COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 1015H.05C

Bill No.: HCS for SS for SB 23

Subject: Taxation and Revenue - General; Taxation and Revenue - Property; Taxation and

Revenue - Sales and Use; Department of Revenue; Motor Vehicles;

Transportation; Taxation and Revenue - Income

Type: Original

Date: April 24, 2023

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to commerce.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	Fully	
AFFECTED				Implemented	
				(FY Unknown)	
General Revenue	(\$389,646,917)	(\$1,000,589,986)	(\$997,638,171)	(\$1,353,212,854)	
Total Estimated					
Net Effect on					
General Revenue	(\$389,646,917)	(\$1,000,589,986)	(\$997,638,171)	(\$1,353,212,854)	

EST	ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	Fully	
				Implemented	
				(FY Unknown)	
State Road Fund	Could exceed				
	(\$97,229,874	Could exceed	Could exceed	Could exceed	
	to	(\$121,613,766 to	(\$172,137,500 to	(\$197,228,331 to	
	\$175,776,623)	\$190,955,159)	\$260,118,525)	\$290,426,494)	
Blind Pension Fund		More or Less	More or Less	More or Less	
		than	than	than	
	\$0	(\$1,198,220)	(\$1,320,189)	(\$1,320,189)	
Conservation	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to	
Commission Fund	(\$253,656)	(\$304,387)	(\$304,387)	(\$304,387)	
Parks, Soils and	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to	
Water Fund	(\$202,925)	(\$243,510)	(\$243,510)	(\$243,510)	
School District	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to	
Trust Fund	(\$1,014,623)	(\$1,217,548)	(\$1,217,548)	(\$1,217,548)	
State Road Bond	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to	
Fund	(\$3,043,870)	(\$3,652,644)	(\$3,652,644)	(\$3,652,644)	
	Could exceed				
Total Estimated	(\$97,229,874	Could exceed	Could exceed	Could exceed	
Net Effect on	to	(\$121,613,766 to	(\$172,137,500 to	(\$197,228,331 to	
Other State Funds	\$180,291,697)	\$197,571,468)	\$266,856,803)	\$297,164,772)	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **3** of **69** April 24, 2023

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	Fully	
AFFECTED				Implemented	
				(FY Unknown)	
Total Estimated					
Net Effect on					
All Federal					
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	Fully	
AFFECTED				Implemented	
				(FY Unknown)	
Total Estimated					
Net Effect on					
FTE	0 FTE	0 FTE	0 FTE	0 FTE	

- ⊠ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS					
FUND	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	Fully	
AFFECTED				Implemented	
				(FY Unknown)	
	Could exceed				
	(\$35,020,850	Could exceed	Could exceed	Could exceed	
Local	to	(\$385,854,826 to	(\$438,825,727 to	(\$448,105,936 to	
Government	\$72,976,182)	\$422,630,032)	\$482,495,043)	\$493,704,879)	

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **4** of **69** April 24, 2023

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§137.115 – Motor Vehicle Property Tax Assessment

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (HCS for SS for SCS for SB 133), officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning (B&P)** noted this proposal would change the assessment method for motor vehicles. B&P notes that the Blind Pension Trust fund levies a \$0.03 per \$100 valuation property tax. B&P does not have enough information to estimate the potential revenue impact to the Blind Pension Trust Fund or local revenues. B&P defer to STC for the administrative impact.

This provision adds farm machinery to the definition of motor vehicles. B&P notes that this provision would increase the number of items that would qualify under the newly proposed depreciation schedule. Therefore, this provision may impact revenues to the Blind Pension Trust Fund.

This provision would expand the allowable national automotive publications that may be used to determine a motor vehicle's market value for tax year 2023. Beginning with tax year 2024, county assessor must use a vehicle's MSRP and proposed depreciation schedule to determine a motor vehicle's market value. B&P notes that to the extent this changes the market value assigned to vehicles, this could result in an impact to the Blind Pension Trust Fund.

B&P notes that this provision is impacted by the emergency clause in Section B.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** note property tax assessment is handled by the county assessors with assistance from the State Tax Commission. DOR defers to them for the fiscal impact.

Officials from the **State Tax Commission (STC)** assume this has an unknown fiscal impact on local taxing jurisdictions such as school districts, counties, cities who rely on property tax assessments as a source of revenue. The bill would require additional FTE for the State Tax Commission to receive the Manufacturer Suggested Retail Price (MSRP) from a vendor and then configure that data to fit the multiple assessment programs used in the state. The cost of the data as well as the cost of licensing for each county in the state has been estimated to be less than \$200,000.

Oversight is unsure when the STC will incur the additional costs and require the additional FTE; therefore, Oversight will reflect this fiscal impact to the STC in fiscal years 2024 and 2025.

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **5** of **69** April 24, 2023

STC notes the bill allows for all currently assessed vehicles to use a previously assessed value in the depreciation schedule, but the MSRP would have to be obtained for each new vehicle and used vehicles purchased from outside of the state by Vehicle Identification Number. The current system uses average trade in value listed in the October issue of the National Automobile Dealers Association guide and that value will be less than the starting value of MSRP in most cases which could cause an increase in assessments. The use of a depreciation schedule would require that the vehicle values decrease each year regardless of the true market values which could cause a decrease in the assessments generated.

STC notes the depreciation schedule stopping after 10 years would cause a reduction due to approximately 50% of vehicles being removed from assessment and that would lead to approximately a 35% reduction of the total assessment for motor vehicles. The impact varies by county as the percentage of real and personal property in each county depends on several factors. STC notes the range of personal property assessed value compared to the total assessed value goes from 15.8% to 46.5% with the average being 29.5% in 2022, so the higher percentages would be impacted at greater amounts. The bill also includes farm machinery which would follow the same pattern as the motor vehicles. Farm machinery and equipment accounts for small percentage of the total personal property but it would have a greater impact on rural counties. The bill also requires all of the software used in the counties to meet minimum standards which could require a cost to some counties for upgrades.

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (HCS for SS for SCS for SB 133), officials from the **Department of Social Services** noted Section 137.115.9 is amended to require county assessors to use a nationally recognized automotive trade publication to determine the true value of motor vehicles for the tax year ending on or before December 31, 2023 and contains an emergency clause that will become effective upon passage and approval of this legislation. The state tax commission shall determine which publication shall be used. Section 137.115.10 is amended to state that beginning January 1, 2024, assessors are required to use the manufacturer's suggested retail price for all manufactured motor vehicles as acquired annually by the state tax commission for the original value in money of all motor vehicle assessment valuations.

The following depreciation schedule shall be applied to each manufacturer's suggested retail price:

Year	Percent Depreciation
Current	<u>15</u>
1	<u>25</u>
2	32.5
3	45.3
4	50.3
<u>5</u>	55.8
<u>6</u>	60.1
7	<u>75.2</u>
8	83.2
9	<u>87.2</u>
10	90
Greater than 10	99.9

Blind Pension (BP) is funded from 0.03% of each \$100 assessed valuation of taxable property. Assessing vehicles based on the suggested depreciation schedule could impact the amount received for the BP fund. According to the 2022 State Tax Commission Annual Report, \$20,659,394,897 of the \$135,215,666,531 total assessed valuation for the State of Missouri comes from vehicles including recreational vehicles. Therefore, approximately \$6,197,818 [(\$20,659,394,897/100)*0.03] in property tax revenue is collected from motor vehicles.

Motor vehicles are currently assessed based on a recommended guide of information for determining the true value of a motor vehicle and includes vehicles that are greater than ten years of age in the assessment. According to the State Tax Commission, the proposed change to assess vehicles based on a depreciation schedule could result in a reduction in tax revenue from motor vehicles of up to 20%. Therefore, FSD estimates that the potential impact to the BP fund would be a decrease of up to \$1,239,564 (\$6,197,818*0.20).

According to the 2022 State Tax Commission Annual Report, \$509,487,451 of the \$135,215,666,531 total assessed valuation for the State of Missouri comes from Farm Machinery. Therefore, approximately \$152,846 [(\$509,487,451/100)*0.03] is collected in the BP fund from Farm Machinery tax revenue. The State Tax Commission is unable to identify the age of farm machinery or provide an estimate on the effect of exempting farm machinery that is greater than ten years of age from taxation; therefore, the effect is unknown.

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **7** of **69** April 24, 2023

The Family Support Division (FSD) assumes that it will result in a reduction in tax revenue from farm machinery of up to 20%. Therefore, FSD estimates that the tax revenue from farm machinery collected in the BP fund could decrease up to \$30,569 (\$152,846*0.20).

Therefore, FSD estimates that the fiscal impact to the BP fund as a result of the provisions in section 137.115 could be up to \$1,270,133 (\$1,239,564 + \$30.569) in SFY 25 and ongoing.

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (HCS for SS for SCS for SB 133), officials from the **County Employees' Retirement Fund (CERF)** indicated that this provision would likely result in reductions in contribution revenue to CERF of an unknown amount annually. A certain portion of the moneys that are used to fund the County Employees' Retirement Fund are tied to the collection of property taxes. Data is not available to quantify how changes to motor vehicle assessments would impact contribution revenue but CERF assumes there would be a negative impact.

In response to similar provisions in SB 8 (2023), officials from the **Office of Administration** - **Budget and Planning (B&P)** noted that this proposal would change the assessment method for motor vehicles. Therefore, all model 2014 and older vehicles would be assigned a taxable value of \$1 in tax year 2024. The following tax year (2025), all model 2015 and older vehicles would be assigned a taxable value of \$1. **Oversight** notes this proposal also has a 10 year depreciation schedule; however it ends with a depreciated value of 99.9% - instead of \$1 as in SB 8. However, Oversight notes it is the best estimate that they have.

B&P noted, based on information provided by DOR, this proposal may reduce the market value for 64.0% of motor vehicles in tax year 2024. By tax year 2029, this proposal may reduce the market value for 88.4% of motor vehicles. B&P notes that this data is based on current registrations, for the purpose of this fiscal note B&P will assume that the age distribution of registered vehicles will not significantly differ from the current distribution. Table 1 shows the number and percent of vehicles registered in Missouri by model year and the tax year in which they would become exempt from property tax.

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **8** of **69** April 24, 2023

Table 1: Age of Vehicles

Model Year	Registered Vehicles	% of Total Registered Vehicles	Tax Year Exempt
2011 &			
earlier	3,645,822	51.3%	2024
2012	277,460	3.9%	2024
2013	300,522	4.2%	2024
2014	322,288	4.5%	2024
2015	350,214	4.9%	2025
2016	351,999	5.0%	2026
2017	366,891	5.2%	2027
2018	339,365	4.8%	2028
2019	331,262	4.7%	2029
Total	6,285,823	88.4%	

Using data published by the U.S. Department of Transportation – Bureau of Transportation Statistics, B&P was able to determine the average price of new vehicles purchased between 1990 and 2019. Using the depreciation schedule allowable under IRS rules and Section 137.122, RSMo, B&P estimated the current approximate fair market value for each model year. B&P then adjusted the market value by the assessment percentage in order to determine the final assessed value. B&P notes that in order to prevent double counting with the estimated loss under Section 137.115.1, B&P assumed a 31% assessment percentage for this provision. Table 2 shows the estimated average assessed value (market value x assessment rate) under current law versus this proposal.

Table 2: Estimated Assessed Value

Model			
Year	Current*	Proposed	Difference
2011 &			
earlier	\$813	\$1	(\$812)
2012	\$1,080	\$1	(\$1,079)
2013	\$1,096	\$1	(\$1,095)
2014	\$1,100	\$1	(\$1,099)
2015	\$1,129	\$1	(\$1,128)
2016	\$1,144	\$1	(\$1,143)
2017	\$1,152	\$1	(\$1,151)
2018	\$1,160	\$1	(\$1,159)
2019	\$1,178	\$1	(\$1,177)

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **9** of **69** April 24, 2023

B&P noted that the Blind Pension Trust Fund levies a tax on property at the rate of \$0.03 per \$100 assessed value. In addition, based on publicly available data, B&P estimates that the average local personal property tax levy is 8.5%. Table 3 shows the average estimated revenue impact per vehicle.

Table 3: Estimated Revenue Impact per Vehicle

	Assessment	Blind	
	Value	Pension	Local
Model Year	Reduction	Trust Fund	Revenue
2011 &			
earlier	(\$812)	(\$0.24)	(\$68.78)
2012	(\$1,079)	(\$0.32)	(\$91.40)
2013	(\$1,095)	(\$0.33)	(\$92.75)
2014	(\$1,099)	(\$0.33)	(\$93.09)
2015	(\$1,128)	(\$0.34)	(\$95.54)
2016	(\$1,143)	(\$0.34)	(\$96.82)
2017	(\$1,151)	(\$0.35)	(\$97.49)
2018	(\$1,159)	(\$0.35)	(\$98.17)
2019	(\$1,177)	(\$0.35)	(\$99.70)

^{*}Assumes 31% assessment percentage to prevent double counting the loss impact from Section 137.115.1

Therefore, B&P estimated that the proposal may reduce revenues to the Blind Pension Trust Fund by \$1,169,311 in tax year 2024 (FY 2025). By tax year 2029 (FY 2030) this proposal could reduce revenues to the Blind Pension Trust Fund by \$1,771,196.

B&P also estimates that this section may reduce total local revenues by \$333,994,687 in tax year 2024 (FY25). By tax year 2029 (FY30) this proposal could reduce revenues to local jurisdictions by \$503,645,163. Table 4 shows the estimated impact by fiscal year.

^{*}Assumes 31% assessment percentage to prevent double counting the loss impact from Section 137.115.1

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **10** of **69** April 24, 2023

Table 4: Estimated Revenue Impact for MVs

Tax Year	Blind	
(Fiscal	Pension	Local
Year)	Trust Fund	Collections
2024		
(FY25)	(\$1,169,311)	(\$333,994,687)
2025		
(FY26)	(\$1,288,384)	(\$367,454,133)
2026		
(FY27)	(\$1,408,064)	(\$401,534,676)
2027		
(FY28)	(\$1,536,476)	(\$437,302,880)
2028		
(FY29)	(\$1,655,254)	(\$470,618,342)
2029		
(FY33)	(\$1,771,196)	(\$503,645,163)

B&P notes that these estimates do not include the proposed depreciation schedules. Therefore, actual losses could be significantly higher than the amounts shown above.

