COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.:	3759S.01I
Bill No.:	SB 897
Subject:	Business and Commerce; Children and Minors; Cities, Towns, and Villages; Civil
	Procedure; Corporations; Counties; County Government; Courts; Crimes and
	Punishment; Criminal Procedure; Emergencies; Estates, Wills and Trusts; Fees;
	Guardians; Health, Public; Landlords and Tenants; Liability; Juries; Political
	Subdivisions; Probation and Parole; Property, Real and Personal; Public Records,
	Public Meetings; Saint Louis City; Sexual Offenses; Victims of Crime
Type:	Original
Date:	April 22, 2024

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to judicial proceedings.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027				
General Revenue*	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)				
Total Estimated Net							
Effect on General							
Revenue	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)				

*Oversight assumes a fiscal impact of less than \$250,000

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS								
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027					
State Highway Fund								
(0644)	(\$177,151)	(\$216,833)	(\$221,170)					
Crime Victims'								
Compensation Fund	Unknown, Greater	Unknown, Greater	Unknown, Greater					
(0681)	than \$250,000	than \$250,000	than \$250,000					
Total Estimated Net								
Effect on <u>Other</u> State	Unknown, Greater	Unknown, Greater	Unknown, Greater					
Funds	than \$72,849	than \$33,167	than \$28,830					

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027			
Total Estimated Net						
Effect on <u>All</u> Federal						
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0			

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027				
State Highway Fund							
(0644)	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE				
Total Estimated Net							
Effect on FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE				

□ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

□ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027				
Up to \$42,307 to Up to \$70,602 to Up to \$70,602 to							
Local Government	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)				

L.R. No. 3759S.011 Bill No. SB 897 Page **3** of **15** April 22, 2024

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§67.137 – Eviction Proceeding Moratoriums

In response to similar legislation from this year, Perfected SS for SB 895, officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight notes that the above agency has stated the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their organization. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact on the fiscal note.

§347.143 - Court Ordered Dissolutions of LLC's

In response to similar legislation from 2023, CCS/HCS/SS/SCS/SB 72, officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note.

§§435.300, 435.303, 435.306, 435.309 & 435.312 – Alternative Dispute Resolution

Officials from the **Missouri Highway Patrol (MHP)** assume this proposal authorizes an alternative dispute resolution program, similar to federal court, and creates a Uniform Depositions and Discovery Act. With this proposed legislation the MHP anticipates an increased workload related to foreign subpoenas and/or discovery requests. There may also be increased litigation costs associated with non-party subpoena and discovery responses. These increased costs would likely cause a direct impact on the MHP because the Missouri Attorney General's Office does not normally represent the MHP in such cases. Many of these non-party legal matters would likely involve some of the over 30,000 motor vehicle crashes the MHP investigates each year. As a result of the expected workload increase, the MHP forecasts the need to add one (1) FTE Legal Counsel.

Oversight does not have information to the contrary and therefore, Oversight will reflect the estimates as provided by the MHP.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator (OSCA)** state there may be some impact but there is no way to quantify that currently. Any significant changes will be reflected in future budget requests.

§§455.010, 455.035 & 455.513 - Orders of Protection

In response to similar legislation from 2023, CCS/HCS/SS/SCS/SB 72, officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note.

Officials from the **Clay County Auditor's Office** assume a cost of \$5,000 per year due to the increase in age for appointing a Guardian ad Litem from 17 to 18.

<u>§§456.1-108, 474.540, 474.542, 474.544, 474.546, 474.548, 474.550, 474.552, 474.554, 474.556, 474.558, 474.560, 474.562, 474.564, 474.600 – Electronic Estate Planning Documents</u>

In response to similar legislation from 2023, CCS/HCS/SS/SCS/SB 72, officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note.

Oversight notes that according to <u>https://trustandwill.com/learn/e-will</u>, electronic wills are only accepted in a few states currently. Some states have updated their statutes to allow e-wills. Electronic wills are now legal in Nevada, Florida, Indiana, and Arizona. Utah and Colorado have also recently adopted the Uniform Electronic Wills Act, which is a model law created by the Uniform Laws Commission. In other instances, some state courts have accepted e-wills on a case-by-case basis. COVID-19 also caused some courts to temporarily allow remote witnessing as an emergency measure.

