2021        \$842,281  
FY 2022        \$864,578

**Oversight** notes there was an \$11,131,094 balance in the Fund as of January 31, 2023. Oversight will reflect revenue in the amount of \$821,425 (average of past three fiscal years) continuing due to the fee extension from 2023 to 2029 on the fiscal note.

§260.273 – Scrap Tire Fee (Solid Waste Management – Scrap Tire Fund Subaccount Fund 0569)

FY 2020        \$2,481,163  
FY 2021        \$2,015,512  
FY 2022        \$3,043,631

**Oversight** notes there was a \$7,121,634 balance in the Fund as of January 31, 2023. Oversight will reflect revenue in the amount of \$2,513,435 (average of past three fiscal years) continuing due to the fee extension from 2025 to 2031 on the fiscal note.

§260.380 – Hazardous Waste Generator Fee (Hazardous Waste Fund 0676)

FY 2020        \$1,900,159  
FY 2021        \$1,920,783  
FY 2022        \$1,816,939

**Oversight** notes there was an \$11,131,094 balance in the Fund as of January 31, 2023. Oversight will reflect revenue in the amount of \$1,879,294 (average of past three fiscal years) continuing due to the fee extension from 2024 to 2030 on the fiscal note.

§260.392 – Environmental Radiation Monitoring Transport Fee (Environmental Radiation Monitoring Fund (0656))

FY 2020	\$134,000
FY 2021	\$102,725
FY 2022	\$181,275

**Oversight** notes there was an \$181,046 balance in the Fund as of January 31, 2023. Oversight will reflect revenue in the amount of \$139,333 (average of past three fiscal years) continuing due to the fee extension from 2024 to 2030 on the fiscal note.

§260.475 – Hazardous Waste Land Disposal Fee (Hazardous Waste Fund 0676)

FY 2020	\$305,370
FY 2021	\$335,681
FY 2022	\$259,822

**Oversight** notes there was an \$11,131,094 balance in the Fund as of January 31, 2023. Oversight will reflect revenue in the amount of \$300,291 (average of past three fiscal years) continuing due to the fee extension from 2024 to 2030 on the fiscal note.

§§444.768 & 444.772 – Surface Mining -Land Reclamation Act (Mined Land Reclamation Fund (0906))

FY 2020	\$773,335 (Mined Land Reclamation Fund)	\$145,500 (General Revenue)
FY 2021	\$704,629 (Mined Land Reclamation Fund)	\$194,000 (General Revenue)
FY 2022	\$759,521 (Mined Land Reclamation Fund)	\$194,000 (General Revenue)

**Oversight** notes there was a \$1,615,826 balance in the Fund as of January 31, 2023. Oversight will reflect revenue in the amount of \$745,828 for the Mined Land Reclamation Fund and \$177,833 for the General Revenue Fund (average of past three fiscal years) continuing due to the fee extension from 2024 to 2030 on the fiscal note.

§640.100 – Safe Drinking Water Fund (0679)

FY 2020	\$5,295,605
FY 2021	\$5,455,159
FY 2022	\$5,937,761



**Oversight** notes there was an \$8,925,790 balance in the Fund as of January 31, 2023. Oversight will reflect revenue in the amount of \$5,562,842 (average of past three fiscal years) continuing due to the fee extension from 2024 to 2030 on the fiscal note.

§643.079 – Clean Air Fees – Emissions and Permit Fees (Natural Resources Protection Fund – Air Pollution Permit Fee Subaccount (0594))

FY 2020	\$6,268,040
FY 2021	\$6,339,541
FY 2022	\$7,081,832

**Oversight** notes there was a \$3,356,322 balance in the Fund as of January 31, 2023. Oversight will reflect revenue in the amount of \$6,563,138 (average of past three fiscal years) continuing due to the fee extension from 2024 to 2030 on the fiscal note.

