COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 1037S.01I
Bill No.: SB 130
Subject: Immigration; Political Subdivisions; Crimes and Punishment; Employees -Employers
Type: Original
Date: February 1, 2023

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to illegal aliens.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026		
General Revenue	\$0 to (\$23,748)	\$0 to (\$58,134)	\$0 to (\$79,062)		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on General					
Revenue	\$0 to (\$23,748)	\$0 to (\$58,134)	\$0 to (\$79,062)		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026			
Total Estimated Net						
Effect on Other State						
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0			

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on <u>All</u> Federal					
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026		
Total Estimated Net					
Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

□ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

□ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED FY 2024 FY 2025 FY 20						
Local Government\$0 or Unknown\$0 or Unknown\$0 or Unknown						

L.R. No. 1037S.01I Bill No. SB 130 Page **3** of **7** February 1, 2023

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

<u>§67.307 – Sanctuary cities</u>

Officials from the **St. Joseph Police Department** state there could potentially be a significant fine if anyone within the department/municipality was found to be in violation of this section.

Oversight notes that violations of section 67.307 could result in fines or penalties. Oversight also notes per Article IX Section 7 of the Missouri Constitution fines and penalties collected by counties are distributed to school districts. Fine varies widely from year to year and are distributed to the school district where the violation occurred. Oversight will reflect a positive fiscal impact of \$0 to Unknown to local school districts.

<u>§577.675 – Illegal aliens</u>

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state section 577.675 is modified to include the offense of concealment or inducement of an illegal alien as a class D felony offense.

As these are new crimes, there is little direct data on which to base an estimate, and as such, the department estimates an impact comparable to the creation of a new class D felony.

For each new nonviolent class D felony, the department estimates three people could be sentenced to prison and five to probation. The average sentence for a nonviolent class D felony offense is 5 years, of which 2.8 years will be served in prison with 1.7 years to first release. The remaining 2.2 years will be on parole. Probation sentences will be 3 years.

The cumulative impact on the department is estimated to be 8 additional offenders in prison and 16 additional offenders on field supervision by FY 2026.

				Change in probation	Total cost for probation	# to	Grand Total - Prison and Probation
	# to prison	Cost per year	Total Costs for prison	& parole officers	and parole	probation & parole	(includes 2% inflation)
Year 1	3	(\$9,499)	(\$23,748)	0	\$0	5	(\$23,748)
Year 2	6	(\$9,499)	(\$58,134)	0	\$0	10	(\$58,134)
Year 3	8	(\$9,499)	(\$79,062)	0	\$0	16	(\$79,062)
Year 4	8	(\$9,499)	(\$80,643)	0	\$0	19	(\$80,643)
Year 5	8	(\$9,499)	(\$82,256)	0	\$0	22	(\$82,256)
Year 6	8	(\$9,499)	(\$83,901)	0	\$0	22	(\$83,901)
Year 7	8	(\$9,499)	(\$85,579)	0	\$0	22	(\$85,579)
Year 8	8	(\$9,499)	(\$87,291)	0	\$0	22	(\$87,291)
Year 9	8	(\$9,499)	(\$89,037)	0	\$0	22	(\$89,037)
Year 10	8	(\$9,499)	(\$90,817)	0	\$0	22	(\$90,817)

If this impact statement has changed from statements submitted in previous years, it could be due to an increase/decrease in the number of offenders, a change in the cost per day for institutional offenders, and/or an increase in staff salaries.

If the projected impact of legislation is less than 1,500 offenders added to or subtracted from the department's institutional caseload, the marginal cost of incarceration will be utilized. This cost of incarceration is \$26.024 per day or an annual cost of \$9,499 per offender and includes such costs as medical, food, and operational E&E. However, if the projected impact of legislation is 1,500 or more offenders added or removed to the department's institutional caseload, the full cost of incarceration will be used, which includes fixed costs. This cost is \$87.46 per day or an annual cost of \$31,921 per offender and includes personal services, all institutional E&E, medical and mental health, fringe, and miscellaneous expenses. None of these costs include construction to increase institutional capacity.

DOC's cost of probation or parole is determined by the number of P&P Officer II positions that are needed to cover its caseload. The DOC average district caseload across the state is 51 offender cases per officer. An increase/decrease of 51 cases would result in a cost/cost avoidance equal to the salary, fringe, and equipment and expenses of one P&P Officer II. Increases/decreases smaller than 51 offender cases are assumed to be absorbable.