<u>§§475.010, 475.045, 475.063 & 488.2300 – Guardianships/Conservatorships & the Family</u> Services and Justice Fund

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator (OSCA)** state there may be some impact but there is no way to quantify that currently. Any significant changes will be reflected in future budget requests.

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning** assume §475.063.3 includes a prohibition against charging certain petitioners fees or court costs under certain circumstances, potentially impacting TSR. §488.2300 provides that the section does not bar the general assembly from appropriating funds for transfer to count Family Services and Justice Fund. Presumably, such appropriations might be made from GR in the absence of other funding.

Oversight notes §475.063 specifies what assistance a court clerk must provide or make available for a petitioner filing for emergency or full orders regarding a minor entering adult guardianship or conservatorship. The duties of the court clerk will be performed without cost to the petitioner.

L.R. No. 3759S.011 Bill No. SB 897 Page **5** of **15** April 22, 2024

No filing fees, court costs, or bond will be assessed to the petitioner as well. The clerk may be reimbursed from the Family Services and Justice Fund for expenses incurred under this section.

Oversight notes §488.2300 allows fees incurred for guardianship or conservatorship proceedings by court-appointed attorneys, physicians, or other professionals, as well as fees incurred by court clerks providing assistance, to be given priority for payment from the "Family Services and Justice Fund". This section also doesn't prohibit the appropriation of funds by the general assembly to the various county family services and justice funds of the family courts of the counties.

<u>§475.050 – Appointment of a Guardian or Conservator</u>

In response to similar legislation from 2023, CCS/HCS/SS/SCS/SB 72, officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note.

<u>§476.413 – Disclosure of Third Party Legal Funding</u>

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning** assume the reporting requirements may result in additional costs for the courts, which the courts may wish to estimate.

Oversight assumes no impact from this section of the proposal.

§487.110 - Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator (OSCA)** state there may be some impact but there is no way to quantify that currently. Any significant changes will be reflected in future budget requests.

<u>§§488.040 & 494.455 – Compensation of Jurors</u>

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator (OSCA)** assume this proposal states that in any county, or city not within a county, upon adoption by majority vote of the governing body, no grand or petit juror shall receive compensation for the first two days of service but shall receive fifty dollars per day for the third day and each subsequent day he or she may serve. These funds are to be paid by the county. It is unknown how many counties will participate and the increase may result in an unknown cost or savings to the state or county. Section 494.455 also ties the juror mileage rate to the mileage rate as provided by law for state employees (rather than seven cents per mile).

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning** assume §488.040 & §494.455 may impose additional costs on the counties and the state for the compensation of jurors.

NM:LR:OD

L.R. No. 3759S.011 Bill No. SB 897 Page **6** of **15** April 22, 2024

Oversight notes this section states the court of a judicial circuit may, by a majority vote, vote to restructure juror compensation so that grand and petit jurors do not get paid for the first two days of service but thereafter will receive \$50 per day, as well as mileage reimbursement at the rate provided by law for state employees for necessary travel from the juror's residence to the courthouse and back, to be paid by the county. Using information from the 2018 – 2022 Annual Supplemental Reports for Jury Trial Information (Table 57) from OSCA, there were 5 circuit who had averaged 3 or more days of service. These circuits were Platte (6), Clay (7), Jackson (16), Cole (19) and St. Louis County (21). Oversight notes current statute already requires the Greene County Circuit (31) to restructure juror compensation for this proposal.

Oversight notes according to information from the 2018 – 2022 Annual Supplemental Reports for Jury Trial Information (Table 57) from OSCA, there was an average of 897 days and 235 cases where jurors were in session for both civil and criminal cases for these 5 circuits. On average between these circuits, 3.8 days (897/235) were spent for each case. Using the chart below, Oversight assumes if the court votes to adopt this restructure of juror compensation within these 5 circuits, there could be an additional cost of up to \$191,904 each year. If the rest of the circuits were to adopt this restructuring plan, then there could also be a savings of up to \$92,736 each year. This would be the minimum payout and does not include mileage reimbursement to jurors, since Oversight does not have that information available. Therefore, Oversight will reflect an unknown cost to pay jurors that could exceed \$99,168 (\$191,904 – 92,736) annually.

