# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 0423S.01I Bill No.: SB 289

Subject: Civil Procedure; Civil Procedure; Emergencies; Estates, Wills and Trusts;

Liability; Notary Public; Uniform Laws

Type: Original

Date: February 24, 2025

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to estate planning, including

notice for principal place of administration transfers, statutes of limitations for actions against trustees, electronic wills, and estate planning during the

Covid-19 emergency.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028		
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>					
Effect on General					
Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028		
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>					
Effect on Other State					
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

L.R. No. 0423S.01I Bill No. SB 289 Page **2** of **4** February 24, 2025

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028		
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>					
Effect on All Federal					
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028		
<b>Total Estimated Net</b>					
Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any
of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

☐ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of
the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED FY 2026 FY 2027 FY 20						
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0			

L.R. No. 0423S.01I Bill No. SB 289 Page **3** of **4** February 24, 2025

#### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **ASSUMPTION**

§§456.1-108, 456.10-1005, 474.540, 474.542, 474.544, 474.546, 474.548, 474.550, 474.552, 474.554, 474.556, 474.558, 474.560, 474.562, 474.564 & 474.600 – Estate Planning

Officials from the Office of the State Courts Administrator, the Department of Commerce and Insurance, the Department of Economic Development, the Department of Health and Senior Services, the Department of Mental Health, the Department of Social Services and the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assume any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. However, the AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation.

**Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** note many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$5,000. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with its core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Based on a brief review, **Oversight** notes that electronic wills are only accepted in a few states currently. Some states have updated their statutes to allow e-wills. Electronic wills are now legal in Nevada, Florida, Indiana, and Arizona. Utah and Colorado have also recently adopted the Uniform Electronic Wills Act, which is a model law created by the Uniform Laws Commission. In other instances, some state courts have accepted e-wills on a case-by-case basis. COVID-19 also caused some courts to temporarily allow remote witnessing as an emergency measure.

L.R. No. 0423S.01I Bill No. SB 289 Page **4** of **4** February 24, 2025

FISCAL IMPACT – State Government	FY 2026 (10 Mo.)	FY 2027	FY 2028
	(10 1010.)		
	<u>\$0</u>	\$0	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government	FY 2026 (10 Mo.)	FY 2027	FY 2028
	,		
	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

There could be a direct fiscal impact to small businesses who sell software for electronic wills and estate planning as a result of this proposal.

### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of the State Courts Administrator
Department of Commerce and Insurance
Department of Economic Development
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Mental Health
Department of Social Services
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Attorney General's Office
Office of the Secretary of State

Julie Morff Director

February 24, 2025

Jessica Harris Assistant Director February 24, 2025