In instances where the proposed legislation would only affect a specific caseload, such as sex offenders, the DOC will use the average caseload figure for that specific type of offender to calculate cost increases/decreases.

Oversight notes there have been **no** guilty dispositions from Section 577.675 in the past four fiscal years. Oversight does not have any information contrary to that provided by DOC. Therefore, Oversight will range a cost of \$0 to DOC's estimated impact for fiscal note purposes.

L.R. No. 10375.011 Bill No. SB 130 Page **5** of **7** February 1, 2023

Bill as a Whole

Officials from the Office of Administration - Administrative Hearing Commission, the Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development, the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, the Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol, the Missouri Department of Conservation, the Missouri Department of Transportation, the Office of Administration, the Office of the State Courts Administrator, the Office of the State Public Defender, the cities of Kansas City, O'Fallon, and Springfield, the Kansas City Police Department, the St. Louis County Police Department, the Phelps County Sheriff's Department, the University of Missouri, Northwest Missouri State University, the University of Central Missouri, and St. Charles Community College assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

In response to similar legislation from 2022 (SB 1227), officials from the **Missouri Office of Prosecution Services** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for the abovementioned agencies.

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office** did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact for this proposal.

Oversight only reflects the responses received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other cities, counties, colleges and universities, schools, and local law enforcement were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A listing of political subdivisions included in the MOLIS database is available upon request.

FISCAL IMPACT – State Government	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026
	(10 Mo.)		
GENERAL REVENUE			
$\underline{Cost} - DOC ($ §577.675 $) Increased$			
incarceration costs p. 3-4	<u>\$0 to (\$23,748)</u>	<u>\$0 to (\$58,134)</u>	<u>\$0 to (\$79,062)</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON			
THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>\$0 to (\$23,748)</u>	<u>\$0 to (\$58,134)</u>	<u>\$0 to (\$79,062)</u>

SUBDIVISIONS	<u>so or</u> <u>Unknown</u>	<u>so or</u> <u>Unknown</u>	<u>so or</u> <u>Unknown</u>
LOCAL POLITICAL	<u>\$0 or</u>	<u>\$0 or</u>	<u>\$0 or</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON			
Potential fine revenue p. 3	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
<u>Revenue</u> – School districts (§67.307)	<u>\$0 or</u>	<u>\$0 or</u>	<u>\$0 or</u>
SUBDIVISIONS			
LOCAL POLITICAL			
	(10 Mo.)		
FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This act modifies various provisions relating to illegal aliens.

SANCTUARY CITIES (Section 67.307)

The act provides that any municipality that enacts or adopts a sanctuary policy shall be subject to a fine of \$25,500 for each day on which the municipality has such sanctuary policy in effect.

OFFENSE OF TRANSPORTATION, CONCEALMENT, OR INDUCEMENT OF ILLEGAL ALIENS (Section 577.675)

The act expands the offense of transportation of an illegal alien to include the concealment or inducement of an illegal alien. Any person is guilty of such an offense, punished as a class D felony, if he or she knowingly:

 \cdot Conceals, harbors or shields or attempts to conceal, harbor or shield an illegal alien from detection in any place in this state, including any building or any means of transportation, if the person knows or recklessly disregards the fact that the alien has come to, has entered, or remains in the United States in violation of law; or

 \cdot Encourages or induces an alien to come to or reside in this state if the person knows or recklessly disregards the fact that such coming to, entering, or residing in this state is or will be in violation of law.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 1037S.011 Bill No. SB 130 Page **7** of **7** February 1, 2023

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Administration - Administrative Hearing Commission Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development Department of Corrections Department of Labor and Industrial Relations Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol Missouri Department of Conservation Missouri Department of Transportation Missouri Office of Prosecution Services Office of Administration Office of the State Courts Administrator Office of the State Public Defender City of Kansas City City of O'Fallon City of Springfield Kansas City Police Department St. Joseph Police Department St. Louis County Police Department Phelps County Sheriff's Department University of Missouri Northwest Missouri State University University of Central Missouri St. Charles Community College

NOT RESPONDING

Attorney General's Office

nere month

Julie Morff Director February 1, 2023

Ross Strope Assistant Director February 1, 2023