Officials from the **Clay County Auditor's Office** assume a cost of \$1,000 per year for the increase in mileage reimbursement for jurors.

<u>§488.426 – St. Louis City Circuit Court Civil Case Filing Fee</u>

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning** assume this section appears to alter the scope of circuits to which certain surcharge authorizations apply, potentially impacting TSR.

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator (OSCA)** assume this proposal allows the circuit court in St. Louis City to collect a fee not to exceed twenty dollars, rather than fifteen, to go toward the law library. During the past five years there was an average of 12,933 circuit civil case filings, 5,399 domestic relations civil case filings and 15,361 associate civil and small claims civil case filings, a total of 33,693. Based upon the increase in the collection fee not to exceed \$20.00, rather than \$15.00, to go toward the library, OSCA estimates the increase to be \$0 to \$168,465 (\$5 x 33,693).

L.R. No. 3759S.01I Bill No. SB 897 Page **7** of **15** April 22, 2024

Oversight notes using information on the City of St. Louis from OSCA's Judicial Report Supplement for FY12 thru FY22, Oversight projects the follow:

Circuit Civil	10,668
Domestic Relations	6,488
Associate Civil and Small Claims	<u>16,798</u>
Civil Circuit Total	33,954

Oversight estimates the increase to be \$169,770 (\$5 * 33,954).

Oversight assumes the provisions of this section will not create a material fiscal impact to local political subdivisions other than St. Louis City Circuit Court.

§§491.075, 492.304– Judicial Proceedings

In response to similar legislation from this year, HB 1706, officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator (OSCA)** stated there may be some impact but there is no way to quantify that currently. Any significant changes will be reflected in future budget requests.

<u>§§510.500, 510.503, 510.506, 510.509, 510.512, 510.515, 510.518, 510.521 – Uniform Interstate</u> Depositions and Discovery Act

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator (OSCA)** state there may be some impact but there is no way to quantify that currently. Any significant changes will be reflected in future budget requests.

§534.157 – Rental Properties Transfers of Titles

Oversight assumes this section will have no fiscal impact.

<u>§537.529 – Uniform Public Expression Protection Act</u>

In response to similar legislation from 2022, HB 2624, officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note.

§§566.151 and 567.030 - Criminal offenses involving a child

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state this proposal modifies provisions relating to judicial proceedings. Section 566.151 changes the age of the victim from any person who is less than fifteen to less than seventeen years of age. Section 567.030 changes the age of the victim from less than eighteen years of age but older than fourteen to older than fifteen years of age. The bill changes the existing class D felony to a class B felony.

L.R. No. 3759S.011 Bill No. SB 897 Page **8** of **15** April 22, 2024

Regarding section 566.151, the increase in the minimum age under which a person can be considered enticed as a child could create additional instances in which a person could be charged with a crime under this section. However, there is no available data to determine the number of 16 and 17 year olds to whom this could have potentially applied. <u>Therefore, the impact is an unknown cost.</u>

Regarding section 567.030, there were three new court commitments to prison and five new probation cases under this section during FY 2023. These offenses would be changed from class D felonies to class B felonies. The average sentence length for a class D felony sex and child abuse offense is 6.6 years, with 5.3 years spent in prison. Changing this to a class B felony would extend the sentence length to 9.0 years, with 7.2 years spent in prison.

The estimated cumulative impact on the DOC would be 0 additional offenders in prison and 0 additional offenders on field supervision by FY 2027.