Farm Machinery Property Tax Assessment

Also in response to Perfected SB 8, the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning** noted that this proposal would become effective August 28, 2023, which is the middle of tax year 2023. However, assessments are done as of January 1st and it is unlikely that assessors would have enough time to switch to this new method before tax bills are required to be sent. Therefore, for the purpose of this fiscal note B&P will assumes that the depreciation schedule will not become effective until tax year 2024.

Based on data published by STC, the assessed value for farm machinery was \$509,487,451 in tax year 2022. Assuming a similar property tax levy is applied to farm machinery and all agricultural products, B&P estimates that total taxes paid on farm machinery was \$34,441,560 for tax year 2022.

For the purpose of this fiscal note, B&P will assume that the age distribution of farm machinery is similar to the age distribution of motor vehicles registered in Missouri. Using the estimated impact from the changes to motor vehicles, B&P estimates that in tax year 2024 this proposal

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **11** of **69** April 24, 2023

could reduce tax collections on farm machinery by 24.0%. By tax year 2029, this proposal could reduce tax collection on farm machinery by 36.2%.

Therefore, B&P estimates that proposal may reduce revenues to the Blind Pension Trust Fund by \$18,909 in tax year 2024 (FY25). By tax year 2029 (FY30) this proposal could reduce revenues to the Blind Pension Trust Fund by \$43,593.

B&P also estimates that this section may reduce total local revenues by \$8,230,682 in tax year 2024 (FY25). By tax year 2029 (FY30) this proposal could reduce revenues to local jurisdictions by \$12,411,600. Table 5 shows the estimated impact by fiscal year.

Table 5: Estimated Revenue Impact for Farm Machinery

rariii Macilillery				
Tax Year (Fiscal	Blind Pension	Local		
`				
Year)	Trust Fund	Collections		
2024				
(FY25)	(\$28,909)	(\$8,230,682)		
2025				
(FY26)	(\$31,805)	(\$9,055,276)		
2026				
(FY27)	(\$34,755)	(\$9,895,137)		
2027				
(FY28)	(\$37,851)	(\$10,776,657)		
2028				
(FY29)	(\$40,735)	(\$11,597,707)		
2029				
(FY33)	(\$43,593)	(\$12,411,600)		

B&P notes that these estimates do not include the proposed depreciation schedules. Therefore, actual losses could be significantly higher than the amounts shown above.

Summary

B&P estimates that this proposal could decrease TSR and the Blind Pension Trust Fund by an amount that could exceed \$1,619,298 in FY25. By FY30, this proposal could decrease TSR and the Blind Pension Trust Fund by an amount that could exceed \$2,235,867. This proposal could also reduce local funds by an amount that could exceed \$454,625,745 in FY25. By FY30, this proposal could decrease local funds by an amount that could exceed \$628,457,139. Table 6 shows the total proposal impact to state and local funds.

L.R. No. 1015H.05C

Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23

Page **12** of **69** April 24, 2023

Table 6: Total Impact by Fiscal Year

State Funds	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027
Blind Pension Trust Fund			
Personal Property Assessment			
Reduction	(\$421,078)	(\$421,078)	(\$421,078)
MVs 10yrs and Older	(\$1,169,311)	(\$1,288,384)	(\$1,408,064)
Farm Machinery 10yrs and Older	(\$28,909)	(\$31,805)	(\$34,755)
Total Blind Pension Trust Fund			
(TSR)	(\$1,619,298)	(\$1,741,267)	(\$1,863,897)
Local Funds			
Local Property Tax			
Personal Property Assessment			
Reduction	(\$112,400,376)	(\$112,400,376)	(\$112,400,376)
MVs 10yrs and Older	(\$333,994,687)	(\$367,454,133)	(\$401,534,676)
Farm Machinery 10yrs and Older	(\$8,230,682)	(\$9,055,276)	(\$9,895,137)
Total Local Property Tax	(\$454,625,745)	(\$488,909,785)	(\$523,830,189)

Table 6: Total Impact by Fiscal Year

Tuble 0. 1	otal impact by ris	cai i cai	
State Funds	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030
Blind Pension Trust Fund			
Personal Property Assessment			
Reduction	(\$421,078)	(\$421,078)	(\$421,078)
MVs 10yrs and Older	(\$1,536,476)	(\$1,655,254)	(\$1,771,196)
Farm Machinery 10yrs and Older	(\$37,851)	(\$40,735)	(\$43,593)
Total Blind Pension Trust Fund			
(TSR)	(\$1,995,405)	(\$2,117,067)	(\$2,235,867)
Local Funds			
Local Property Tax			
Personal Property Assessment			
Reduction	(\$112,400,376)	(\$112,400,376)	(\$112,400,376)
MVs 10yrs and Older	(\$437,302,880)	(\$470,618,342)	(\$503,645,163)
Farm Machinery 10yrs and Older	(\$10,776,657)	(\$11,597,707)	(\$12,411,600)
Total Local Property Tax	(\$560,479,913)	(\$594,616,425)	(\$628,457,139)

Oversight notes the Personal Property Tax Reduction provisions are **not** in this bill; therefore, Oversight will not use those estimates. However, this estimate is the best that Oversight has and will use these estimated in the fiscal note (instead of an "unknown – substantial" loss).

Oversight notes this section of the proposal has an emergency clause.

Oversight notes, in the table below, per the Department of Revenue's Motor Vehicles report, the number and percentage of vehicles currently registered in Missouri by model year:

Model Year	Registered Vehicles	% of Total Registered Vehicles
2001 &		
earlier	1,094,850	17.43%
2002	140,861	2.24%
2003	166,174	2.65%
2004	184,191	2.93%
2005	206,588	3.29%
2006	202,920	3.23%
2007	230,266	3.67%
2008	214,023	3.41%
2009	164,059	2.61%
Total	2,603,932	41.46%

Oversight notes some taxing entities have tax rate ceilings that are at their statutory or voter approved maximum or are at a fixed rate. For these taxing entities, any decrease in the assessed values would not be offset by a higher tax rate (relative to current law), rather it would result in an actual loss of revenue.

Based on information provided by the Office of the State Auditor, Oversight notes, in 2020, there were over 2,500 tax entities with 4,000 different tax rates. Of those entities, 2,980 tax rate ceilings were below the entities' statutory or voter approved maximum tax rate and 1,098 tax rate ceilings were at the entities' statutory or voter approved maximum rate. (These numbers do not include entities, which use a multi-rate method and calculate a separate tax rate for each subclass of property.)

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (HCS for SS for SCS for SB 133), officials from the **Newton County Health Department** assumed this would create a negative fiscal impact on the Newton County Health Department depending on the number of Newton County residents eligible for property tax reduction and the amount of decrease in property tax collected.

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **14** of **69** April 24, 2023

In response to a similar proposal, SB 493 (2023), officials from the **Howell County Assessor** assumed there may be a minimal impact in revenue during the initial implementation of the provisions contained in this bill. In Howell County the impact is estimated to be less than \$25,000.

The county notes this protects the citizens from the fluctuations in the automobile market and establishes a consistent methodology for valuing vehicles and will not harm local revenues.

In response to a similar proposal, SB 493 (2023), officials from the **Lincoln County Assessor** assumed the cost for software updates could be substantial for Assessors and the STC.

In response to a similar proposal, SB 493 (2023), officials from the **Ste Genevieve County Assessor** estimated the revenue loss if vehicles 20 yrs+ will be assessed at a \$300 market value / \$100 assessed value at \$38,704.60 for total revenue lost for the county and \$657.98 loss in revenue to the assessment fund.

In response to a similar proposal, SB 493 (2023), officials from the **Adair County SB40 Board** noted the county board currently has approximately \$104M in Personal Property Assessed Valuation taxed at .1456 for an estimated Personal Property Tax revenue of \$150,000. The local assessor estimated 61% of all vehicles are over 10 years old. It's difficult to calculate what the impact will be with a depreciation schedule of 20 years. The most important statement to understand is that ANY loss in property tax revenues WILL result in a reduction of essential services to people with intellectual and developmental disabilities in Adair County. Types of services that could be significantly reduced include sheltered employment, supported employment, transition services and other collaborative programs with the public schools, educational courses such as citizenship, relationships, healthcare self-advocacy, etc. Also, the agency's contribution of 20% share to leverage another 20% from state and 60% from federal Medicaid waiver dollars ('Partnership for Hope funds') would be reduced.

In response to a similar proposal, SB 493 (2023), officials from the **St Louis City SB40 Board** noted per data from their county assessor, they assume the following fiscal impacts:

Page **15** of **69** April 24, 2023

		Before Legislation	Taxes
Vehicles	2013-2022	518,399,258	\$42,851,401
	2012 & Older	85,768,109	\$7,089,678
		604,167,367	\$49,941,079
		After Legislation	Taxes
		213,195,500	\$17,622,953
Difference fr	om changes to vehicles	390,971,867	\$32,318,126

Total 2022 PP Value at 33.33%	o l	1,259,655,321	
Vehicles		604,167,367	
All other Personal Property	@ 33.33%	655,487,954	\$54,183,290
	Market Value	1,966,463,861	
	@ 31%	609,603,797	\$50,390,459
	AV decrease (non-vehicles)	45,884,157	\$3,792,830

Loss in taxes from change to 31% assessment rate and applying vehicle depreciation schedule	\$32,318,126
Loss in taxes from change to 31% assessment on personal property other than vehicles	\$3,792,830
Loss from Legislation to all taxing jurisdictions	\$36,110,956
City Portion (loss)	\$7,226,909
Developmentally Disabled (loss)	\$598,493
Loss to Collector of Revenue Fund	\$541,664
Loss to Assessment Fund	\$225,693

§142.822.2(2) - Refund Timing Change

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume the following regarding this proposal:

Motor Fuel Refund Period Change (§142.822.2)

SB 262 adopted in 2021, created a provision that would increase the motor fuel tax rate over a period of five years. At the time, motor fuel (gasoline and diesel) were taxed at \$0.17 per gallon. SB 262 would allow the motor fuel rate to increase each year on July 1st until the highest rate of \$0.295 was reached. At that time, the motor fuel rate would remain \$0.295 into the future.

The rate is currently increasing as follows:

L.R. No. 1015H.05C

Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23

Page **16** of **69** April 24, 2023

FY Tax Rate	Refund Can Be Claimed (July to Sept)	Tax Increase	Total Motor Fuel Tax	Fully Implemented (FY 2027)
FY 2022	FY 2023	\$0.025	\$0.195	
FY 2023	FY 2024	\$0.05	\$0.220	
FY 2024	FY 2024 FY 2025		\$0.245	
FY 2025	FY 2026	\$0.1	\$0.270	
FY 2026+	FY 2027+	\$0.125	\$0.295	\$0

SB 262 also contained a provision that created a refund program for highway users who did not want to pay the increased motor fuel rate. While they would still be required to pay the tax at the fuel pump they could request from DOR that the increased amount be refunded to them. The refund period was established starting July 1- Sept 30th of the following fiscal year. Since the rate hike is for the full fiscal year (July to June) the refund period also covered that same fiscal year. In order to receive the refund a taxpayer completes a form with the statutorily required information and the extra motor fuel tax is refunded.

This proposal changes the refund period. Instead of claiming the credit from July to Sept after the fiscal year ends, this proposal moves the refund period to January 1st to April 15th of each year. Filing at this time of year, will result in refund claim forms having 2 separate motor fuel rates on them. This will start on January 1, 2024.

Timing of Refund Claims

	Fuel	Current Refun	d Timing	Proposed Refund Timing		
Date of Purchase	Tax Rate	Date	Fiscal Year	Date	Fiscal Year	
10/2021 - 12/2021	\$0.025	7/2022 - 9/2022	FY 2023	7/2022 - 9/2022	FY 2023	
1/2022 - 6/2022	\$0.025	7/2022 - 9/2022	FY 2023	7/2022 - 9/2022	FY 2023	
7/2022 - 12/2022	\$0.050	7/2023 - 9/2023	FY 2024	1/2023 - 4/2023	FY 2024	
1/2023 - 6/2023	\$0.050	7/2023 - 9/2023	FY 2024	7/2023 - 9/2023	FY 2024	
7/2023 - 12/2023	\$0.075	7/2024 - 9/2024	FY 2025	1/2024 - 4/2024	FY 2024	
1/2024 - 6/2024	\$0.075	7/2024 - 9/2024	FY 2025	1/2025 4/2025	FY 2025	
7/2024 - 12/2024	\$0.100	7/2025 - 9/2025	FY 2026	1/2025 - 4/2025	FY 2025	
1/2025 - 6/2025	\$0.100	7/2025 - 9/2025	FY 2026	1/2026 - 4/2026	FY 2026	
7/2025 - 12/2025	\$0.125	7/2026 - 9/2026	FY 2027	1/2026 - 4/2026	FY 2026	
1/2026 - 6/2026	\$0.125	7/2026 - 9/2026	FY 2027	1/2027 - 4/2027	FY 2027	
7/2026 - 12/2026	\$0.125	7/2027 - 9/2027	FY 2028	1/2027 - 4/2027	FY 2027	

This part of the proposal will not result in any additional gains or losses to the motor fuel funds than what was projected in SB 262. It changes the timing of the refunds and not who or how many taxpayers may qualify for the refund. So the impact below shows how much of the refunds will now shift to another fiscal year (refund period).

DOR notes that the first refund period was completed from July 2022 to September 2022 for the increase that occurred from October 1, 2021 to June 30, 2022. That increase was \$0.025. So it will not be impacted. DOR records indicate \$423,947 in refunds were claimed, while receiving an additional \$70,621,241 from the increased motor fuel rate. Therefore, DOR refunded approximately 0.6% (\$423,947/\$70,621,251) of the additional revenue.

DOR has done revenue estimates for SB 262, that were updated using the FY 2022 motor fuel gallons sold data for this fiscal note. Additionally, for SB 262, DOR had assumed a low range of refunds at 15% (based on another state with a similar program). DOR assumes that given the increasing price of the fuel tax and current economic conditions, more than the 0.6% refunds currently requested could be received in the upcoming fiscal years. For this fiscal note, DOR is showing the refund claims ranging from the current 0.6% to the 15% under SB 262 for the shift in the refund period.

