COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0251S.03C
Bill No.: SCS for SB 82
Subject: Environmental Protection; Department of Natural Resources; Lakes, Rivers and Waterways; Attorney General; Boards, Commissions, Committees, and Councils
Type: Original
Date: February 20, 2025

Bill Summary: This proposal creates provisions relating to water resources.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	
General Revenue*	(\$797,025)	(\$313,713)	(\$318,941)	
Total Estimated Net				
Effect on General				
Revenue	(\$797,025)	(\$313,713)	(\$318,941)	

*Oversight notes that the effect on General Revenue is due to the 3 FTE requested by the Department of Natural Resources for water exportation permits. In addition, costs for per diem and milage reimbursement of House and Senate Members for the Water Resource Commission.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	
Total Estimated Net				
Effect on <u>Other</u> State				
Funds				

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

L.R. No. 0251S.03C Bill No. SCS for SB 82 Page **2** of **9** February 20, 2025

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	
Total Estimated Net				
Effect on <u>All</u> Federal				
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	
General Revenue	3 FTE	3 FTE	3 FTE	
Total Estimated Net				
Effect on FTE	3 FTE	3 FTE	3 FTE	

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

□ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§§640.406 and 640.408 - Water Preservation

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** assume the following regarding this proposal:

Sections 640.406.3, 640.406.4, 640.406.5, 640.406.6, 640.406.9, 640.406.11, and 640.406.12 This legislation proposes to create a permitting program for water exportation under the authority of a newly created water resources commission. To estimate the fiscal impact of the proposal the department has assumed that 10 new permits would be issued each year through the process outlined in the proposal.

The permitting technical work in preparation of a recommendation from the department to the commission would be conducted by the Water Resources Center (WRC) in the Missouri Geological Survey (MGS). Existing WRC managers will supervise these permitting, technical, administrative and data management activities.

The WRC program would require 1.0 FTE to conduct the permitting technical work, which includes conducting analysis of current and future uses to be impacted, drafting conditions and provisions where necessary to prohibit impact to current and future uses as applicable, drafting the recommended decision, and drafting the statement of basis for the decision. Additional duties would also include technical work associated with any major water user export permit reevaluation request filed with the department.

Further, to implement the legislation by informing permitting decisions, reporting quarterly to the commission, tracking water reporting of annual withdrawal from exempt water exporters, and evaluate the state's water resources beneficial uses; a database/permit submission/major water user re-evaluation request tracking system will need to be built and maintained by the state. This would include initial development costs of around \$500,000 and an MGS-WRC data manager/technical expert at 1.0 FTE to track and manage data related to all facets of water use in MO and to evaluate necessary export permit decisions because of a drought emergency. This data management work would consolidate and display existing water data usage information from other sources such as major water users and public drinking water as well as create new data categories for other beneficial uses.

This will facilitate better understanding and analysis of the data to be used during the initial permitting and 3-year renewal process as well as quarterly reports to the commission. The processing of the permitting decision administratively includes public notice of draft permits, entering data, processing letters, and facilitating approvals of department management.

L.R. No. 0251S.03C Bill No. SCS for SB 82 Page **4** of **9** February 20, 2025

Notification of reporting requirements to exempt exporters and permitting notifications to the county commission are additional administrative duties to be conducted. Additional administrative work required by this proposed legislation would include commission admin support, meeting scheduling, travel logistics, expense processing for commissioners, and other administrative duties. The WRC would require 1.0 FTE to complete the administrative duties for this proposed legislation.

DNR notes the following:

1. The public cost estimates are in current year dollars.

2. Projection Assumptions:

• To estimate the fiscal impact of the proposal the department has assumed that 10 new permits would be issued each year through the process outlined in the proposal.

- FY 26 reflected as earliest potential effective date of rule
- Amount realistically reflects planned expenditures (ie, entry-level salary range):

• 2 FTE at \$57,768 annually reflects salary for Environmental Program Assistant/Analyst role.

• 1 FTE at \$52,680 annually reflects salary for Admin role.

• Salary adjusted to reflect 10 months (*10/12) in accordance with an effective date of August 28.

• When calculating salaries, an inflationary factor of 1.0% is applied to the 2nd and 3rd fiscal years.

3. Rulemaking has the potential to result in additional economic impacts that are unknown at this time.

4. Anticipated duties of the Environmental Program Analyst include: developing and reviewing administrative rules; improving permit processing efficiency, timely issuance of permits, and maintaining the accuracy and quality of permit related data in state and federal systems used to track permitting actions, generate reports, and monitor state and federal performance metrics and goals; coordinate and participate in public meetings, including present information to and gather feedback from stakeholders, permittees, and the general public on matters relating to water exportation permits and applicable state regulations; coordinate with internal units, sections, programs, and regional offices of the Department as well as external private, state, and federal agencies

Upon further inquiry, the **Department of Natural Resources - Missouri Geological Survey** (MGS) notes that this version of the proposal creates the Water Resources Commission §640.408 to approve the permitting though the MGS and the Department of Environmental Quality is not involved.

L.R. No. 0251S.03C Bill No. SCS for SB 82 Page **5** of **9** February 20, 2025

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources - Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ)** state that there is no fiscal impact for the Water Protection Program as the proposed legislation now references the Missouri Water Resources Commission

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect the estimated impact by DNR in the fiscal note.

Officials from the **Missouri Senate (SEN)** anticipates a negative fiscal impact to reimburse 2 Senators for travel and per diem to attend Missouri Water Resources commission meetings. In summary, it will cost approximately \$2,475.40 per year if the commission meets quarterly.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect the estimated impact by the Senate in the fiscal note.