	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029	FY2030	FY2031	FY2032	FY2033	FY2034
New Admissions										
Current Law	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
After Legislation	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
Probation										
Current Law	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
After Legislation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Change (After Legislation	n - Current La	w)								
Admissions										
Probations										
Cumulative Populations										
Prison						6	14	15	15	15
Parole						-6	-10	-4	4	4
Probation										
Impact										
Prison Population						6	14	15	15	15
Field Population						-6	-10	-4	4	4
Population Change							4	11	19	19

Change in prison admissions and probation openings with legislation-Class B Felony

	# to prison	Cost per year	Total Costs for prison	Change in probation & parole officers	Total cost for probation and parole	# to probation & parole	Grand Total - Prison and Probation (includes 2% inflation)
Year 1	0	(\$9,689)	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0
Year 2	0	(\$9,689)	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0
Year 3	0	(\$9,689)	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0
Year 4	0	(\$9,689)	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0
Year 5	0	(\$9,689)	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0
Year 6	6	(\$9,689)	(\$64,185)	0	\$0	-6	(\$64,185)

Year 7	14	(\$9,689) (\$152,7	759) 0	\$0	-10	(\$152,759)
Year 8	15	(\$9,689) (\$166,9	944) 0	\$0	-4	(\$166,944)
Year 9	15	(\$9,689) (\$170,2	283) 0	\$0	4	(\$170,283)
Year 10	15	(\$9,689) (\$173,6	589) 0	\$0	4	(\$173,689)

* If this impact statement has changed from statements submitted in previous years, it could be due to an increase/decrease in the number of offenders, a change in the cost per day for institutional offenders, and/or an increase in staff salaries.

If the projected impact of legislation is less than 1,500 offenders added to or subtracted from the department's institutional caseload, the marginal cost of incarceration will be utilized. This cost of incarceration is \$26.545 per day or an annual cost of \$9,689 per offender and includes such costs as medical, food, and operational E&E. However, if the projected impact of legislation is 1,500 or more offenders added or removed to the department's institutional caseload, the full cost of incarceration will be used, which includes fixed costs. This cost is \$99.90 per day or an annual cost of \$36,464 per offender and includes personal services, all institutional E&E, medical and mental health, fringe, and miscellaneous expenses. None of these costs include construction to increase institutional capacity.

DOC's cost of probation or parole is determined by the number of P&P Officer II positions that are needed to cover its caseload. The DOC average district caseload across the state is 51 offender cases per officer. An increase/decrease of 51 cases would result in a cost/cost avoidance equal to the salary, fringe, and equipment and expenses of one P&P Officer II. Increases/decreases smaller than 51 offender cases are assumed to be absorbable.

In instances where the proposed legislation would only affect a specific caseload, such as sex offenders, the DOC will use the average caseload figure for that specific type of offender to calculate cost increases/decreases.

Oversight notes, from information provided by the State Courts Administrator, the following number of felony convictions under §566.151 and §567.030:

	<u>FY 2020</u>	<u>FY 2021</u>	<u>FY 2022</u>	<u>FY 2023</u>
§566.151 felonies	19	25	22	23
§567.030 felonies	0	2	3	2

Oversight notes the felony convictions under §566.151 are a class F felony. Oversight will reflect DOC's impact as an unknown impact to the General Revenue Fund. Oversight notes it would take roughly 26 additional prisoners to reach the \$250,000 cost threshold. Oversight will assume a fiscal impact of less than \$250,000

L.R. No. 3759S.011 Bill No. SB 897 Page **10** of **15** April 22, 2024

§595.045 - Crime Victims' Compensation Fund

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Office of the Director (DPS)** state in CY 2022, there were 10,822 class E felony convictions. This data was pulled using charge level felony E with a charge disposition of Guilty Plea, Guilty Plea Written, Tried by Court- Guilty, Jury Verdict - Guilty, Alford Plea and a Charge Disposition Date within CY2022. It does not include juvenile cases.

DPS assumes this will bring in an estimated 500,000 ($46 \times 10,822 = 497,812$) into the Crime Victims' Compensation Fund.

Oversight notes the provisions of this section state the court shall enter a judgment payable to the Crime Victims' Compensation Fund of \$46 for a class E felony. Oversight also notes, from information provided by the Office of the State Courts Administrator, the following number of E felony convictions from FY 2019 through FY 2022:

<u>FY 2019</u>	<u>FY 2020</u>	<u>FY 2021</u>	<u>FY 2022</u>
8,677	7,545	8,407	10,575

The average number of E felonies over this four-year period is 8,801 (8,677 + 7,545 + 8,407 + 10,575). However, as the exact number of E felony convictions could vary substantially from year to year, **Oversight will reflect an Unknown, greater than \$250,000 to the Crime Victims' Compensation Fund.** Oversight notes the ending balance in the Crime Victims' Compensation Fund as of January 31, 2024, is \$2,080,937.