April 24, 2023

Estimated Cash Flow Impact from Refund Claim Due to Date Timing

	FY 2024		FY	FY 2025		FY 2026	
State Fund	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	
State Road Fund	(\$513,112)	(\$12,821,146)	(\$171,037)	(\$4,273,715)	(\$171,037)	(\$4,273,715)	
Local Funds							
CART	(\$84,347)	(\$2,107,586)	(\$28,116)	(\$702,529)	(\$28,116)	(\$702,529)	
Other	(\$105,434)	(\$2,634,482)	(\$35,145)	(\$878,161)	(\$35,145)	(\$878,161)	
Total Local	(\$189,781)	(\$4,742,068)	(\$63,260)	(\$1,580,689)	(\$63,260)	(\$1,580,689)	

This proposal will result in the Department needing to change the forms and the computer program to accept more than one tax rate at a time. This is estimated to cost \$10,000. Having more than one motor fuel tax rate on the refund claim form may slow down the processing of the forms. DOR needs one Associate Customer Service Representative (\$32,100) for every 6,000 claims processed at a single rate per year. Additionally, records indicate the average time to process a refund request was 19 days. If it is determined that additional FTE are needed to help process the refunds, DOR will seek those through the appropriation process.

Currently, taxpayers are allowed to submit these forms electronically or a hard copy mailed. Should the forms be mailed to DOR separate than their tax return, DOR assumes no additional impact. However, if a taxpayer mails their claim form with their individual income tax return, this could slow down the processing of the returns and require additional temporary staff (\$12,750) to help sort out those claim forms.

SB 262 requires all refund requests to be processed within 45 days or DOR must pay interest on the claim. If moving the deadline results in slower processing times, this could result in an unknown amount of interest being paid.

Oversight assumes DOR is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes DOR could absorb the programming costs related to this part of the proposal. If multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties at substantial costs, DOR could request funding through the appropriation process.

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **19** of **69** April 24, 2023

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (Perfected HB 519), officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning (B&P)** assumed the following regarding this proposal:

This proposal would change the timing for motor fuel tax refund claims for the additional fuel tax passed in SB 262 (2021). Currently, motor fuel tax refund claims must be made on a fiscal year basis (July through June motor fuel tax purchases) and refund claims must be made between July 1 and September 30 of the following fiscal year.

This proposal would change the refund claims to tax year (January through December) with claims to be made from January 15 through April 15 of the tax year following the year in which the motor fuel purchases were made. Table 1 shows the change in the refund due date depending on when the motor fuel is purchased.

Table 1: Timing of Refund Claims

Date of Purchase	Fuel Tax	Current Refund Timing			_	sed Refund liming
Dute of Furehase	Rate	Date	Fiscal Year	D	ate	Fiscal Year
10/2021 - 12/2021	\$0.025	7/2022 - 9/2022	FY 2024	7/202 9/202		FY 2024
1/2022 - 6/2022	\$0.025	7/2022 - 9/2022	FY 2024	7/202 9/202		FY 2024
7/2022 - 12/2022	\$0.050	7/2023 - 9/2023	FY 2025	1/202 4/202	_	FY 2024
1/2023 - 6/2023	\$0.050	7/2023 - 9/2023	FY 2025	1/202 4/202		FY 2025
7/2023 - 12/2023	\$0.075	7/2024 - 9/2024	FY 2026	1/202 4/202		FY 2025
1/2024 - 6/2024	\$0.075	7/2024 - 9/2024	FY 2026	1/202 4/202		FY 2026
7/2024 - 12/2024	\$0.100	7/2025 - 9/2025	FY 2026	1/202 4/202		FY 2026
1/2025 - 6/2025	\$0.100	7/2025 - 9/2025	FY 2026	1/202 4/202	-	FY 2026
7/2025 - 12/2025	\$0.125	7/2026 - 9/2026	FY 2027	1/202 4/202	-	FY 2026

L.R. No. 1015H.05C

Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23

Page **20** of **69** April 24, 2023

1/2026 - 6/2026	\$0.125	7/2026 - 9/2026	FY 2027	1/2027 - 4/2027	FY 2027
7/2026 - 12/2026	\$0.125	7/2027 - 9/2027	FY 2028	1/2027 - 4/2027	FY 2027

B&P notes that this part of the proposal would not change the number of taxpayers that qualify for the motor fuel tax refund, only the timing of the refund claims. Therefore, this proposal will not result in additional gains or losses beyond those already estimated in the TAFP fiscal note for SB 262 (2021).

The first round of refund claims were received between July 2022 and September 2022. B&P notes that the \$0.025 increase in the fuel tax during FY 2022 generated refund claims of \$423,947. Using the number of gallons sold, B&P estimates that the additional tax generated \$70,621,251 in additional motor fuel revenue. Therefore, B&P estimates that highway use refund claims were approximately 0.6% (\$423,947 / \$70,621,251) of the additional fuel tax revenue.

However, as the fuel tax increases over the remaining years, it is likely that fuel tax refunds will also increase. Therefore, based on this new information, B&P has updated the refund estimates for SB 262 (2021). B&P will show refund claims ranging between 0.6% and 15% of the additional revenue generated.

While this proposal will not increase the overall number of refunds, this proposal will have a cash flow impact in FY 2024 through FY 2026 for all state and local fuel tax funds by moving some motor fuel refund claims into an earlier fiscal year. Using updated refund estimates for SB 262 (2021), B&P estimates that this proposal could increase refunds by \$702,893 to \$17,563,213 in FY 2024, depending on the number of qualifying taxpayers that make refund claims. In FY 2025 and FY 2026, refund claims may increase by \$234,298 to \$5,854,404 each year. There will no longer be a cash flow impact by FY 2027. Table 2 shows the estimated impacts by fund.

Table 2: Estimated Cash Flow Impact from Refund Claim Due Date Timing

	FY 2024		FY	FY 2025		FY 2026	
State Fund	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	
State Road Fund	(\$513,112)	(\$12,821,146)	(\$171,037)	(\$4,273,715)	(\$171,037)	(\$4,273,715)	
Local Funds							
CART	(\$84,347)	(\$2,107,586)	(\$28,116)	(\$702,529)	(\$28,116)	(\$702,529)	
Other	(\$105,434)	(\$2,634,482)	(\$35,145)	(\$878,161)	(\$35,145)	(\$878,161)	

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **21** of **69** April 24, 2023

Total Local	(\$189,781)	(\$4,742,068)	(\$63,261)	(\$1,580,690)	(\$63,261)	(\$1,580,690)
I						

Oversight assumes this part of the proposal will not increase or decrease revenue; rather, only the timing of the motor fuel tax refunds will change. Oversight will reflect the cash flow estimates as provided by DOR and B&P as the estimates have been updated to reflect the actual amount that was refunded (0.6%) in FY 2022. Oversight will range the cash flow impact as DOR and B&P have indicated (from a low of 0.6% (actual) to an estimated 15%. Oversight notes, once all of the tax increases have gone into effect, there will be no further fiscal impact due to cash flow changes.

§§142.815, 142.822 & 142.824 Motor Fuel Refund Given to Charity and Information Required for a Refund

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** note currently, taxpayers who purchase motor fuel for non-highway use (farms, boats) are allowed to claim a refund of the motor fuel tax they pay.

The taxpayer submits their receipts to the Department showing the gallons purchased with a refund request form. Once processed, the Department sends the taxpayer a refund of their motor fuel tax paid.

Starting October 1, 2023, this provision will allow the non-highway use taxpayer to provide their receipts to a federally qualified tax exempt entity (charity) who would claim the refund on the taxpayer's behalf. This is established as a way of donating money for the taxpayer to the charity. This provision then allows the taxpayer to receive a subtraction against their Missouri adjusted gross income of the amount donated. This subtraction is only allowed if the taxpayer does not claim the refund amount as a charitable contribution on their federal income tax form.

In FY 2022 the Department processed \$9,146,015 in non-highway refund claims. The motor fuel rate at the time started at \$0.17 per gallon and then increased to \$0.19 per gallon. DOR estimates that refund claims were made for 49,071,081 gallons.

SB 262 adopted in 2021, established an increasing motor fuel tax rate of \$0.025 per year until the rate increases \$0.12 per gallon for a total of \$0.295 per gallon. Accounting for the SB 262 increases, DOR estimates the total non-highway use refund claims could total \$14,468,143 by tax year 2026. The estimated amount of non-highway related motor fuel tax refunds through the implementation of SB 262 is:

Estimated Refunds by Year

Fiscal	Non-Highway Use
Year	Refunds
2023	\$10,788,751

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **22** of **69** April 24, 2023

2024	\$12,013,963
2025	\$13,242,931
2026	\$14,468,143
2027	\$14,468,143

The Department is unable to determine how many of these taxpayers will choose to donate their receipts to a charity and then claim the deduction. For fiscal note purposes, DOR will show the loss up to the total amount estimated to be refunded.

The Department notes that deductions do not reduce revenues on a dollar for dollar basis, but rather in proportion to the top tax rate applied. Therefore, DOR will show the estimated impact to general revenue from the deduction throughout the implementation of SB 262 and with the individual income tax rate reductions scheduled under SB 3 (2022).

Estimated	Revenue	Loss	by	Fiscal	Year

Tax	Tax Year (Fiscal Year)						
Rate	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027 (FY		
	(FY24)	(FY25)	(FY26)	(FY27)	28)		
4.95%	(\$534,043)	(\$594,691)	(\$655,525)	(\$716,173)	(\$716,173)		
4.80%		(\$576,670)	(\$635,661)	(\$694,471)	(\$694,471)		
4.70%			(\$622,418)	(\$680,003)	(\$680,003)		
4.60%				(\$665,535)	(\$665,535)		
4.50%					(\$651,066)		

This is a new subtraction that would need to be added to the MO-A form. This would require computer programming changes, form changes and website changes. These changes are estimated to cost \$7,193. Additionally, this could result in additional errors and correspondence generated. Should the number of errors and correspondent justify the additional FTE, the Department will seek the additional FTE through the appropriation process.

- 1 FTE Associate Customer Service Representative (\$31,200) for every 14,700 errors created
- 1 FTE Associate Customer Service Representative for every 5,700 pieces of correspondence generated

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **23** of **69** April 24, 2023

Oversight assumes DOR is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes DOR could absorb the programming and personnel costs related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties at substantial costs, DOR could request funding through the appropriation process. For fiscal note purposes, Oversight will assume 20% of these refunds will be donated. Therefore, Oversight will use 20% of DOR's estimates.

DOR notes Section 142.822 will allow a taxpayer who purchases motor fuel for use on the highway to donate their increased motor fuel tax receipts to a charity. However, they are not granted a deduction for doing so.

Since the fiscal note for SB 262 assumed all eligible taxpayers would receive a refund of the increased motor fuel rate, and this proposal just changes who claims the refund, this is not expected to result in any additional fiscal impact from who claims the refund.

However, a person who donates to a charity has the ability to claim a deduction on their federal and state tax returns. If this proposal encourages more people to claim the federal charity deduction that could lower their federal adjusted gross income that is reported on their Missouri tax return. That in turn could lower the amount of taxes DOR receives. It is unknown how many people would do this. This could result in a \$0 to Unknown loss.

Section 142.822 Motor Fuel Refund

SB 262 adopted in 2021 established an increasing motor fuel tax rate over the next five years. As part of the increasing rate, a procedure was established that allowed taxpayers to receive a refund of the increased motor fuel amount if they did not wish their increased motor fuel tax they paid to go to road improvements. To receive the refund a taxpayer had to submit an application with certain required information.

The application required:

The VIN number of the vehicle that bought the fuel

Date of sale of the fuel

Name and address of the purchaser of fuel

Name and address of the seller of the fuel

Number of gallons purchased

Number of gallons purchased and charged Missouri fuel tax

These records were to be maintained a minimum of three years for the Department to be able to do audits if needed.

This proposal changes the required information needed for claiming a refund. It removes the date of sale and name and address of the seller as required information. It only requires that the total number of gallons purchased be submitted. And it also only requires records to be maintained if provided.

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **24** of **69** April 24, 2023

In SB 262 the Department noted by receiving the originally required data the Department would ensure that each purchase was made in Missouri and charged the appropriate motor fuel tax and be able to ensure the same gallons were not reported by more than one vehicle. The Department would be able to audit to ensure more refunds were not paid out than actually purchased.

The changes proposed in this bill may increase the original refund estimates. No longer requiring proof of the sale date, or the address of the seller, may allow taxpayers to report gallons purchased in other states in their total gallons purchased. This could result in additional refunds paid out than actual motor fuel tax received.

No longer requiring that taxpayers keep records, may result in the Department being unable to audit records to ensure the appropriate number of gallons were refunded.

The Department is unable to determine the potential increased refunds that could be paid out under this proposal. It is unknown but could be expected to exceed \$100,000 annually.

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (Perfected HB 519), officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning (B&P)** assumed Sections 142.815 and 142.824 would allow taxpayers to donate their motor fuel tax refund, for non-highway use, to a non-profit entity beginning October 2023. B&P notes that the information requirements are not changed under this proposal, only who would receive the refund.

Section 142.815 would grant taxpayers a deduction for any refund claims they donated to charity. B&P notes that such taxpayer would only be allowed to claim the deduction in this section if they did not take the charitable deduction on their itemized federal and state income tax returns.

In FY 2022, total non-highway use refund claims were \$9,146,015. B&P notes that these refunds were granted both before and after the motor fuel tax increased from \$0.17 to \$0.195 per gallon. Using the distribution of gallons sold between the two tax rate periods, B&P estimates that refund claims were made for 49,071,081 gallons.

B&P notes that under SB 262 (2021), the motor fuel tax is scheduled to increase by \$0.025 per year, for five years, until the total motor fuel tax is \$0.295. Accounting for the increases scheduled to occur under SB 262 (2021), B&P estimates that total non-highway use refund claims could total \$14,468,143 by fiscal year 2026. Table 1 shows the estimated amount of non-highway related motor fuel tax refunds through the implementation of SB 262 (2021).

Table 1: Estimated Refunds by Year

KB:LR:OD

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **25** of **69** April 24, 2023

Fiscal Year	Non- Highway Use Refunds
2023	\$10,788,751
2024	\$12,013,963
2025	\$13,242,931
2026	\$14,468,143
2027	\$14,468,143

B&P is unable to determine how many non-highway use refund claims may be donated to a non-profit entity. Therefore, B&P will reflect the loss as "up to" the total amount claimed.

B&P notes that deductions do not reduce revenues on a dollar for dollar basis, but rather in proportion to the top tax rate applied. Therefore, B&P will show the estimated impacts throughout the implementation of the tax rate reductions from SB 3 (2022).

Therefore, B&P estimates that this proposal could reduce Total State Revenue (TSR) and General Revenue (GR) by up to \$534,043 in FY 2024 (tax year 2023, top tax rate 4.95%). Once SB 3 (2022) and SB 262 (2021) fully implement, this proposal could reduce TSR and GR by up to \$651,066 annually. Table 2 shows the estimated impact by top tax rate and year.