§644.057 – Clean Water Fee (Natural Resources Protection Fund – Water Pollution Permit Fee Subaccount 0568)

FY 2020	\$5,490,416
FY 2021	\$5,574,843
FY 2022	\$6,314,800 (higher due to land disturbance being due every five years)

**Oversight** notes there was a \$26,039,664 balance in the Fund as of January 31, 2023. Oversight will reflect revenue in the amount of \$5,793,353 (average of past three fiscal years) continuing due to the fee extension from 2024 to 2030 on the fiscal note.

§256.710 – Industrial Minerals Advisory Council

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (SCS for HCS for HB 779), officials from the **Department of Natural Resources** and **Missouri Department of Transportation** each assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

§256.800 – Flood Resiliency Improvement Fund

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (HB 1242), officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for DNR.

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (HB 1242), officials from the **City of Kansas City** and **City of Springfield** each assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their

respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

**Oversight** only reflects the responses received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other cities were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A listing of political subdivisions included in the MOLIS database is available upon request.

**Oversight** notes a new fund has been established, the “Flood Resiliency Improvement Fund” as a result of this proposal. This fund could issue grants or offer financial assistance to entities for the development, construction or renovation of a flood resiliency project. The DNR could also develop its own plans with the funds.

**Oversight** notes this fund is subject to appropriation by the General Assembly; therefore, Oversight will range the impact from “\$0” (the General Assembly does not appropriate funds to the new program) to an “Unknown” amount (the General Assembly appropriates funds to the new program). Oversight will also reflect an “Unknown” amount of costs in the form of grants/financial assistance. Oversight will assume the appropriation could be from the General Revenue Fund.

#### §293.030 – Division of Mine Inspection

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (SB 383), officials from the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations (DOLIR)** noted the proposal would add Rhyolite mineral to the existing tonnage fee schedule. Additionally, this proposal increased fee amounts per ton of mineral being mined reflect the fee established January 1, 1988, and currently used. DOLIR notes the steps taken will allow an increase to the Mine Inspection Fund of \$70,000 annually.

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (SB 383), officials from the **Office of Administration – Budget & Planning (B&P)** noted the proposal would raise the quarter annual minimum mine inspection fee from \$10 to \$25 and creates a late payment fee of 10% of the unpaid amount. The bill will also give the director of the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations authority to add new minerals to the list of minerals being mined and the mine inspection fee is based upon. Based on information supplied by DOLIR, this may increase TSR by an estimated \$75,000.

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (SB 383), officials from the **Department of Revenue** deferred to DOLIR for the potential fiscal impact of this proposal.

**Oversight** notes this proposal allows for increases in tonnage fees for the mining, or production, of minerals for commercial purposes. For informational purposes, the DOLIR Annual Report notes:

	Clay	Coal	Copper	Iron	Lead	Zinc	Granite	Shale	Silica
2022 Production in Tons	1,572,804	42,845	19,820	0	179,893	33,920	1,267,064	340,989	3,760,538

<https://labor.mo.gov/media/pdf/2022annualreportpdf>

Additionally, DOLIR provided the average collection in the last 10 years as follows:

FY	Fees Paid
2012	\$ 70,235.05
2013	\$ 65,127.16
2014	\$ 62,522.17
2015	\$ 63,283.58
2016	\$ 54,805.19
2017	\$ 62,792.86
2018	\$ 58,153.55
2019	\$ 41,703.51
2020	\$ 57,187.00
2021	\$ 68,402.51
2022	\$ 61,268.80

**Oversight** notes DOLIR collects on average \$60,498 in tonnage fees annually from 2012 to 2022.

**Oversight** notes DOLIR and B&P both assumed the proposal would have a positive fiscal impact on the Mine Inspection Fund; therefore, Oversight will reflect the amount in the fiscal note starting in FY 2024. However, the amount of the potential impact will not surpass the \$250,000 threshold.