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning** assume §595.045.8 expands the application of a current court cost surcharge that generates revenues for the state's Crime Victim Compensation Fund, potentially impacting TSR.

Responses regarding the proposed legislation as a whole

Officials from the Attorney General's Office, the Office of Administration - Administrative Hearing Commission, the Department of Commerce and Insurance, the Department of Economic Development, the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, the Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development, the Department of Health and Senior Services, the Department of Mental Health, the Department of Natural Resources, the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, the Department of Revenue, the Department of Public Safety (Division of Alcohol and Tobacco Control, Capitol Police, Fire Safety, Office of the Director, Missouri Gaming Commission, Missouri Veterans Commission, State Emergency Management Agency), the Department of Social Services, the Office of the Governor, the Missouri Department of Agriculture, the Missouri Department of Conservation, the Missouri Ethics Commission, the Missouri Department of Transportation, the Missouri National Guard, the MoDOT & Patrol Employees' Retirement System, the Office of Administration, the Petroleum Storage Tank Insurance L.R. No. 3759S.01I Bill No. SB 897 Page **11** of **15** April 22, 2024

Fund, the Office of the State Public Defender, the Office of the State Treasurer, the University of Missouri System, Kansas City, the City of O'Fallon, the Jackson County Election Board, the Platte County Board of Elections, the St. Louis City Board of Elections, the St. Louis County Board of Elections, Newton County Health Department, the Lincoln County Assessor's Office, the Christian County Auditor's Office, the Phelps County Sheriff's Office, the Branson Police Department, the Kansas City Police Department, the St. Louis County Police Department, the County Employees Retirement Fund, the Kansas City Civilian Police Employees' Retirement, the Kansas City Employees' Retirement System, the Kansas City Firefighter's Pension System, the Kansas City Police Retirement System, the Kansas City Public School Retirement System, the Kansas City Supplemental Retirement Plan, the Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District Employees Pension Plan, the Public Education Employees' Retirement System, the Sheriff's Retirement System, the Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District, the Pulaski County Sewer District #1, the South River Drainage District, the St. Charles County PWSD #2, the Wayne County PWSD #2, Missouri State University, Northwest Missouri State University, the University of Central Missouri, the Office of the State Auditor, the Missouri House of Representatives, the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules, the Joint Committee on Education, the Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement, Legislative Research, the Oversight Division, the Missouri Senate, the Missouri Lottery Commission, the Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan, the Missouri Higher Education Loan Authority, the Missouri Office of **Prosecution Services**, the **Missouri State Employee's Retirement System** and the **State Tax** Commission each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** note many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with its core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

THE CRIME VICTIMS' COMPENSATION FUND	<u>Greater than</u> <u>\$250,000</u>	<u>Greater than</u> <u>\$250,000</u>	<u>Greater than</u> <u>\$250,000</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON	<u>Unknown,</u>	<u>Unknown,</u>	<u>Unknown,</u>
felony fee (\$46 per) p. 10	<u>\$250,000</u>	<u>\$250,000</u>	<u>\$250,000</u>
<u>Revenue</u> – DPS (§595.045) Class E	Greater than	Greater than	Greater than
	<u>Unknown,</u>	<u>Unknown,</u>	<u>Unknown,</u>
CRIME VICTIMS' COMPENSATION FUND (0681)			
Estimated Net FTE Change on General Revenue	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE HIGHWAY FUNDS (0644)	<u>(\$177,151)</u>	<u>(\$216,833)</u>	<u>(\$221,170)</u>
FTE Change	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE
Total Costs -	(\$177,151)	(\$216,833)	(\$221,170)
Expense & Equipment	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Fringe Benefits	(\$82,771)	(\$101,312)	(\$103,338)
Personnel Service	(\$94,380)	(\$115,521)	(\$117,832)
<u>Costs</u> – MHP §§435.300, 435.303, 435.306, 435.309 & 435.312 p. 3			
STATE HIGHWAY FUND (0644)			
GENERAL REVENUE	(Unknown)	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON			
<u>Cost</u> – DOC (§§566.151 and 567.030) Increased incarceration costs p. 8	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
GENERAL REVENUE			
	(10 Mo.)		
FISCAL IMPACT – State Government	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027

FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027
	(10 Mo.)		
LOCAL POLITICAL			
SUBDIVISIONS			
<u>Revenue</u> – St. Louis City Circuit - on			
filing fees to go towards law library			
§488.426 – from \$15 to \$20 – to			
beyond p. 7	Up to \$141,475	Up to \$169,770	Up to \$169,770
<u>Cost</u> – potential increase compensation	(Unknown,	(Unknown,	(Unknown,
in certain circuits for jurors §494.455	could exceed	could exceed	could exceed
p. 6	\$99,168)	\$99,168)	\$99,168)
<u>Costs</u> – counties - potential increase in			
costs for Guardians Ad Litem §455.010	\$0 or	\$0 or	\$0 or
p. 4	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
Costs – counties – additional costs from			
the counties' Family Services and			
Justice Fund §§475.063 & 488.2300	\$0 or	\$0 or	\$0 or
p. 4-5	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
	<u>(</u>)	<u>((</u>	<u>((11)</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON			
LOCAL POLITICAL	<u>Up to \$42,307</u>	<u>Up to \$70,602</u>	<u>Up to \$70,602</u>
SUBDIVISIONS	<u>to (Unknown)</u>	<u>to (Unknown)</u>	<u>to (Unknown)</u>
	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small rental businesses could be impacted as a result of this proposal.

There could be a direct fiscal impact to small businesses who sell software for electronic wills and estate planning as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This act modifies provisions relating to judicial proceedings.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

L.R. No. 3759S.011 Bill No. SB 897 Page **14** of **15** April 22, 2024

Office of the State Courts Administrator Department of Corrections Clay County Auditor's Office Attorney General's Office Office of Administration Administrative Hearing Commission Office of the Commissioner **Budget and Planning** Department of Commerce and Insurance Department of Economic Development Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development Department of Health and Senior Services Department of Mental Health Department of Natural Resources Department of Labor and Industrial Relations Department of Revenue Department of Public Safety Department of Social Services Office of the Governor Missouri Department of Agriculture Missouri Department of Conservation Missouri Ethics Commission Missouri Department of Transportation Missouri National Guard MoDOT & Patrol Employees' Retirement System Petroleum Storage Tank Insurance Fund Office of the State Public Defender Office of the State Treasurer University of Missouri System Kansas City City of O'Fallon Jackson County Election Board Platte County Board of Elections St. Louis City Board of Elections St. Louis County Board of Elections Newton County Health Department Lincoln County Assessor's Office Christian County Auditor's Office Phelps County Sheriff's Office **Branson Police Department** Kansas City Police Department St. Louis County Police Department **County Employees Retirement Fund**

L.R. No. 3759S.01I Bill No. SB 897 Page **15** of **15** April 22, 2024

Kansas City Civilian Police Employees' Retirement Kansas City Employees' Retirement System Kansas City Firefighter's Pension System Kansas City Police Retirement System Kansas City Public School Retirement System Kansas City Supplemental Retirement Plan Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District Employees Pension Plan Public Education Employees' Retirement System Sheriff's Retirement System Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District Pulaski County Sewer District #1 South River Drainage District St. Charles County PWSD #2 Wayne County PWSD #2 Missouri State University Northwest Missouri State University University of Central Missouri Office of the State Auditor Missouri House of Representatives Joint Committee on Administrative Rules Joint Committee on Education Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement Legislative Research **Oversight Division** Missouri Senate Missouri Lottery Commission Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan Missouri Higher Education Loan Authority Missouri Office of Prosecution Services Missouri State Employee's Retirement System State Tax Commission

Julie Moi

Julie Morff Director April 22, 2024

Cim A Da

Ross Strope Assistant Director April 22, 2024