Table 2: Estimated Revenue Loss by Fiscal Year

Tax	Tax Year (Fiscal Year)						
Rate	2023 (FY24)	2024 (FY25)	2025 (FY26)	2026 (FY27)	2027 (FY 28)		
4.95%	(\$534,043)	(\$594,691)	(\$655,525)	(\$716,173)	(\$716,173)		
4.80%		(\$576,670)	(\$635,661)	(\$694,471)	(\$694,471)		
4.70%			(\$622,418)	(\$680,003)	(\$680,003)		
4.60%				(\$665,535)	(\$665,535)		
4.50%					(\$651,066)		

Section 142.822 – Increased Motor Fuel Tax Refund

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **26** of **69** April 24, 2023

Section 142.822 would allow taxpayers to donate their motor fuel tax refund, for non-highway use, to a non-profit entity beginning October 2023. B&P notes that the information requirements are not changed under this proposal, only who would receive the refund. B&P further notes that taxpayers are not granted an additional income tax deduction for any refunds donated. However, individuals could claim the donated refunds on their itemized federal and state income tax returns, under the existing charitable contribution itemized deduction. In addition, it is unknown if the ability to donate the fuel tax refund would encourage more refund claims than what would have otherwise occurred.

Therefore, B&P estimates that this provision could have an unknown negative impact on TSR and GR through potential charitable itemized deductions. This provision could also reduce the State Road Fund, as well as local fuel tax funds, through increased motor fuel tax refund claims.

Information Required for Motor Fuel Tax Refund (Section 142.822)

This proposal removes certain requirements currently necessary in order to claim the additional motor fuel tax under 142.803.3. Taxpayers would no longer be required to provide the date of sale, seller's name and address, as well as each fuel tax receipt.

B&P notes that this proposal would essentially prohibit DOR from completing any motor fuel tax refunds under this section, as taxpayers would no longer be required to keep or provide supporting documentation.

B&P notes that the estimates provided for SB 262 (2021) included the possibility that 100% of qualifying purchases were refunded. Therefore, while this provision makes it easier to claim the motor fuel tax refunds, no additional revenue loss is expected beyond what B&P originally estimated.

Oversight does not have information to the contrary and therefore, Oversight will reflect the estimates as provided by DOR and B&P.

Officials from the **Missouri Highway Patrol** defer to DOR for the potential fiscal impact of this proposal.

§142.822.10 - Mobile Application for Motor Fuel Tax Refunds

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume this proposal requires the Department to create a mobile application that will allow claims to be submitted on a person's phone at the time of motor fuel purchase. This proposal requires that the person be able to demonstrate the purchase at the pump. This will require the Department to create a mobile application that can interact with the current motor fuel system.

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **27** of **69** April 24, 2023

This proposal states the development and maintenance of the application should be paid out the fuel tax road fund. The Constitution designates how that money may be spent and the Department is unable to determine if this would be an approved expense.

It should be noted that this does not require the Department to refund the payment at the time of submission. DOR assumes the database will hold the submissions and DOR will refund during the approved period.

The Department estimates this system would cost at least \$500,000.

Oversight does not have information to the contrary and therefore, Oversight will reflect the estimate for the mobile application as provided by the DOR to the State Road Fund.

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (Perfected HB 519), officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning (B&P)** assumed Section 142.822.10 requires DOR to develop a mobile application that allows users to submit a refund claim at the time of fuel purchase, rather than making an annual claim for all purchases at once. B&P notes that if refund claims are made at the time of purchase, rather than on an annual basis, this could result in a cash flow impact where refunds that would have been claimed in year 2 are now claimed in year 1.

While this provision will not increase the overall number of refunds, it could have a cash flow impact in FY 2024 through FY 2026 for all state and local fuel tax funds by moving some motor fuel refund claims into an earlier fiscal year. However, there will no longer be a cash flow impact by FY 2027, once SB 262 (2021) has fully implemented.

B&P defers to DOR for the costs to develop and maintain a mobile application.

§144.822.4(2) - Income Tax Refund for Motor Fuel – Itemized Refund vs. Standard Refund

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume this proposal also adds a process by which a taxpayer can decide to file for a flat rate standard motor fuel refund amount rather than fill out the itemized refund form above. This standard refund would be claimed on their income tax return and would be subject to the following limits.

Standard Refund Amount

Tax	
Year	Refund

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **28** of **69** April 24, 2023

2023	\$30
2024	\$45
2025	\$60
2026	\$75

DOR records indicate that in tax year 2020, there were 3,250,763 Missouri individual income tax returns filed. Assuming that individuals who currently file the detailed refund form will continue to do so because of the size of their return exceeding the standard refund amount offered, DOR can assume there will be a potential 3,235,232 (3,250,763 income tax returns – 15,531 itemized returns) additional filers. This could result in the following estimated income tax claims per year.

Standard Income Tax Claims by Year

Tax Year	Fiscal Year	Refund Claim
2023	2024	\$97,056,960
2024	2025	\$145,585,440
2025	2026	\$194,113,920
2026	2027	\$242,642,400

This proposal in Section 142.822.5 requires both the itemized refund request and the standard refund request to be paid out of the money collected from the additional tax.

This proposal will require the Department to modify its MO-1040 and MO -1040P forms, website and individual income tax computer system. These changes are estimated to cost \$7,193.

Oversight assumes DOR is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes DOR could absorb the programming costs related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties at substantial costs, DOR could request funding through the appropriation process.

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (Perfected HB 519), officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning (B&P)** assumed this proposal would allow individuals to either claim an itemized (receipts required) or standard (no receipts required) motor fuel tax rebate refund. B&P notes that individuals cannot claim both and the total amount of refunds granted cannot exceed the amount of revenues generated under Section 142.803. In addition, all

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **29** of **69** April 24, 2023

refund claims must be paid from the motor fuel tax fund that receives collections under Section 142.803.

<u>Itemized Motor Fuel Refunds</u>

This proposal would change the timing for motor fuel tax refund claims for the additional fuel tax passed in SB 262 (2021). Currently, motor fuel tax refund claims must be made on a fiscal year bases (July through June motor fuel tax purchases) and refund claims must be made between July1 and September 30 of the following fiscal year.

This proposal would change the refund claims to tax year (January through December) with claims to be made from January 15 through April 15 of the tax year following the year in which the motor fuel purchases were made. Table 1 shows the change in the refund due date depending on when the motor fuel is purchased.

Table 1: Timing of Refund Claims

	Fuel	Current Refund	l Timing	Proposed Refun	d Timing
Date of Purchase	Tax Rate	Date	Fiscal Year	Date	Fiscal Year
10/2021 - 12/2021	\$0.025	7/2022 - 9/2022	FY 2023	7/2022 - 9/2022	FY 2023
1/2022 - 6/2022	\$0.025	7/2022 - 9/2022	FY 2023	7/2022 - 9/2022	FY 2023
7/2022 - 12/2022	\$0.050	7/2023 - 9/2023	FY 2024	1/2023 - 4/2023	FY 2024
1/2023 - 6/2023	\$0.050	7/2023 - 9/2023	FY 2024	7/2023 - 9/2023	FY 2024
7/2023 - 12/2023	\$0.075	7/2024 - 9/2024	FY 2025	1/2024 - 4/2024	FY 2024
1/2024 - 6/2024	\$0.075	7/2024 - 9/2024	FY 2025	1/2025 - 4/2025	FY 2025
7/2024 - 12/2024	\$0.100	7/2025 - 9/2025	FY 2026	1/2025 - 4/2025	FY 2025
1/2025 - 6/2025	\$0.100	7/2025 - 9/2025	FY 2026	1/2026 - 4/2026	FY 2026

L.R. No. 1015H.05C

Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23

Page **30** of **69** April 24, 2023

7/2025 - 12/2025	\$0.125	7/2026 - 9/2026	FY 2027	1/2026 - 4/2026	FY 2026
1/2026 - 6/2026	\$0.125	7/2026 - 9/2026	FY 2027	1/2027 - 4/2027	FY 2027
7/2026 - 12/2026	\$0.125	7/2027 - 9/2027	FY 2028	1/2027 - 4/2027	FY 2027

B&P notes that this proposal would not change the number of taxpayers that qualify for the motor fuel tax refund, only the timing of the refund claims. Therefore, this proposal will not result in additional gains or losses beyond those already estimated in the TAFP fiscal note for SB 262 (2021).

The first round of refund claims were received between July 2023 and September 2023. B&P notes that the \$0.025 increase in the fuel tax during FY22 generated refund claims of \$423,947.

Using the number of gallons sold, B&P estimates that the additional tax generated \$70,621,251 in additional motor fuel revenue. Therefore, B&P estimates that highway use refund claims were approximately 0.6% (\$423,947 / \$70,621,251) of the additional fuel tax revenue.

However, as the fuel tax increases over the remaining years, it is likely that fuel tax refunds will also increase. Therefore, based on this new information, B&P has updated the refund estimates for SB 262 (2021). B&P will show refund claims ranging between 0.6% and 15% of the additional revenue generated.

While this proposal will not increase the overall number of refunds, this proposal will have a cash flow impact in FY24 through FY26 for all state and local fuel tax funds by moving some motor fuel refund claims into an earlier fiscal year. Using updated refund estimates for SB 252 (2021), B&P estimates that this proposal could increase refunds by \$702,893 to \$17,563,213 in FY24, depending on the number of qualifying taxpayers that make refund claims. In FY25 and FY26, refund claim may increase by \$234,298 to \$5,854,404 each year. There will no longer be a cash flow impact by FY21. Table 2 shows the estimated impacts by fund.

Table 2: Estimated Cash Flow Impact from Refund Claim Due Date Timing

	FY 2024		FY 2025		FY 2026	
State Fund	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High
State Road Fund	(\$513,112)	(\$12,821,146)	(\$171,037)	(\$4,273,715)	(\$171,037)	(\$4,273,715)
Local Funds						

L.R. No. 1015H.05C

Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23

Page **31** of **69** April 24, 2023

CART	(\$84,347)	(\$2,107,586)	(\$28,116)	(\$702,529)	(\$28,116)	(\$702,529)
Other	(\$105,434)	(\$2,634,482)	(\$35,145)	(\$878,161)	(\$35,145)	(\$878,161)
Total Local	(\$189,781)	(\$4,742,068)	(\$63,261)	(\$1,580,690)	(\$63,261)	(\$1,580,690)

Standard Income Tax Refunds

This proposal would allow taxpayers to take a standard refund, rather than the itemized receipt required rebate, beginning with tax year 2023. The standard refund would be claimed at the time an individual files their annual tax return. Table 3 shows the amounts of the standard refund by tax year.

Table 3: Standard Refund Amount

Tax Year	Refund		
2023	\$35		
2024	\$45		
2025	\$65		
2026	\$75		

B&P notes that in tax year 2020, there were 3,250,763 Missouri individual income tax returns filed. Assuming that individuals who currently itemize their fuel tax returns continue to do so, B&P estimates that the standard refund may be claimed on 3,235,232 (3,250,763 income tax returns – 15,531 itemized returns). Table 4 shows the estimated income tax claims per year.

Table 4: Income Tax Claims by Year

Tax Year	Fiscal Year	Refund Claim
2023	2024	\$113,233,120
2024	2025	\$145,585,440

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **32** of **69** April 24, 2023

2025	2026	\$210,290,080
2026	2027	\$242,642,400

<u>Summary</u>

B&P estimates that this proposal could increase refunds from the State Road Fund by \$83,173,290 to \$95,481,323 in FY 2024, depending on the number of qualifying taxpayers that make refund claims. Once SB 262 (2021) fully implements, this proposal could increase refund claims from the State Road Fund by \$65,513,448.

Table 5: Standard and Itemized Refund Impact

	FY 2024		FY 2025		
State Fund	Low	High	Low	High	
State Road Fund					
Standard Refund	(\$82,66	50,178)	(\$106,277,371)		
Itemized Rebate	(\$513,112)	(\$12,821,146)	(\$171,037)	(\$4,273,715)	
Total State Road Fund	(\$83,173,290)	(\$95,481,323)	(\$106,448,409)	(\$110,551,086)	
Local Funds					
CART					
Standard Refund	(\$13,58	37,974)	(\$17,470,253)		
Itemized Rebate	(\$84,347)	(\$2,107,586)	(\$28,116)	(\$702,529)	
Total CART	(\$13,672,322)	(\$15,695,560)	(\$17,498,369)	(\$18,172,781)	
Other Fuel Funds					
Standard Refund	(\$16,98	34,968)	(\$21,837,816)		
Itemized Rebate	(\$105,434)	(\$2,634,482)	(\$35,145)	(\$878,161)	
Total Other Local	(\$17,090,402)	(\$19,619,450)	(\$21,872,961)	(\$22,715,977)	
Total Local	(\$30,762,724)	(\$35,315,010)	(\$39,371,329)	(\$40,888,758)	

April 24, 2023

Table 5: Standard and Itemized Refund Impact

	FY 2026		FY 2027			
State Fund	Low	High	Low	High		
State Road Fund						
Standard Refund	(\$153,5	(\$153,511,758)		(\$177,128,952)		
Itemized Rebate	(\$171,037)	(\$4,273,715)	\$0	\$0		
Total State Road Fund	(\$153,682,796)	(\$157,785,474)	(\$177,128,952)	(\$177,128,952)		
Local Funds						
CART						
Standard Refund	(\$25,23	34,810)	(\$29,117,088)			
Itemized Rebate	(\$28,116)	(\$702,529)	\$0	\$0		
Total CART	(\$25,262,925)	(\$25,937,338)	(\$29,117,088)	(\$29,117,088)		
Other Fuel Funds						
Standard Refund	(\$31,54	43,512)	(\$36,396,360)			
Itemized Rebate	(\$35,145)	(\$878,161)	\$0	\$0		
Total Other Local	(\$31,578,657)	(\$32,421,673)	(\$36,396,360)	(\$36,396,360)		
Total Local	(\$56,841,582)	(\$58,359,011)	(\$65,513,448)	(\$65,513,448)		

Oversight does not have information to the contrary and therefore, Oversight will reflect the estimates as provided by DOR and B&P.

§142.822.8 - Weight Restriction Removed

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume SB 262 contained a provision that would not allow a vehicle over 26,000 pounds to qualify for the refund. This proposal removes that restriction and would allow them to qualify for the refund of the increasing fuel tax rate.