Officials from the **Missouri House of Representatives (MHR)** assume this proposal creates the Water Resource Commission which appoints 2 of their members and who are entitled to mileage and per diem. MHR estimates a total impact of \$2,527.80 of General Revenue for the members per diem and mileage for serving on the Water Resource Commission.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect the estimated impact by the MHR in the fiscal note.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Conservation**, the **Missouri Department of Agriculture**, and the **Office of the Governor** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

In response to similar legislation, SB 29 (2025), officials from the **Office of Attorney General** (AGO) assumed any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. However, the AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the South River Drainage District, Wayne County Public Water Supply District #2, Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District, Morgan County Public Water Supply District #2, and the City of O'Fallon each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies. L.R. No. 0251S.03C Bill No. SCS for SB 82 Page **6** of **9** February 20, 2025

Officials from the **City of Kansas City** assume the legislation has no fiscal impact as long as the city is not required to build any infrastructure to export water. The city recommends that any infrastructure costs to export water should be the responsibility of the permit holder. The city also has an emergency inter-connect agreement with BPU in Kansas where the city can supply them with water, and vice versa, in times of extreme shortage. The city is still concerned that the bill would require BPU, or any Kansas entity that may wish to export water from the city in emergency cases, to have to apply for a permit.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **St. Charles County Public Water Supply District #2** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight only reflects the responses received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other water supply districts and local political subdivisions were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A listing of political subdivisions included in the Missouri Legislative Information System (MOLIS) database is available upon request.

FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
(10 Mo.)		
(\$140,180)	(\$171,580)	(\$175,012)
(\$95,664)	(\$116,145)	(\$117,521)
(\$56,178)	(\$20,985)	(\$21,405)
<u>(\$292,022)</u>	<u>(\$308,710)</u>	<u>(\$313,938)</u>
3 FTE	3 FTE	3 FTE
(\$500,000)	\$0	\$0
(\$2,528)	(\$2,528)	(\$2,528)
(0.0.475)	(\$2,475)	(\$2,475)
(\$2,475)	(\$2,475)	(\$2,475)
(\$707.025)	(\$313 713)	(\$318.041)
<u>[\$77,043]</u>	<u>[\$313,/13]</u>	<u>(\$318,941)</u>
3 FTE	3 FTE	3 FTE
	(10 Mo.) (\$140,180) (\$95,664) (\$56,178) (\$292,022)	(10 Mo.) (\$140,180) (\$171,580) (\$95,664) (\$116,145) (\$292,022) (\$308,710) 3 FTE 3 FTE (\$500,000) \$0 (\$2,528) (\$2,528) (\$2,528) (\$2,528) (\$2,475) (\$2,475) (\$2,475) (\$313,713)

FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
	(10 Mo.)		
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The act creates provisions relating to water resources.

Under the act, it shall be unlawful for any person to export water resources outside the state unless the person holds a water exportation permit issued by the Department of Natural Resources, subject to certain exemptions as described in the act.

The Director of the Department shall review each water exportation permit application and all supporting documents to ensure the required conditions have been met prior to accepting a water exportation application for public comment and review by the Missouri Water Resources Commission. The required conditions are described in the act.

Within 120 days after receipt of a complete application, the Director shall determine whether the applicant complied with the conditions under the act. After making such a determination, the Director shall hold a 30-day public comment period regarding the determination. Within 60 days after the comment period, the Director shall recommend approval or denial of the permit. The recommendation and public comments shall be submitted to the Commission. The Commission shall make the final decision as to the approval or denial of the permit as described in the act.

To renew a water exportation permit, an applicant shall file a renewal application with the Department as described in the act. The process for reviewing the renewal application is described in the act.

In the absence of an appeal, the decision of the Commission shall be final.

A water exportation permit shall be in effect for three years after the date of issuance. The permit holder shall annually report the water use volumes and withdrawal rates to the Department.

The water exportation permit application shall include all water exportation requested by the applicant. The water exportation permit may be approved by the Director or the Commission. An applicant may include multiple water withdrawals for export from various locations within one water exportation permit application.

L.R. No. 0251S.03C Bill No. SCS for SB 82 Page **8** of **9** February 20, 2025

A water exportation permit application shall include a designee or agent in the state for service of process and to receive other notices.

A major water user may request the Department to reevaluate any existing water exportation permit using the criteria under the act. The Department shall create a mechanism for a major water user to submit a request for reevaluation of the permit as described in the act.

The act shall not preclude a person from bringing any claim to defend the person's water rights. A permit shall not serve as a defense to any claim brought against a water permit holder for the infringement of water rights.

If the Attorney General receives a complaint for violations of the act, or at the request of the Department, the Attorney General shall bring a civil action. Suit may be brought in any county where the defendant's principal place of business is located or where the withdrawal of water occurred in violation of the act.

Whenever a state of emergency is declared by the Governor in any part of the state based on drought conditions, the Department shall reevaluate any existing water exportation permit as described in the act.

The act creates the "Missouri Water Resources Commission". Membership requirements, compensation, and duties of the Commission are described in the act.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Natural Resources Missouri Department of Agriculture Missouri Department of Conservation Office of the Governor Missouri House of Representatives Missouri Senate Attorney General's Office City of Kansas City Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District L.R. No. 0251S.03C Bill No. SCS for SB 82 Page **9** of **9** February 20, 2025

South River Drainage District St. Charles County Public Water Supply District #2 Wayne County Public Water Supply District #2 Morgan County Public Water Supply District #2

rere Mo 00 ()

Julie Morff Director February 20, 2025

Jessica Harris Assistant Director February 20, 2025