COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 3102S.01I
Bill No.: SB 754
Subject: Law Enforcement Officers and Agencies; Animals; Crimes and Punishment
Type: Original
Date: January 7, 2024

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to law enforcement animals.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND								
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027					
General Revenue	(\$32,297)	(\$79,062)	(\$100,804)					
Total Estimated Net								
Effect on General								
Revenue	(\$32,297)	(\$79,062)	(\$100,804)					

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS									
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027						
Total Estimated Net									
Effect on Other State									
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0						

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS									
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027						
Total Estimated Net									
Effect on <u>All</u> Federal									
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0						

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)								
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027					
Total Estimated Net								
Effect on FTE	0	0	0					

- □ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.
- □ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS								
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027					
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0					

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§575.353 – Offenses against police dogs

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state this proposal intends to create a class A misdemeanor for any injury to a law enforcement animal that does not result in veterinary care; a class E felony for any injury to a law enforcement animal that results in veterinary care; a class D felony for any injury resulting in death of a law enforcement animal. Misdemeanors fall outside the purview of DOC, and there is no expected impact from that portion of the bill.

For each new nonviolent class E felony, the department estimates one person could be sentenced to prison and two to probation. The average sentence for a nonviolent class E felony offense is 3.4 years, of which 2.1 years will be served in prison with 1.4 years to first release. The remaining 1.3 years will be on parole. Probation sentences will be 3 years.

	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029	FY2030	FY2031	FY2032	FY2033	FY2034
New Admissions										
Current Law	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
After Legislation	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Probation										
Current Law	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
After Legislation	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Change (After Legislation	- Current La	w)								
Admissions	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Probations	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Cumulative Populations										
Prison	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Parole			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Probation	2	4	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Impact										
Prison Population	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Field Population	2	4	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Population Change	3	6	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9

Change in prison admissions and probation openings with legislation-Class E Felony (nonviolent)

For each new nonviolent class D felony, the department estimates three people will be sentenced to prison and five to probation. The average sentence for a nonviolent class D felony offense is 5 years, of which 2.8 years will be served in prison with 1.7 years to first release. The remaining 2.2 years will be on parole. Probation sentences will be 3 years.

L.R. No. 3102S.011 Bill No. SB 754 Page **4** of **8** January 7, 2024

	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029	FY2030	FY2031	FY2032	FY2033	FY2034
New Admissions										
Current Law	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
After Legislation	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Probation										
Current Law	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
After Legislation	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Change (After Legislatio	n - Current La	w)								
Admissions	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Probations	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Cumulative Populations										
Prison	3	6	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
Parole			1	4	7	7	7	7	7	7
Probation	5	10	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Impact										
Prison Population	3	6	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
Field Population	5	10	16	19	22	22	22	22	22	22
Population Change	8	16	24	27	30	30	30	30	30	30

Change in prison admissions and probation openings with legislation-Class D Felony (nonviolent)

Combined Cumulative Estimated Impact

The combined cumulative estimated impact on the department is 10 additional offenders in prison and 23 additional offenders on field supervision by FY 2027.

Change in prison admissions and probation openings with legislation

	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029	FY2030	FY2031	FY2032	FY2033	FY2034
New Admissions										
Current Law	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
After Legislation	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Probation										
Current Law	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
After Legislation	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Change (After Legislation	- Current La	w)								
Admissions	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Probations	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Cumulative Populations										
Prison	4	8	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Parole	0	0	2	5	8	8	8	8	8	8
Probation	7	14	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
Impact										
Prison Population	4	8	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Field Population	7	14	23	26	29	29	29	29	29	29
Population Change	11	22	33	36	39	39	39	39	39	39

	# to prison	Cost per year	Total Costs for prison	Change in probation & parole officers	Total cost for probation and parole	# to probation & parole	Grand Total - Prison and Probation (includes 2% inflation)
Year 1	4	(\$9,689)	(\$32,297)	0	\$0	7	(\$32,297)
Year 2	8	(\$9,689)	(\$79,062)	0	\$0	14	(\$79,062)
Year 3	10	(\$9,689)	(\$100,804)	0	\$0	23	(\$100,804)
Year 4	10	(\$9,689)	(\$102,820)	0	\$0	26	(\$102,820)
Year 5	10	(\$9,689)	(\$104,877)	0	\$0	29	(\$104,877)
Year 6	10	(\$9,689)	(\$106,974)	0	\$0	29	(\$106,974)
Year 7	10	(\$9,689)	(\$109,114)	0	\$0	29	(\$109,114)
Year 8	10	(\$9,689)	(\$111,296)	0	\$0	29	(\$111,296)
Year 9	10	(\$9,689)	(\$113,522)	0	\$0	29	(\$113,522)
Year 10	10	(\$9,689)	(\$115,793)	0	\$0	29	(\$115,793)

If this impact statement has changed from statements submitted in previous years, it could be due to an increase/decrease in the number of offenders, a change in the cost per day for institutional offenders, and/or an increase in staff salaries.