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **35** of **69** April 24, 2023

To qualify, those vehicles over 26,000 pounds must provide documentation proving their motor vehicle is owned and licensed in Missouri by a corporation or sole proprietor and that the vehicle only operated in Missouri. Should it operate outside the state, the miles and fuel must be separated by what was used in Missouri and what was used outside Missouri.

This provision would become effective August 28, 2023, but due to the previous provision moving the refund filing period this will have a fiscal impact starting in FY 2024.

For the fiscal note of SB 262, the Department projected the motor fuel increase and the amount expected to be refunded if 15% of all taxpayers (low) applied for the refund and if 100% of the taxpayers (high) applied for the refund.

This range was based on a similar program in South Carolina that capped the number of participants at 15% and the total amount that could be claimed. DOR used information on the number of actual vehicles and their average miles driven to estimate the refund amount.

While the first year of the program did not find the 15% filing for the refund, changes in the economic conditions and the increasing amount of the tax, indicates more taxpayers may claim the refund in the future. Therefore, for the purpose of this fiscal note, DOR will continue to use that same 15%-100% participation. Additionally, these heavier vehicles tend to use more fuel, which may encourage more participation in the refund program.

Based on information from its Motor Vehicle Databases, DOR was able to determine there are approximately 1.2 million vehicles that were ineligible for the refund based on their vehicles weight. However, DOR was unable to determine how many of them would still not meet the requirements of this proposal. For fiscal note purposes, DOR will assume <u>all</u> meet the new requirements and DOR notes the impact will be less than projected should some vehicles still not qualify.

DOR's FY 2022 motor fuel collections show there were 4,323,936,974 gallons of gasoline and diesel purchased in FY 2022. Using these numbers, DOR was able to calculate newer revenues and potential refunds to SB 262. DOR was able to calculate the potential refunds by removing the current qualifying vehicles. These are the updated revenue and refund potential amounts.

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **36** of **69** April 24, 2023

Table 1: Estimated Additional Refunds

	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027
Estimated Collections (prior FY)	\$216,196,849	\$324,295,273	\$432,393,697	\$540,492,122
Estimated Current 100% Potential Refunds -	\$156,117,452	\$234,176,179	\$312,234,905	\$390,293,631
Remaining Collections (for non-qualifying MVs)	\$60,079,396	\$90,119,094	\$120,158,792	\$150,198,491
Estimated Low Additional Refund (15%)	(\$9,011,909)	(\$13,517,864)	(\$18,023,819)	(\$22,529,774)
Estimated High Additional Refund (100%)	(\$60,079,396)	(\$90,119,094)	(\$120,158,792)	(\$150,198,491)
Estimated Refunds for every 1% uptake	(\$600,794)	(\$901,191)	(\$1,201,588)	(\$1,501,985)
Estimated Refunds for every 5% uptake	(\$3,003,970)	(\$4,505,955)	(\$6,007,940)	(\$7,509,925)

This has the potential to increase the amount of the refunds each year.

Motor fuel tax is distributed 73% to the State Road Fund, 15% to the Counties and 12% to the Cities. If a refund is claimed, the refund is withheld from the distribution in the same percentage. Therefore the funds would be impacted as follows:

	FY	2024	FY 2025		
	Low	High	Low	High	
Counties	(\$1,892,501)	(\$12,616,673)	(\$1,892,501)	(\$12,616,673)	
Cities	(\$2,365,626)	(\$15,770,842)	(\$2,365,626)	(\$15,770,842)	
Total Local	(\$4,258,127)	(\$28,387,515)	(\$4,258,127)	(\$28,387,515)	
State Road Fund	(\$11,512,714)	(\$76,751,429)	(\$11,512,714)	(\$76,751,429)	
	FY 2	2026	FY	2027	
	FY 2	2026 High	FY Low	2027 High	
Counties					
Counties Cities	Low	High	Low	High	
	Low (\$2,433,216)	High (\$16,221,437)	Low (\$2,703,573)	High (\$18,023,819)	
Cities	Low (\$2,433,216) (\$3,041,519)	High (\$16,221,437) (\$20,276,796)	Low (\$2,703,573) (\$3,379,466)	High (\$18,023,819) (\$22,529,774)	

The Department already has the forms and processes set up to handle these refunds. No additional fiscal impact is expected.

Summary

This proposal will have the following revenue impact:

Consolidated Impact

	FY 2024		FY 2025		
State Fund	Low	High	Low	High	
General Revenue					
Charity Deduction	(\$576,670)	(\$576,670)	(\$622,418)	(\$622,418)	
Creation of the APP	(\$500,000)	(\$500,000)			
Total General Revenue	(\$1,076,670)	(\$1,076,670)	(\$622,418)	(\$622,418)	
State Road Fund					
Standard Refund	(\$70,851,581)	(\$70,851,581)	(\$106,277,371)	(\$106,277,371)	
Itemized Rebate	(\$513,112)	(\$12,821,146)	(\$171,037)	(\$4,273,715)	
Weight Limit Removed	(\$11,512,714)	(\$76,751,429)	(\$11,512,714)	(\$76,751,429)	
Total State Road Fund	(\$82,877,407)	(\$160,424,156)	(\$117,961,123)	(\$187,302,515)	
Local Funds					
Counties					
Standard Refund	(\$11,646,835)	(\$11,646,835)	(\$17,470,253)	(\$17,470,253)	
Itemized Rebate	(\$84,347)	(\$2,107,586)	(\$28,116)	(\$702,529)	
Weight Limit Removed	(\$1,892,501)	(\$12,616,673)	(\$1,892,501)	(\$12,616,673)	
Total Counties	(\$13,623,683)	(\$26,371,094)	(\$19,390,870)	(\$30,789,454)	
Cities					
Standard Refund	(\$14,558,544)	(\$14,558,544)	(\$21,837,816)	(\$21,837,816)	
Itemized Rebate	(\$105,434)	(\$2,634,482)	(\$35,145)	(\$878,161)	
Weight Limit Removed	(\$2,365,626)	(\$15,770,842)	(\$2,365,626)	(\$15,770,842)	
Total Cities	(\$17,029,604)	(\$32,963,868)	(\$24,238,587)	(\$38,486,819)	

Page **39** of **69** April 24, 2023

| Total Local | (\$30,653,287) | (\$59,334,962) | (\$43,629,456) | (\$69,276,273)

Consolidated Impact

	FY	2026	FY 2027		
State Fund	Low	High	Low	High	
General Revenue					
Charity Deduction	(\$665,535)	(\$665,535)	(\$651,066)	(\$651,066)	
Total General Revenue	(\$665,535)	(\$665,535)	(\$651,066)	(\$651,066)	
State Road Fund					
Standard Refund	(\$141,703,162)	(\$141,703,162)	(\$177,128,952)	(\$177,128,952)	
Itemized Rebate	(\$171,037)	(\$4,273,715)	\$0	\$0	
Weight Limit Removed	(\$14,802,061)	(\$98,680,408)	(\$16,446,735)	(\$109,644,898)	
Total State Road Fund	(\$156,676,260)	(\$244,657,285)	(\$193,575,687)	(\$286,773,850)	
Local Funds					
Counties					
Standard Refund	(\$23,293,670)	(\$23,293,670)	(\$29,117,088)	(\$29,117,088)	
Itemized Rebate	(\$28,116)	(\$702,529)	\$0	\$0	
Weight Limit Removed	(\$2,433,216)	(\$16,221,437)	(\$2,703,573)	(\$18,023,819)	
Total Counties	(\$25,755,002)	(\$40,217,636)	(\$31,820,661)	(\$47,140,907)	
Cities					
Standard Refund	(\$29,117,088)	(\$29,117,088)	(\$36,396,360)	(\$36,396,360)	
Itemized Rebate	(\$35,145)	(\$878,161)	\$0	\$0	
Weight Limit Removed	(\$3,041,519)	(\$20,276,796)	(\$3,379,466)	(\$22,529,774)	
Total Cities	(\$32,193,752)	(\$50,272,045)	(\$39,775,826)	(\$58,926,134)	

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **40** of **69** April 24, 2023

Total Local	(\$57,948,754)	(\$90,489,681)	(\$71,596,487)	(\$106,067,041)

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (Perfected HB 519), officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning (B&P)** assumed this proposal would allow motor fuel tax refund claims on fuel purchased for vehicles heavier than 26,000 pounds, if such vehicles are owned by a corporation or sole proprietorship located in Missouri. B&P notes that the language specifically lists corporations and sole proprietors; therefore, it is unclear whether other pass-through entities such as partnerships or LLCs would also qualify under this provision.

B&P notes that this proposal would become effective August 28, 2023, which is during the motor fuel refund request window of July 1, 2023 through September 30, 2023 for fuel tax purchases between July 2022 and June 2023. For the purpose of this fiscal note, B&P will assume that refund claims will increase from the repeal of Section 142.822.6 during the FY 2024 refund claim period. However, B&P acknowledges that not all newly qualifying taxpayers would have kept their fuel receipts because they do not qualify under current law.

B&P further notes that for the TAFP SB 262 (2021) fiscal note, B&P assumed that the amount of refunds would range between 15% and 100% of all qualified taxpayers, whose vehicles weighed less than 26,000 pounds. Therefore, under this proposal, B&P assumes that refund claims will still range between 15% and 100%; however, the number of qualifying vehicles would increase with the removal of the weight limit. In addition, B&P notes that heavier vehicles tend to use more motor fuel than lighter vehicles, which may incentivize a higher uptake in refund claims.

Using FY 2022 motor fuel tax collections, B&P estimates that there were 4,323,936,974 gallons of gasoline and diesel purchased during FY 2022. B&P then updated the TAFP SB 262 (2021) fiscal estimates for both revenues and potential refunds, under current law, using the newer gallons sold data. B&P then determined the amount of refunds that could remain after accounting for all currently qualifying vehicles. Table 1 shows the updated revenue and refund estimates.

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **41** of **69** April 24, 2023

Table 1: Estimated Additional Refunds

		FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027
Estimated Collections (prior FY)		\$216,196,849	\$324,295,273	\$432,393,697	\$540,492,122
Estimated Current 100% Potential Refunds	-	\$156,117,452	\$234,176,179	\$312,234,905	\$390,293,631
Remaining Collections (for non-qualifying MVs)	-	\$60,079,396	\$90,119,094	\$120,158,792	\$150,198,491
Estimated Low Additional Refund (15%)		(\$9,011,909)	(\$13,517,864)	(\$18,023,819)	(\$22,529,774)
Estimated High Additional Refund (100%)		(\$60,079,396)	(\$90,119,094)	(\$120,158,792)	(\$150,198,491)
Estimated Refunds for every 1% uptake		(\$600,794)	(\$901,191)	(\$1,201,588)	(\$1,501,985)
Estimated Refunds for every 5% uptake		(\$3,003,970)	(\$4,505,955)	(\$6,007,940)	(\$7,509,925)

Therefore, B&P estimates that this proposal could increase motor fuel tax refunds by up to \$9.0 million to \$60.1 million in FY 2024. Once fully implemented, this proposal could increase motor fuel tax refunds by up to \$22.5 million to \$150.2 million annually.

However, as noted before, it is unknown how many additional refund claims will be made. B&P estimates that every 5% increase in refund claims could increase refund amounts by \$7.5 million once fully implemented.

B&P notes that motor fuel tax collections are distributed 73% to the State Road Fund, 12% to the County Aid Road Trust Fund, 15% to other local funds. Therefore, B&P estimates that this proposal could reduce revenues to the State Road Fund by up to \$6.6 million to \$43.9 million and local revenues by up to \$2.4 million to \$16.2 million in FY 2024. Once TAFP SB 262 (2021) has fully implemented, this proposal could reduce revenues to the State Road Fund by up to \$22.5 million to \$150.2 million and local revenues by \$6.1 million to up to \$40.6 million annually. Table 2 shows the estimated impact by fund.

Table 2: Estimated Impact By Fund

	FY	2024	FY	2025
State Fund	Low (15%)	High (100%)	Low (15%)	High (100%)
State Road Fund	(\$6,578,694)	(\$43,857,959)	(\$9,868,041)	(\$65,786,939)
Local Funds				
CART	(\$1,081,429)	(\$7,209,528)	(\$1,622,144)	(\$10,814,291)
Other	(\$1,351,786)	(\$9,011,909)	(\$2,027,680)	(\$13,517,864)
Total Local	(\$2,433,215)	(\$16,221,437)	(\$3,649,824)	(\$24,332,155)

Table 2 (cont.): Estimated Impact By Fund

	FY	2026	FY	2027
State Fund	Low (15%)	High (100%)	Low (15%)	High (100%)
State Road Fund	(\$13,157,388)	(\$87,715,919)	(\$16,446,735)	(\$109,644,898)
Local Funds				
CART	(\$2,162,858)	(\$14,419,055)	(\$2,703,573)	(\$18,023,819)
Other	(\$2,703,573)	(\$18,023,819)	(\$3,379,466)	(\$22,529,774)
Total Local	(\$4,866,431)	(\$32,442,874)	(\$6,083,039)	(\$40,553,593)

Bill Summary

B&P estimates that this proposal could decrease state revenues by \$95,220,047 to \$172,766,796 and local funds by \$35,020,850 to \$63,702,525 in FY 2024. Once SB 3(2022) and all other provisions have implemented, this proposal may decrease state revenues by \$194,226,753 to \$287,424,916 and local revenues by \$71,596,487 to \$106,067,041. Table 1 shows the estimated impact by fiscal year.