Lastly, **Oversight** notes the proposal allows for the collection of a 10% late fee assessed for failure to submit a payment within thirty days after the end of each quarter-annual period. Therefore, **Oversight** will note the potential increase in revenue to the State Mine Inspection Fund beginning in FY 2024 that could be more or less than \$70,000 annually.

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (SB 383), officials from the **Missouri Department of Conservation**, the **Department of Revenue**, the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** and the **Department of Natural Resources** each assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

§640.023 – Provisions relating to the Department of Natural Resources

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (SCS for HCS for HB 779), officials from the **Department of Natural Resources** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their

organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

§§640.099 & 644.051 – Clean Water Law

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (SCS for HCS for HB 779), officials from the **Department of Natural Resources** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for this agency for these sections.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – State Government</u>	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	Fully Implemented (FY 2027)
<b>GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>				
<u>Revenue Extension</u> – extension of fee from 2024 to 2030 §§444.768 & 444.772 p. 8	\$0	\$148,194	\$177,833	\$177,833
<u>Cost</u> - increased call to the foundation formula if the increase in specific fine revenue is not include in the calculation of local effort (§163.024) p. 5-6	\$0 or (Up to \$400,000)	\$0 or (Up to \$354,368)	\$0	\$0
<u>Transfer Out</u> – to the Flood Resiliency Improvement Fund (§256.800) p. 9-10	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>	<b><u>\$0 or Could exceed (\$400,000)</u></b>	<b><u>\$148,194 or (Could exceed \$206,174)</u></b>	<b><u>Less than \$177,833</u></b>	<b><u>Less than \$177,833</u></b>

<b>FLOOD RESILIENCY IMPROVEMENT FUND</b>				
<u>Transfer In</u> – from General Revenue (§256.800) p. 9-10	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
<u>Cost</u> – DNR – grants and/or financial assistance for flood resiliency plans (§256.800) p. 9-10	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE FLOOD RESILIENCY IMPROVEMENT FUND</b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>
<b>GEOLOGIC RESOURCES FUND (0801)</b>				
<u>Revenue Extension</u> – extension of fee from 2025 to 2031 §256.700 p. 6	\$0	\$0	\$94,499	\$188,998
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE GEOLOGIC RESOURCES FUND (0801)</b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>\$94,499</u></b>	<b><u>\$188,998</u></b>

<b>OIL AND GAS WELLS RESOURCE FUND (0543)</b>				
<u>Revenue Extension</u> – extension of fee from 2025 to 2031 §259.080 p. 7	\$0	\$0	\$42,376	\$50,851
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE OIL AND GAS WELLS RESOURCE FUND (0543)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$42,376</b>	<b>\$50,851</b>
<b>HAZARDOUS WASTE FUND (0676)</b>				
<u>Revenue Extension</u> – extension of fee from 2023 to 2029 §260.262 p. 7	\$410,713	\$821,425	\$821,425	\$821,425
<u>Revenue Extension</u> – extension of fee from 2024 to 2030 §260.380 p. 7	\$0	\$1,566,078	\$1,879,294	\$1,879,294
<u>Revenue Extension</u> – extension of fee from 2024 to 2030 §260.475 p. 8	\$0	\$250,243	\$300,291	\$300,291
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE HAZARDOUS WASTE FUND (0676)</b>	<b>\$410,713</b>	<b>\$2,637,746</b>	<b>\$3,001,010</b>	<b>\$3,001,010</b>

<b>SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT – SCRAP TIRE SUBACCOUNT (0569)</b>				
<u>Revenue Extension</u> – extension of fee from 2025 to 2031 §260.273 p. 7	\$0	\$0	\$1,256,718	\$2,513,435
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT – SCRAP TIRE SUBACCOUNT (0569)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$1,256,718</b>	<b>\$2,513,435</b>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL RADIATION MONITORING FUND (0656)</b>				
<u>Revenue Extension</u> – extension of fee from 2024 to 2030 §260.392 p. 8	\$0	\$116,111	\$139,333	\$139,333
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECTON THE ENVIRONMENTAL RADIATION MONITORING FUND (0656)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$116,111</b>	<b>\$139,333</b>	<b>\$139,333</b>