If the projected impact of legislation is less than 1,500 offenders added to or subtracted from the department's institutional caseload, the marginal cost of incarceration will be utilized. This cost of incarceration is \$26.545 per day or an annual cost of \$9,689 per offender and includes such costs as medical, food, and operational E&E. However, if the projected impact of legislation is 1,500 or more offenders added or removed to the department's institutional caseload, the full cost of incarceration will be used, which includes fixed costs. This cost is \$99.90 per day or an annual cost of \$36,464 per offender and includes personal services, all institutional E&E, medical and mental health, fringe, and miscellaneous expenses. None of these costs include construction to increase institutional capacity.

DOC's cost of probation or parole is determined by the number of P&P Officer II positions that are needed to cover its caseload. The DOC average district caseload across the state is 51 offender cases per officer. An increase/decrease of 51 cases would result in a cost/cost avoidance equal to the salary, fringe, and equipment and expenses of one P&P Officer II. Increases/decreases smaller than 51 offender cases are assumed to be absorbable.

In instances where the proposed legislation would only affect a specific caseload, such as sex offenders, the DOC will use the average caseload figure for that specific type of offender to calculate cost increases/decreases.

Oversight does not have any information contrary to that provided by DOC. Therefore, Oversight will reflect DOC's impact for fiscal note purposes.

L.R. No. 3102S.011 Bill No. SB 754 Page **6** of **8** January 7, 2024

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assume any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. However, the AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator (OSCA)** state there may be some impact but there is no way to quantify that currently. Any significant changes will be reflected in future budget requests.

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources**, the **Department of Public Safety** – (Capitol Police, Fire Safety and Missouri Highway Patrol), the Missouri Department of Conservation, the Missouri Office of Prosecution Services, the Office of the State Public Defender, the Phelps County Sheriff's Department, the Kansas City Police Department, the St. Louis County Police Department, and the Eureka Fire Protection District – St. Louis each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note.

Oversight only reflects the responses received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other local law enforcement and fire protection districts were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A listing of political subdivisions included in the Missouri Legislative Information System (MOLIS) database is available upon request.

incarceration costs ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON	(\$32,297)	(\$79,062)	(\$100,804)
<u>Cost</u> – DOC (§575.353) Increased	(\$22.207)	(\$70.062)	(\$100.804)
GENERAL REVENUE			
FISCAL IMPACT – State Government	FY 2025 (10 Mo.)	FY 2026	FY 2027

FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027
	(10 Mo.)		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This act creates "Max's Law."

Under current law, the offense of assault on a law enforcement animal is a class C misdemeanor.

This act provides that the offense of assault on a law enforcement animal is a class A misdemeanor, if the law enforcement animal is not injured to the point of requiring veterinary care or treatment; a class E felony if the law enforcement animal is seriously injured to the point of requiring veterinary care or treatment; and a class D felony if the assault results in the death of such animal.

Additionally, exemptions to the offenses of agroterrorism, animal neglect, and animal abuse shall not apply to the killing or injuring of a law enforcement animal while working.

Finally, this act adds that any dog that is owned by or in the service of a law enforcement agency and that bites or injures another animal or human is exempt from the penalties of the offense of animal abuse.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 3102S.011 Bill No. SB 754 Page **8** of **8** January 7, 2024

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Attorney General's Office Department of Corrections Department of Natural Resources Department of Public Safety Missouri Department of Conservation Missouri Office of Prosecution Services Office of the State Courts Administrator Office of the State Public Defender Phelps County Sheriff's Department Kansas City Police Department St. Louis County Police Department Eureka Fire Protection District – St. Louis

vere mouff

Julie Morff Director January 7, 2024

Cum A Da

Ross Strope Assistant Director January 7, 2024