Table 1: Estimated Summary Impact

	FY 2	2024	FY	2025	FY 2026		
State Fund	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	
General Revenue - Donations	(\$534	,043)	(\$576	6,670)	(\$635	5,661)	
State Road Fund							
Standard Refund	(\$82,66	50,178)	(\$106,2	77,371)	(\$153,5	511,758)	
Itemized Rebate	(\$513,112)	(\$12,821,146)	(\$171,037)	(\$4,273,715)	(\$171,037)	(\$4,273,715)	
Weight Limit + Timing	(\$11,512,714)	(\$76,751,429)	(\$11,512,714)	(\$76,751,429)	(\$14,802,061)	(\$98,680,408)	
Total State Road Fund	(\$94,686,004)	(\$172,232,753)	(\$117,961,122)	(\$187,302,515)	(\$168,484,856)	(\$256,465,881)	
Total State Impact	(\$95,220,047)	(\$172,766,796)	(\$118,537,792)	(\$187,879,185)	(\$169,120,517)	(\$257,101,542)	
Local Funds							
CART							
Standard Refund	(\$13,58	37,974)	(\$17,47	70,253)	(\$25,2)	34,810)	
Itemized Rebate	(\$84,347)	(\$2,107,586)	(\$28,116)	(\$702,529)	(\$28,116)	(\$702,529)	
Weight Limit + Timing	(\$1,892,501)	(\$12,616,673)	(\$1,892,501)	(\$12,616,673)	(\$2,433,216)	(\$16,221,437)	
Total CART	(\$15,564,822)	(\$28,312,233)	(\$19,390,870)	(\$30,789,455)	(\$27,696,142)	(\$42,158,776)	
Other							
Standard Refund	(\$16,98	34,968)	(\$21,83	37,816)	(\$31,5	43,512)	
Itemized Rebate	(\$105,434)	(\$2,634,482)	(\$35,145)	(\$878,161)	(\$35,145)	(\$878,161)	
Weight Limit + Timing	(\$2,365,626)	(\$15,770,842)	(\$2,365,626)	(\$15,770,842)	(\$3,041,519)	(\$20,276,796)	
Total Other	(\$19,456,028)	(\$35,390,292)	(\$24,238,587)	(\$38,486,819)	(\$34,620,176)	(\$52,698,469)	
Total Local	(\$35,020,850)	(\$63,702,525)	(\$43,629,456)	(\$69,276,273)	(\$62,316,317)	(\$94,857,244)	

April 24, 2023

Table 1: Estimated Summary Impact

	FY	2027	FY 2028		FY 2029	
State Fund	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High
General Revenue - Donations	(\$680	0,003)	(\$665	5,535)	(\$651	1,066)
G. D. I.E. I						
State Road Fund						
Standard Refund	(\$177,1	28,952)	(\$177,1	28,952)	(\$177,1	28,952)
Itemized Rebate	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Weight Limit + Timing	(\$16,446,735)	(\$109,644,898)	(\$16,446,735)	(\$109,644,898)	(\$16,446,735)	(\$109,644,898)
Total State Road Fund	(\$193,575,687)	(\$286,773,850)	(\$193,575,687)	(\$286,773,850)	(\$193,575,687)	(\$286,773,850)
Total State Impact	(\$194,255,690)	(\$287,453,853)	(\$194,241,222)	(\$287,439,385)	(\$194,226,753)	(\$287,424,916)
<u>Local Funds</u>						
CART						
Standard Refund	(\$29,11	17,088)	(\$29,1)	17,088)	(\$29,1	17,088)
Itemized Rebate	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Weight Limit + Timing	(\$2,703,573)	(\$18,023,819)	(\$2,703,573)	(\$18,023,819)	(\$2,703,573)	(\$18,023,819)
Total CART	(\$31,820,661)	(\$47,140,907)	(\$31,820,661)	(\$47,140,907)	(\$31,820,661)	(\$47,140,907)
Other						
Standard Refund	(\$36,39	96,360)	(\$36,39	96,360)	(\$36,39	96,360)
Itemized Rebate	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Weight Limit + Timing	(\$3,379,466)	(\$22,529,774)	(\$3,379,466)	(\$22,529,774)	(\$3,379,466)	(\$22,529,774)
Total Other	(\$39,775,826)	(\$58,926,134)	(\$39,775,826)	(\$58,926,134)	(\$39,775,826)	(\$58,926,134)
Total Local	(\$71,596,487)	(\$106,067,041)	(\$71,596,487)	(\$106,067,041)	(\$71,596,487)	(\$106,067,041)

Oversight does not have information to the contrary and therefore, Oversight will reflect the estimates as provided by DOR and B&P.

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **45** of **69** April 24, 2023

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (Perfected HB 519), officials from the **Missouri Department of Transportation (MODOT)** assumed the expansion of the motor fuel tax refund to motor vehicles that exceed 26,000 pounds would create an unknown negative fiscal impact if Missouri-based motor carriers licensed under the International Fuel Tax Agreement (IFTA) are included.

IFTA takes into account both gallons purchased, and gallons consumed within the state when determining a motor carrier's fuel tax owed. It is unclear if the language "delivered into a motor vehicle" is intended to apply to fuel purchased in Missouri, consumed in Missouri, or both.

Because IFTA is administered by MoDOT's Motor Carrier Services Division and other refunds are issued by the Department of Revenue, refunds could be issued more than once. The easing of reporting information for a refund would not satisfy the audit requirements for IFTA.

Allowing only Missouri-based carriers to be eligible for refunds for motor vehicles over 26,000 pounds may create an unfair interstate commerce practice.

MoDOT defers to DOR for the fiscal impacted expected from motor fuel tax refunds.

§143.011 - Individual Income Tax Rate Reduction

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** note the current individual income tax rate for tax year 2023 is 4.95% per SB 3 (2022) and is projected to be 4.8% for tax years 2024 & 2025. Per SB 3, the individual income tax rate is then scheduled to drop over a period of years to 4.5% based on certain state revenue growth. The Department is unable to determine when these scheduled drops in the rate will actually occur, but for fiscal note purposes only, DOR will assume they will drop over the next consecutive years.

This provision changes the rate for tax year 2024 to 4.5% and then allows the rest of the SB 3 rate reductions to continue for tax year 2024 and beyond. Therefore, the current and proposed rates are:

Current and Proposed Income Tax Rates

Tax Year	Current	Proposed Rate
	(assumed) Rate	
2024	4.8%	4.35% (4.5%15%)
2025	4.8%	4.35%
2026	4.7%	4.25%
2027	4.6%	4.15%
2028+	4.5%	4.05%

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **46** of **69** April 24, 2023

The Department used its internal Income Tax Model that contains confidential taxpayer data to estimate the fiscal impact. The model calculates the calendar/tax year impact, then converts the data to fiscal year using a 42% in the first year and 58% in the second year split for conversion. The loss to General Revenue is projected as follows:

By Tax Year

	Loss To
Tax Year	General Revenue
2024	(\$505,699,033)
2025	(\$503,748,664)
2026	(\$507,041,169)
2027	(\$508,067,496)
2028	(\$508,814,511)

By Fiscal Year

	Loss to General
Fiscal Year	Revenue
2024	(\$211,574,439)
2025	(\$504,879,878)
2026	(\$505,131,516)
2027	(\$507,472,226)
2028	(\$508,381,243)
2029	(\$508,814,511)

This provision will require modification to the MO-1040 form and to the MO-1040P form. Additionally DOR will need to modify their website and their individual income tax computer system. These changes are estimated to cost \$7,193.

At this time, the Department believes it can handle this work with existing resources. However, should DOR reach the number of errors or correspondence to justify additional FTE from this proposal or in combination with other proposals that will pass, DOR will seek the required number of FTE through the appropriation process. The Department is providing the number of errors or correspondence that require additional FTE.

- 1 FTE Associate Customer Service Rep for every 14,700 errors created
- 1 FTE Associate Customer Service Rep for every 5,700 pieces of correspondence generated

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **47** of **69** April 24, 2023

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (HCS for SS for SCS for SB 133), officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning (B&P)** noted this proposal would reduce the top income tax rate to 4.5% starting tax year 2024. B&P notes that this proposal retains the income tax reductions that are scheduled to occur under current law.

Based on current revenue forecasts and average revenue growth, B&P estimates that revenues in FY23, FY25, FY26, and FY27 will reach the SB 3 (2022) growth trigger requirement for reductions to the top rate of tax. Therefore, the top rate of tax is estimated to be reduced in tax years 2024 (0.15%), 2026 (0.1%), 2027 (0.1%), and 2028 (0.1%) under SB 3 (2022). Table 1 shows the current versus proposed individual income tax.

Table 1: Current Top Tax
Rate vs Proposed Rate

Tax	•	
Year	Current	Proposed
2023	4.95%	N/A
2024	4.80%	4.35%
2025	4.80%	4.35%
2026	4.70%	4.25%
2027	4.60%	4.15%
2028	4.50%	4.05%

*Assumes SB 3 (2022) reductions are triggered for tax years: 2024, 2026, 2027, and 2028.

Using tax year 2020 data, the most recent complete tax year available, and accounting for the changes in individual income tax law created by SB 3 (2022), B&P estimates that this section may reduce tax collections by \$514.7M for calendar year 2024. Once SB 3 (2022) has fully implemented, B&P estimates this provision could reduce tax collections by \$517.9M annually. Table 2 shows the assumed top tax rates and estimate impact by calendar year.

Table 2: Impact by Tax Year

Tax Year	GR Impact
2024	(\$514,725,108)
2025	(\$512,772,465)
2026	(\$516,077,095)
2027	(\$517,108,521)
2028	(\$517,857,688)

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **48** of **69** April 24, 2023

However, because this proposal would take effect January 1, 2024 individuals will adjust their withholdings and declarations during FY24. Based on actual collections data, B&P estimates that 42% of individual income taxes are paid during fiscal year 1 and 58% are paid during fiscal year 2. Therefore, B&P estimates that this provision will reduce TSR and GR by \$216.2M in FY24. Once SB 3 (2022) has been fully implemented in FY29, and annually thereafter, this proposal may reduce TSR and GR by \$517.9M. Table 3 shows the estimated impact from this section by fiscal year.

Table 3: Impact by Fiscal Vear

1 cai				
Fiscal Year	GR Impact			
2024	(\$216,184,545)			
2025	(\$513,904,998)			
2026	(\$514,160,410)			
2027	(\$516,510,294)			
2028	(\$517,423,171)			
2029	(\$517,857,688)			

§143.071 – Corporate Income Tax

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (HCS for SS for SCS for SB 133), officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning (B&P)** noted Section 143.071.4 will reduce the corporate income tax 2%, starting with tax year 2024.

Section 143.071.5 would reduce the corporate income tax rate by an additional 1%, when net corporate income tax collections exceed the amount of net corporate income tax collections in FY25. B&P notes that because the rate reduction could not start until the calendar year after the trigger was met, tax year 2027 (FY26 vs FY25 corporate revenues) is the first possible year for the reduced rate. For the purpose of this fiscal note, B&P will assume the reduction is triggered for tax year 2027.

Section 143.071.6 would allow an additional 1% reduction, taking the corporate tax rate to 0%, beginning as early as the year after a reduction is triggered under subsection 5. In order for the additional rate reduction to occur, net GR in the immediately preceding fiscal year must exceed the net GR in the fiscal year in which the tax reduction under subsection 5 is triggered, by at least \$250 million. For the purpose of this fiscal note, B&P will assume that the additional rate reduction occurs as early as tax year 2028.

Table 4 shows the proposed corporate tax rates.

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **49** of **69** April 24, 2023

Table 4: Proposed Corporate Tax Rate

Tax		
Year	Current	Proposed
2023	4.00%	N/A
2024	4.00%	2.00%
2025	4.00%	2.00%
2026	4.00%	2.00%
2027	4.00%	1.00%
2028	4.00%	0.00%

^{*}Assume Section 143.071.5 triggered for tax year 2027. Assumes Section 143.071.6 triggered for tax year 2028.

B&P notes that under Section 148.720, RSMo, the financial institutions tax (formerly known as the bank franchise taxes) (Sections 148.030, 148.140, and 148.620, RSMo.) shall be reduced by a proportional amount to any reduction in the corporate income tax. However, **Section** 143.071.8(2) states that the proposed tax rate reductions and elimination under Section 143.071 shall not impact the financial institutions tax.

In FY 2022, net corporate tax collections were \$711,062,676 at a tax rate of 4.0%.

B&P estimates that reducing the corporate income tax could reduce GR by \$355.5 million beginning with tax year 2024. Once fully implemented, B&P estimates this provision could reduce corporate income tax collections by \$711.0 million annually. Table 5 shows the estimated impact by tax year.

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **50** of **69** April 24, 2023

Table 5: Estimated Impact by Tax Year

Tax	
Year	GR Impact
2024	(\$355,531,338)
2025	(\$355,531,338)
2026	(\$355,531,338)
2027	(\$533,297,007)
2028	(\$711,062,676)

* Assume Section 143.071.5 triggered for tax year 2027. Assumes Section 143.071.6 triggered for tax year 2028.

However, because this proposal would take effect January 1, corporations would adjust their declarations payments during FY24. Based on historic remittance patterns, B&P notes that corporate income tax collections are split approximately 50/50 between fiscal years. Table 6 shows the estimate impact on general revenue by fiscal year.

Table 6: Corporate
Income Tax Reduction
by Fiscal Year

Dy	riscai i cai
Fiscal	
Year	GR Impact
FY24	(\$177,765,669)
FY25	(\$355,531,338)
FY26	(\$355,531,338)
FY27	(\$444,414,173)
FY28	(\$622,179,842)
FY29	(\$711,062,676)

* Assume Section 143.071.5 triggered for tax year 2027. Assumes Section 143.071.6 triggered for tax year 2028.

Therefore, B&P estimates that this proposal could reduce TSR and GR by \$177.8 million in FY 2024. Once fully implemented, this proposal could reduce TSR and GR by \$711.0 million annually.

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **51** of **69** April 24, 2023

B&P notes that Section 143.071.8(1) would prevent the use of corporate tax income tax credits once the corporate income tax has been eliminated. B&P notes that the average amount of tax credits taken against corporate income tax was \$92,343,664 from FY 2020 – FY 2022. However, B&P also notes that corporations could still sell or transfer tax credits. B&P further assumes that this would not impact withholding retention tax credits as they are not taken against corporate income tax, but are instead a retention of employee's individual income tax.

In addition, the use of net collections to estimate the potential impact from this proposal already includes the potential that corporate tax credits would no longer be redeemed. Therefore, removing the \$92.3 million in corporate tax credits from the estimated impact would double count the potential revenue gain.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** note the current corporate tax rate is 4% a year. The FY 2022 net collections were \$711.1 million. Starting January 1, 2024 this proposal will reduce the corporate tax rate to 2% for tax year 2024. Since this proposal is effective January 1, 2024 it is assumed only 6 months of collections will be impacted in FY 2024.

Then starting in tax year 2026, this proposal provides that another 1% reduction can occur if the amount of revenue received in FY 2025- 2026 exceeds the FY 2024-2025 collections by \$50 million. If it does, the 1% rate reduction will occur starting the following tax year. Therefore, the earliest this reduction could occur is tax year 2027.

Additionally in Section 143.071.6, this proposal establishes a procedure by which the corporate tax rate could be reduced to zero. It says that if the FY 2028 net general revenue collections are greater than \$250 million over the FY 2027 net general revenue collections then the corporate rate will be reduced to zero. At this time, the Department is unable to predict if reducing the corporate rate to 1% would increase revenue by the \$250 million for the trigger.