<b>MINED LAND RECLAMATION FUND (0906)</b>				
<u>Revenue Extension</u> – extension of fee from 2024 to 2030 §§444,768 & 444.772 p. 8	\$0	\$621,523	\$745,828	\$745,828
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECTON THE MINED LAND RECLAMATION FUND (0906)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$621,523</b>	<b>\$745,828</b>	<b>\$745,828</b>
<b>SAFE DRINKING WATER FUND (0679)</b>				
<u>Revenue Extension</u> – extension of fee from 2024 to 2030 §640.100 p. 8	\$0	\$4,635,702	\$5,562,842	\$5,562,842
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE SAFE DRINKING WATER FUND (0679)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$4,635,702</b>	<b>\$5,562,842</b>	<b>\$5,562,842</b>



<b>NATURAL RESOURCES PROTECTION FUND – AIR POLLUTION PERMIT FEE SUBACCOU TN (0594)</b>				
<u>Revenue Extension</u> – extension of fee from 2024 to 2030 §643.079 p. 9	\$0	\$5,469,282	\$6,563,138	\$6,563,138
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE NATURAL RESOURCES PROTECTION FUND – AIR POLLUTION PERMIT FEE SUBACCOUNT (0594)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$5,469,282</b>	<b>\$6,563,138</b>	<b>\$6,563,138</b>
<b>NATURAL RESOURCES PROTECTION FUND – WATER POLLUTION PERMIT FEE SUBACCOUNT (0568)</b>				
<u>Revenue Extension</u> – extension of fee from 2024 to 2030 §644.057 p. 9	\$0	\$4,827,794	\$5,793,353	\$5,793,353
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE NATURAL RESOURCES PROTECTION FUND – WATER POLLUTION PERMIT FEE SUBACCOUNT (0568)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$4,827,794</b>	<b>\$5,793,353</b>	<b>\$5,793,353</b>

<b>STATE MINE INSPECTION FUND (0973)</b>				
<u>Revenue</u> –proposed fee of \$25 vs. previous fee of \$10 (§293.030) p. 10-12	<u>More or Less than \$70,000</u>	<u>More or Less than \$70,000</u>	<u>More or Less than \$70,000</u>	<u>More or Less than \$70,000</u>
<b>NET EFFECT ON THE STATE MINE INSPECTION FUND</b>	<b><u>More or Less than \$70,000</u></b>	<b><u>More or Less than \$70,000</u></b>	<b><u>More or Less than \$70,000</u></b>	<b><u>More or Less than \$70,000</u></b>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> – <u>Local Government</u>	FY 2024 (10 Mo.)	FY 2025	FY 2026	Fully Implemented (FY 2027)
<b>LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS</b>				
<u>Counties</u> - where mining occurs (§12.070) p. 4	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
<u>Counties</u> – where mining does not occur (§12.070) p. 4	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
<u>Revenue</u> – School Districts - increase in state aid payments to impacted school districts (§163.024) p. 5-6	\$0 or Up to \$400,000	\$0 or Up to \$354,368	\$0	\$0

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS</b>	<b>\$0 or Up to \$400,000</b>	<b>\$0 or Up to \$354,368</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>
---	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	------------	------------

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

Small businesses that are required to pay the fees mentioned above could be impacted as a result of this proposal.


FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal modifies and creates new provisions relating to natural resources.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

- Missouri Department of Agriculture
- Office of Administration - Budget and Planning
- Department of Labor and Industrial Relations
- Department of Revenue
- Office of the State Courts Administrator
- Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
- Department of Natural Resources
- Missouri Department of Conservation
- Missouri Department of Transportation
- City of Kansas City
- City of Springfield
- Office of the Secretary of State
- Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
- Department of Natural Resources



Julie Morff  
 Director  
 May 10, 2023



Ross Strope  
 Assistant Director  
 May 10, 2023