This provision then allows a final reduction to 0% if it meets the \$250 million trigger. This will result in a loss to general revenue.

Corporate	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
Rate	(\$177,765,669)	(\$355,531,338)	(355,531,338)	(\$444,414,173)	(\$533,297,007)	(\$711,062,676)
Reduction						

This provision in Section 143.071.8(1) states that upon the corporate tax rate being eliminated, corporations would no longer be allowed to claim tax credits. Many of the state tax credits are allowed to be sold, transferred and assigned and it is assumed corporations would continue that practice. Additionally some companies may choose to no longer participate in tax credit programs, freeing up credits for other organizations to claim.

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **52** of **69** April 24, 2023

Per Section 148.720 whenever there is a reduction in the corporate tax rate there shall be a proportional decrease in the financial institutions tax. However, section 143.071.8(2) states this proposal will not cause a reduction or elimination of the financial institutions tax under Chapter 148. Therefore this provision will not have a fiscal impact.

This provision will result in changes needing to be made to their computer programs and forms. These changes are estimated at \$7,193.

§143.125 – Social Security Retirement Income

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (HCS for SS for SCS for SB 133), officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning (B&P)** noted this section would eliminate the income limits for the individual income tax exemption for social security payments beginning with tax year 2024. Currently taxpayers who are married filing joint may exempt 100% of their social security income, if their Missouri Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) equal to or less than \$100,000. All other taxpayers may exempt 100% of social security income if their MAGI is equal to or less than \$85,000.

This proposal would allow all taxpayers, regardless of income, to subtract up to the maximum social security benefit. To prevent double counting the potential revenue impact, B&P will reflect the potential impact under the proposed income tax brackets found in Section 143.011.

Based on data published by the IRS, B&P estimates that this section may exempt \$689,197,344 in social security payments for taxpayers filing single, \$172,665,756 for taxpayers filing head of household, and \$2,353,388,312 for married filing joint taxpayers; for a total of \$3,215,251,412 in income exempted under this section.

However, exemptions do not reduce revenues on a dollar for dollar basis, but rather in proportion to the top tax rate applied. Therefore, B&P will show the estimated impacts throughout the implementation of the tax rate reductions from this proposal and SB 3 (2022).

B&P estimates that this section could reduce TSR and GR by \$144,686,314 (top tax rate 4.5%) or by \$139,863,436 (top tax rate 4.35%) in FY25. Once this proposal and SB 3 (2022) have fully implemented, this section could reduce TSR and GR by \$130,217,682 annually.

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **53** of **69** April 24, 2023

Table 7: Social Security Subtraction by Fiscal Year

	Tax Year (Fiscal Year)					
Tax						
Rate	2024 (FY25)	2025 (FY26)	2026 (FY27)	2027 (FY28)		
4.50%	(\$144,686,314)	(\$144,686,314)	(\$144,686,314)	(\$144,686,314)		
4.35%	(\$139,863,436)	(\$139,863,436)	(\$139,863,436)	(\$139,863,436)		
4.25%		(\$136,648,185)	(\$136,648,185)	(\$136,648,185)		
4.15%			(\$133,432,934)	(\$133,432,934)		
4.05%				(\$130,217,682)		

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** note this provision is changing the taxability of social security payments. Some social security benefits are taxable depending on the amount of income a person has at the federal level, while other amounts are not. Generally, at the federal level if a taxpayer's income is under \$25,000 and they file as an individual or \$35,000 if they file a combined return, the social security benefits are not taxable. For any income over those amounts then social security benefits are taxable and the taxable portion is calculated into the taxpayer's federal adjusted gross income (FAGI).

Under Missouri law, if social security benefits are included in a taxpayer's FAGI, and the taxpayer's MAGI is over \$85,000 for an individual or \$100,000 for those that file combined, their social security is taxable in Missouri. If a taxpayers has a MAGI of less than \$85,000 for an individual or \$100,000 for those filing combined, then they are allowed to deduction up to the social security maximum from their Missouri taxable income.

The maximum social security benefits a person receives is based on when they start to take the social security benefits and how much they paid into the system through the years. A person can take early social security benefits at 62 receiving a lesser amount of benefits. Social security benefits are considered full benefits starting at age 67, based on the year of a person's birth. The maximum social security benefits are given when a person does not take social security until they reach 70. The maximum social security benefit for 2023 is \$43,524. It should be noted that social security annually adjusts the benefit numbers.

This provision starting January 1, 2024, will remove the MAGI limitation determining the taxable portion of social security. If a taxpayer included any portion of their social security benefits into their FAGI they will be allowed a deduction from their Missouri return up to the \$43,524 limit of what they claimed in the FAGI.

While currently SB 3 adopted in 2022 set the individual income tax rate at 4.95% in tax year 2023 with the rate scheduled to continue to reduce over a period of several year, this bill is changing those rates. For fiscal note purposes, DOR will show the loss at each of this year's individual income tax rates for the implementation period. This will result in a loss to general revenue. DOR estimates the loss to general revenue:

L.R. No. 1015H.05C

Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23

Page **54** of **69** April 24, 2023

Estimated Loss of Social Security Benefits						
Retirement						
Income	4.50%	4.35%	4.25%	4.15%	4.05%	
Social						
Security	(\$144,686,314)	(\$139,863,436)	(\$136,648,185)	(\$133,432,934)	(\$130,217,682)	

Therefore it could result in a loss to general revenue.

Table 2: Estimated Loss by Fiscal Year of Social Security Benefits

	Tax Year (Fiscal Year)					
Tax Rate	2024 (FY25)	2025 (FY26)	2026 (FY27)	2027 (FY28)		
4.50%	(\$144,686,314)	(\$144,686,314)	(\$144,686,314)	(\$144,686,314)		
4.35%	(\$139,863,436)	(\$139,863,436)	(\$139,863,436)	(\$139,863,436)		
4.25%		(\$136,648,185)	(\$136,648,185)	(\$136,648,185)		
4.15%			(\$133,432,934)	(\$133,432,934)		
4.05%				(\$130,217,682)		

This provision will require modification to the MO-1040, MO-A and the MO-1040P forms. Additionally DOR will need to modify their website and their individual income tax computer system. These changes are estimated to cost \$7,193.

At this time, the Department believes it can handle this work with existing resources. However, should DOR reach the number of errors or correspondence to justify additional FTE from this proposal or in combination with other proposals that will pass, DOR will seek the required number of FTE through the appropriation process. The Department is providing the number of errors or correspondence that require additional FTE.

1 FTE Associate Customer Service Rep for every 14,700 errors created

1 FTE Associate Customer Service Rep for every 5,700 pieces of correspondence generated

§§144.020 & 144.070 – Motor Vehicle Dealers to Remit Sales Tax

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume the following regarding this proposal:

§144.070.11

As soon as the Department's Motor Vehicle and Driver Licensing System integration and modernization is completed, this provision requires all Missouri licensed dealers to collect and remit the sales tax on all motor vehicles that the dealer sells. When collecting and with timely remittance the dealer gets to keep two percent of taxes collected.

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **55** of **69** April 24, 2023

Administrative Impact

Motor Vehicle Bureau

The implementation of this legislation will be coordinated with the integration of the Department's Motor Vehicle and Driver Licensing software system approved and passed by the General Assembly in 2020 (Senate Bill 176). Dealers collecting tax is a part of the new system requirements. The administrative impact for this bill is inclusive within the Department's development and implementation of the new system.

Compliance and Investigations Bureau (CIB)

The proposal will have an impact on CIB as well. If dealers are collecting and remitting sales tax, this will increase the responsibilities of CIB to ensure appropriate tax collection. Additional resources will be requested through the appropriations process, if needed.

Oversight assumes DOR is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes DOR could absorb the costs related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties at substantial costs, DOR could request funding through the appropriation process.

Revenue Impact

DOR notes the following estimates have been made using data from FY 2022 state and local sales tax collected on motor vehicles if the 2% timely discount is allowed for dealers that remit sales tax.

Total amount of **state** sales tax collected for motor vehicles sold by dealers in FY 2022 = $\$417,111,230 \times 2\% = \$8,342,225$ - Estimated Loss in MV State Sales Tax

Total amount of **local** sales tax collected for motor vehicles sold by dealers in FY 2022 = $\$340,659,669 \times 2\% = \$6,813,193$ - Estimated Loss MV Local Sales/Use Tax

Estimated loss of revenue annually = \$15,155,418 (\$757,770,899 x 2%)

This would be a decrease to state, city, and county funds

Dealers collecting sales tax at the time of purchase should result in an unknown increase in Motor Vehicle sales tax collections. This increase should mitigate/offset the loss in sales tax collections resulting from the 2% collection fee dealers will be able to retain.

	FY 2024 (6	FY 2025	FY 2026
	months)		
Conservation	(\$123,406)	(\$246,811)	(\$246,811)
Parks, Soils & Water	(\$98,725)	(\$197,449)	(\$197,449)
School District Trust Fund	(\$493,623)	(\$987,246)	(\$987,246)
State Road Bond Fund	(\$1,480,868)	(\$2,961,737)	(\$2,961,737)
State Road Fund	(\$1,480,868)	(\$2,961,737)	(\$2,961,737)
Locals	(\$493,623)	(\$987,245)	(\$987,245)
Total	(\$4,171,113)	(\$8,342,225)	(\$8,342,225)

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (SCS for HB 415), officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning (B&P)** assumed the following regarding this proposal:

Section 144.070

This proposal would require motor vehicle dealers to collect and remit sales taxes, rather than applying the sales tax to the purchaser at the time of titling a vehicle. Motor vehicle dealers are to begin collecting and remitting the sales tax after the development of DOR's integrated motor vehicle system.

B&P notes that motor vehicle dealers would be eligible for the 2% timely filing discount under Section 144.140. Therefore, B&P estimates that this provision may reduce revenues to state and local taxing jurisdictions.

B&P also notes that the 3% tax on motor vehicles is deposited into the State Road Bond Fund (50%); the State Road Fund (36.5%); the State Transportation Fund (1%); and the Fuel Local Deposit Fund (FLOYD) (12.5%) which is then distributed to local jurisdictions. In addition to the 3% general tax, a tax of 1% is levied for education, 0.125% for Conservation, and 0.1% for Parks, Soil and water.

In FY 2022, state 3% MV sales tax collections were \$365,264,400. Based on the 3% amount, B&P estimates that total taxable sales were \$12,175,480,006. Therefore, B&P estimates that granting the 2% timely filing discount to MV dealers would reduce state tax collections by up to \$9,070,733 (includes state sales tax minus FLOYD distributions) annually. In addition, B&P estimates that this would reduce local sales tax collections by up to \$11,128,389 (includes both local sales tax plus FLOYD distributions) annually.

Table 1 shows the impact by fiscal year by impacted fund. B&P notes that the estimated impact is "up to" the amounts shown as not all MV businesses may choose to actually collect and remit the sales tax and not all businesses may qualify for the timely filing discount throughout a full fiscal year.

Table 1: Impact by Fund and Fiscal Year

	FY 2024		FY 2025		FY 2026+	
State Funds						
Education	\$0 or Up to	(\$1,014,623)	\$0 or Up to	(\$1,217,548)	Up to	(\$1,217,548)
Conservation	\$0 or Up to	(\$253,656)	\$0 or Up to	(\$304,387)	Up to	(\$304,387)
DNR	\$0 or Up to	(\$202,925)	\$0 or Up to	(\$243,510)	Up to	(\$243,510)
State Road Bond Fund	\$0 or Up to	(\$3,043,870)	\$0 or Up to	(\$3,652,644)	Up to	(\$3,652,644)
State Road Fund	\$0 or Up to	(\$2,962,700)	\$0 or Up to	(\$3,555,240)	Up to	(\$3,555,240)
State Transportation Fund	\$0 or Up to	(\$81,170)	\$0 or Up to	(\$97,404)	Up to	(\$97,404)
Loss to All State Funds	\$0 or Up to	(\$7,558,944)	\$0 or Up to	(\$9,070,733)	Up to	(\$9,070,733)
<u>Local Funds</u>						
Fuel Local Deposit (FLOYD)	\$0 or Up to	(\$1,014,623)	\$0 or Up to	(\$1,217,548)	Up to	(\$1,217,548)
Sales Tax	\$0 or Up to	(\$8,259,034)	\$0 or Up to	(\$9,910,841)	Up to	(\$9,910,841)
Loss to All Local Funds	\$0 or Up to	(\$9,273,657)	\$0 or Up to	(\$11,128,389)	Up to	(\$11,128,389)

Oversight does not have information to the contrary and therefore, Oversight will reflect the revenue loss estimates as provided by B&P. In addition, Oversight will reflect an "Unknown" increase in revenue as indicated by DOR for the potential increase in sales tax collections due to motor vehicle dealers having the ability to collect the sales tax at the time of sale – if those sales taxes would not have otherwise been collected.

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **58** of **69** April 24, 2023

Oversight notes, DOR has indicated that the average estimated time to implement the new integrated system is between three and five years; therefore, Oversight will reflect the fiscal impact as \$0 (new system has not been implemented yet) up to the estimates indicated by B&P (new system has been implemented).

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (SCS for HB 415), officials from the **Missouri Highway Patrol** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for the Missouri Highway Patrol.

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (SCS for HB 415), officials from the **Missouri Department of Transportation** deferred to the DOR for the potential fiscal impact of this proposal.

§§407.812 & 407.828 – Motor Vehicle Franchise Practices Act

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume the following regarding this proposal:

Administrative Impact

To implement the proposed legislation, the Department will be required to:

- Update the Missouri titling manual;
- Make changes to procedures, correspondence letters, forms, and the Department website;
- Provide License Offices and stakeholders these changes as applicable; and
- Train staff

FY 2024- Motor Vehicle Bureau

Associate Research/Data Analyst 40 Hrs @ \$19.90 = \$796 Lead Admin Assistant 20 Hrs @ \$17.05 = \$341 Administrative manager 5 Hrs @ \$26.96 = \$135

FY 2024 Strategy and Communications Office

Associate Research/Data Analyst 10 Hrs @ \$19.90 = \$199

Total Cost =\$1,471

The Department anticipates absorbing these costs and that there will be Minimal Impact. If multiple bills are passed that require department resources, FTE may be requested through the appropriations process.

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **59** of **69** April 24, 2023

Oversight assumes DOR will use existing staff and will not hire additional FTE to conduct these activities; therefore, Oversight will not reflect the administrative costs DOR has indicated on the fiscal note.

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (Perfected SS for SCS for SB 398), officials from the **Attorney General's Office** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note.

In response to a similar proposal from 2023 (Perfected SS for SCS for SB 398), officials from the **Office of Administration** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note.

Rule Promulgation

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** assume this proposal is not anticipated to cause a fiscal impact beyond its current appropriation.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** note many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with its core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Oversight only reflects the responses received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other county officials were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A listing of political subdivisions included in the Missouri Legislative Information System (MOLIS) database is available upon request.

FISCAL IMPACT –	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	Fully
State Government	(10 Mo.)			Implemented
				(FY Unknown)
CENEDAL				
GENERAL DEVENUE FUND				
REVENUE FUND				
Costs – State Tax				
Commission –				
§137.115 -				
Software/programming	(Unknown,			
and additional FTE	less than	(Unknown, less	(Unknown, less	(Unknown, less
costs (p. 4)	\$200,000)	than \$200,000)	than \$200,000)	than \$200,000)
		, , ,	, , ,	. , , , ,
Revenue Loss -				
§§142.815, 142.822 &				
142.824 – decrease in				
state taxes paid due to				
the ability to donate				
motor fuel tax refunds				
to charity and claim as				
a deduction on state	(0106000)	(0117.001)	(010-100)	(0126001)
taxes (p. 21-26)	(\$106,809)	(\$115,334)	(\$127,132)	(\$136,001)
Darragua I and				
Revenue Loss - §143.011 - Individual				
Income Tax Rate				
Reduction (p. 44-47)	(\$211,574,439)	(\$504,879,878)	(\$505,131,516)	(\$508,381,243)
Reduction (p. 44-47)	(Ψ211,3/Τ,Τ39)	(ψ30π,077,070)	(\$303,131,310)	(ψ300,301,243)
Revenue Loss -				
§143.071 - Corporate				
Income Tax Rate				
Reduction (p. 47-51)	(\$177,765,669)	(\$355,531,338)	(\$355,531,338)	(\$711,062,676)

L.R. No. 1015H.05C

Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23

Page **61** of **69** April 24, 2023

Revenue Loss -				
§143.125 Social				
Security Benefit Tax				
Exemption (p. 51-53)	\$0	(\$139,863,436)	(\$136,648,185)	(\$133,432,934)
ESTIMATED NET				
EFFECT ON THE				
GENERAL				
REVENUE FUND	(\$389,646,917)	(\$1,000,589,986)	(\$997,638,171)	(\$1,353,212,854)
FISCAL IMPACT –	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	Fully
State Government	(10 Mo.)			Implemented
(continued)	, ,			(FY Unknown)
STATE ROAD				
FUND				
TOND				
Costs – DOR –				
§142.822 -				
development of app to				
claim motor fuel tax				
refunds at the pump	Could exceed			
(p. 26)	(\$500,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0
C1-F1 8142 922				
Cash Flow – §142.822 - timing of the motor				
fuel tax refunds –				
moved up to CY				
instead of FY	(\$513,112 to	(\$171,037 to	(\$171,037 to	
(p. 15-20)	\$12,821,146)	\$4,273,715)	\$4,273,715)	\$0
(p. 10 20)	\$12,621,110)	<i>ψ</i> :,2 / ε , / 1 ε)	\$ 1,270,710)	Ψ.
Revenue Loss –				
§142.822 - increase in				
fuel tax refunds due to				
eliminating certain				
required information	~~.	~~.	~~.	~~.
(p. 23-26)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
Revenue Loss –				
§142.822 - increased				
motor fuel tax refunds				
due to the elimination				
of the weight limit for	(\$11,512,714			
vehicles to claim	to	(\$11,512,714 to	(\$14,802,061 to	(\$16,446,735 to
refunds (p. 33-41)	\$76,751,429)	\$76,751,429)	\$98,680,408)	\$109,644,898)

Page **62** of **69** April 24, 2023

				<u> </u>
Revenue Loss –				
§142.822 - taxpayers				
claiming the standard				
refund vs. the itemized				
refund				
(p. 27-33)	(\$82,660,178)	(\$106,277,371)	(\$153,511,758)	(\$177,128,952)
(p. 27-33)	(\$62,000,178)	(\$100,277,371)	(\$133,311,736)	(\$177,120,932)
FISCAL IMPACT –	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	Fully
State Government	(10 Mo.)			Implemented
(continued)	(10 1/10.)			(FY Unknown)
(continued)				(1 1 CHKHOWH)
<u>Revenue</u> – §144.070 -				
potential increase in				
sales tax collection due				
to dealers having the				
ability to collect sales				
tax at the time of sale	\$0 or			
	7 -	¢0 I I1	¢0 I I . 1	¢0 - , I I - 1 - ,
(p. 54)	Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
Logg \$144,070 20/				
<u>Loss</u> – §144.070 - 2%				
timely fee possibly	ΦΟ ΤΤ 4	ΦΟ ΤΙ .	ΦΟ ΤΙ .	ΦΟ ΤΙ
being retained by	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to
dealerships (p. 53-56)	(\$3,043,870)	(\$3,652,644	(\$3,652,644)	(\$3,652,644)
ESTIMATED NET	Could exceed		Could exceed	
EFFECT ON THE	(\$97,229,874	Could exceed	(\$172,137,500	Could exceed
STATE ROAD		(\$121,613,766 to		(\$197,228,331 to
FUND	\$175,776,623)		\$260,118,525)	\$290,426,494)
FUND	<u>\$175,770,023)</u>	<u>\$190,955,159)</u>	\$200,110,525]	<u>\$290,420,494)</u>
BLIND PENSION				
FUND				
Revenue Loss -				
§137.115.9 – motor				
vehicles - reduction in				
property taxes from				
change in personal				
property assessed		More or Less	More or Less	More or Less
valuation method		than	than	than
p. (4-12)	\$0	(\$1,169,311)	(\$1,288,384)	(\$1,288,384)
F. (·)	Ψ0	(41,107,011)	(\$1,200,501)	(\$1,200,201)

Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23

Page **63** of **69** April 24, 2023

Revenue Change - §137.115.9 – farm machinery - reduction in property taxes from change in personal property assessed valuation method p. (4-12)	\$0	More or Less than (\$28,909)	More or Less than (\$31,805)	More or Less than (\$31,805)
FISCAL IMPACT – State Government (continued)	FY 2024 (10 Mo.)	FY 2025	FY 2026	Fully Implemented (FY Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON BLIND PENSION FUND	<u>\$0</u>	More or Less than (\$1,198,220)	More or Less than (\$1,320,189)	More or Less than (\$1,320,189)
CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND (0609)				
Revenue – §144.070 - potential increase in sales tax collection due to dealers having the ability to collect sales tax at the time of sale (p. 54)	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
Loss – §144.070 - 2% timely fee possibly being retained by dealerships (p. 53-56)	\$0 or Up to (\$253,656)	\$0 or Up to (\$304,387)	\$0 or Up to (\$304,387)	\$0 or Up to (\$304,387)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND	\$0 or Up to (\$253,656)	\$0 or Up to (\$304,387)	\$0 or Up to (\$304,387)	\$0 or Up to (\$304,387)

Page **64** of **69** April 24, 2023

PARKS, SOIL AND				
WATER FUND				
(0613 & 0614)				
(0013 & 0014)				
<u>Revenue</u> – §144.070 -				
potential increase in				
sales tax collection due				
to dealers having the				
ability to collect sales				
tax at the time of sale	\$0 or			
(p. 54)	Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
FISCAL IMPACT –	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	Fully
State Government	(10 Mo.)	11 2025	112020	Implemented
(continued)	(10 1.101)			(FY Unknown)
(Continuou)				(1 1 cimile wii)
<u>Loss</u> – §144.070 - 2%				
timely fee possibly				
being retained by	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to	<u>\$0 or Up to</u>	\$0 or Up to
dealerships (p. 53-56)	(\$202,925)	(\$243,510)	(\$243,510)	(\$243,510)
	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ 	1	<u> </u>	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
ESTIMATED NET				
EFFECT ON THE				
PARKS, SOIL AND	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to
WATER FUND	(\$202,925)	(\$243,510)	(\$243,510)	(\$243,510)
SCHOOL				
DISTRICT TRUST				
FUND (0688)				
<u>Revenue</u> – §144.070 -				
potential increase in				
sales tax collection due				
to dealers having the				
ability to collect sales	* ~			
tax at the time of sale	\$0 or	Φο ** 1		Φο ** 1
(p. 54)	Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
Loss = 8144 070 - 2%				
ı —				
	\$0 or Un to	\$0 or Un to	\$0 or Un to	\$0 or Un to
	(\$1,014,623)	(\$1,217,548)	(\$1,217,548)	(\$1,217,548)
	(3)1,()14.07.311	(3) 1, /.1 /. 1401	[[,)], Z.1 /. 1401 [(3) [./.1 /. 1401
Loss – §144.070 - 2% timely fee possibly being retained by dealerships (p. 53-56)	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to

L.R. No. 1015H.05C

Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23

Page **65** of **69** April 24, 2023

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON THE				
SCHOOL				
DISTRICT TRUST	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to	\$0 or Up to
FUND	(\$1,014,623)	(\$1,217,548)	(\$1,217,548)	(\$1,217,548)
EICCAL IMPACT	EX 2024	EV 2025	EV 2026	E11
FISCAL IMPACT – State Government	FY 2024 (10 Mo.)	FY 2025	FY 2026	Fully Implemented
(continued)	(10 1410.)			(FY Unknown)
STATE ROAD				
BOND FUND (0319)				
<u>Revenue</u> – §144.070 -				
potential increase in				
sales tax collection due				
to dealers having the ability to collect sales				
tax at the time of sale	\$0 or			
(p. 54)	Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
<u>Loss</u> – §144.070 - 2%				
timely fee possibly				
being retained by	<u>\$0 or Up to</u>	<u>\$0 or Up to</u>	<u>\$0 or Up to</u>	<u>\$0 or Up to</u>
dealerships (p. 53-56)	(\$3,043,870)	(\$3,652,644)	(\$3,652,644)	(\$3,652,644)
ESTIMATED NET				
EFFECT ON THE				
STATE ROAD BOND FUND	\$0 or Up to (\$3,043,870)	\$0 or Up to (\$3,652,644)	\$0 or Up to (\$3,652,644)	\$0 or Up to (\$3,652,644)
_ 3.,2 2 3.12	(40,010,01)	142,302,011)	(42,302,011)	(40,302,011)
FISCAL IMPACT –	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	Fully
Local Government	(10 Mo.)			Implemented
				(FY Unknown)

Page **66** of **69** April 24, 2023

LOCAL POLITICAL				
SUBDIVISIONS				
Costs – Counties – §137.115 - to administer the changes in assessment from this proposal (p. 4-12)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government (continued)	FY 2024 (10 Mo.)	FY 2025	FY 2026	Fully Implemented (FY Unknown)
Revenue Loss - §137.115.9 – motor vehicles - reduction in property taxes from change in personal property assessed valuation method (p.		More or Less than	More or Less than	More or Less than
4-12)	\$0	(\$333,994,687)	(\$367,454,133)	(\$367,454,133)
Revenue Loss - §137.115.9 – farm machinery - reduction in property taxes from change in personal				
property assessed valuation method (p.		More or Less than	More or Less	More or Less than
4-12)	\$0	(\$8,230,682)	than (\$9,055,276)	(\$9,055,276)
Cash Flow – §142.822 - (Cities and Counties) timing of the motor fuel tax refunds (p. 15-20)	(\$189,781 to \$4,742,068)	(\$63,261 to \$1,580,690)	(\$63,261 to \$1,580,690)	\$0
Revenue Loss – §142.822 - (Cities and Counties) increase in	ψ1,172,000)	ψ1,300,070)	Ψ1,300,070)	U U

Page **67** of **69** April 24, 2023

fuel tax refunds due to eliminating certain required information (p. 23-26)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
Revenue Loss – §142.822 - taxpayers claiming the standard refund vs. the itemized refund (p. 27-33)	(\$30,572,942)	(\$39,308,069)	(\$56,778,322)	(\$65,513,488)
FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government (continued)	FY 2024 (10 Mo.)	FY 2025	FY 2026	Fully Implemented (FY Unknown)
Revenue Loss – §144.070 - increase in motor fuel tax refunds due to eliminating the weight limit restriction (p. 33-41)	(\$4,258,127 to \$28,387,515)	(\$4,258,127 to \$28,387,515)	(\$5,474,735 to \$36,498,233)	(\$6,083,039 to \$40,553,593)
Revenue – §144.070 - potential increase in sales tax collection due to dealers having the ability to collect sales tax at the time of sale (p. 54)	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
Loss – §144.070 - (FLOYD) - 2% timely fee possibly being retained by dealerships (p. 53-56)	\$0 or Up to (\$1,014,623)	\$0 or Up to (\$1,217,548)	\$0 or Up to (\$1,217,548)	\$0 or Up to (\$1,217,548)
Loss – §144.070 - (local sales tax only) - 2% timely fee possibly being retained by dealerships (p. 53-56)	\$0 or Up to (\$8,259,034)	\$0 or Up to (\$9,910,841)	\$0 or Up to (\$9,910,841)	\$0 or Up to (\$9,910,841)

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **68** of **69**

April 24, 2023

ESTIMATED NET				
EFFECT ON		Could exceed		Could exceed
LOCAL	Could exceed	<u>(\$385,854,826</u>	Could exceed	<u>(\$448,105,936</u>
POLITICAL	(\$35,020,850 to	<u>to</u>	(\$438,825,727 to	to
SUBDIVSIONS	<u>\$72,976,182)</u>	\$422,630,03 2)	\$482,495,043)	\$493,704,879)

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

Small businesses that pay tax would be impacted by this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This act modifies provisions relating to commerce.

This bill contains an emergency clause for §137.115.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue

Office of Administration - Budget and Planning

State Tax Commission

Department of Social Services

Missouri Department of Transportation

Department of Commerce and Insurance

Department of Public Safety - Highway Patrol

Office of Administration

Office of the State Auditor

Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

Office of the Secretary of State

County Employees' Retirement Fund (CERF)

Newton County Health Department

Howell County Assessor

Lincoln County Assessor

Ste Genevieve County Assessor

Adair County SB40 Board

St Louis City SB40 Board

L.R. No. 1015H.05C Bill No. HCS for SS for SB 23 Page **69** of **69** April 24, 2023

Julie Morff Director

April 24, 2023

Ross Strope Assistant Director April 24, 2023