# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 1011S.01I
Bill No.: SB 60
Subject: Drugs and Controlled Substances; Crimes and Punishment; Courts; Prisons and Jails; Probation and Parole; Children and Minors
Type: Original
Date: January 20, 2025

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies the offense of endangering the welfare of a child in the first degree.

# FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTI	ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND									
FUND	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully						
AFFECTED				Implemented						
				(FY 2034)						
General Revenue	(\$8,737)	(\$21,389)	(\$32,726)	(\$3,280,577)						
Total Estimated										
Net Effect on										
General										
Revenue	(\$8,737)	(\$21,389)	(\$32,726)	(\$3,280,577)						

E	ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS									
FUND	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully						
AFFECTED				Implemented						
				(FY 2034)						
Total Estimated										
Net Effect on										
Other State										
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0						

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

	ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS										
FUND	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully							
AFFECTED				Implemented							
				(FY 2034)							
<b>Total Estimated</b>											
Net Effect on											
<u>All</u> Federal											
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0							

ESTIM	ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)										
FUND	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully							
AFFECTED				Implemented							
				(FY 2034)							
General Revenue	0 FTE	0 FTE	0 FTE	(5) FTE							
<b>Total Estimated</b>											
Net Effect on											
FTE	0 FTE	0 FTE	0 FTE	(5) FTE							

- Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.
- □ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

	ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS										
FUND	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully							
AFFECTED				Implemented							
				(FY 2034)							
Local											
Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0							

# FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### ASSUMPTION

### §§556.061 and 568.045 – Endangering the welfare of a child

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state this proposal modifies the offense of endangering the welfare of a child in the first degree.

The bill adds "endangering the welfare of a child in the first degree" to the list of sentences defined as dangerous felonies in section 556.061. This introduces the requirement that any new court commitment or probation revocation to prison on a sentence under section 568.045 will serve at least 85% of the term of those sentences in prison prior to release.

There were 101 new court commitments and 54 probation revocations to prison under section 568.045 in FY 2024. The average length of the sentence cycles for these offenders (after taking into account designations of concurrent and consecutive terms) was 11.1 years, with the expected average time to first release from prison being 4.6 years under current legislation and 7.3 years under the proposed legislation. The cumulative estimated impact is an additional 295 people in prison and 295 fewer people on community supervision by FY 2034.

#### Change in prison admissions and probation openings with legislation

	FY2026	FY 2027	FY2028	FY2029	FY 2030	FY 2031	FY2032	FY2033	FY 2034	FY 2035
New Admissions										
Current Law	155	155	155	155	155	155	155	155	155	155
After Legislation	155	155	155	155	155	155	155	155	155	155
Probation										
Current Law	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
After Legislation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Change (After Legislation	- Current Law	<i>ı</i> )								
Admissions										
Probations										
Cumulative Populations										
Prison							47	202	295	295
Parole							-47	-202	-295	-295
Probation										
Impact										
Prison Population							47	202	295	295
Field Population							-47	-202	-295	-295
Population Change										

The bill adds language in section 568.045 specific to the involvement of fentanyl or carfentanil in an offense of endangering the welfare of a child in the first degree. The penalty for this offense is considered a new class B felony without the possibility of probation and a minimum prison term requirement of 85% of the sentence.

Given the seriousness of class B felony offenses and that the introduction of a completely new class B felony offense is a rare event, the department assumes the admission of one person per year to prison following the passage of the legislative proposal.

### L.R. No. 1011S.01I Bill No. SB 60 Page 4 of 8 January 20, 2025

Offenders committed to prison with a class B felony as their most serious sentence, have an average sentence length of 9.0 years.

	FY2026	FY 2027	FY2028	FY2029	FY 2030	FY2031	FY2032	FY2033	FY 2034	FY 2035
New Admissions										
Current Law	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
After Legislation	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Probation										
Current Law	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
After Legislation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Change (After Legislatio	on - Current La	w)								
Admissions	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Probations										
<b>Cumulative Population</b>	5									
Prison	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	8	8
Parole									1	1
Probation										
Impact										
Prison Population	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	8	8
Field Population									1	1
Population Change	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	9

#### Change in prison admissions and probation openings with legislation-Class B Felony

# Combined Cumulative Estimated Impact

The combined cumulative estimated impact on the department is 303 additional offenders in prison and 294 fewer offenders on field supervision by FY 2034.

	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029	FY2030	FY2031	FY2032	FY2033	FY2034	FY2035
New Admissions										
Current Law	155	155	155	155	155	155	155	155	155	155
After Legislation	156	156	156	156	156	156	156	156	156	156
Probation										
Current Law	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
After Legislation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Change (After Legislation	- Current La	w)								
Admissions	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Probations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative Populations										
Prison	1	2	3	4	5	6	54	210	303	303
Parole	0	0	0	0	0	0	-47	-202	-294	-294
Probation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Impact										
Prison Population	1	2	3	4	5	6	54	210	303	303
Field Population	0	0	0	0	0	0	-47	-202	-294	-294
Population Change	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	9

#### Change in prison admissions and probation openings with legislation

	# to prison	Cost per year	Total Costs for <b>prison</b>	U	Total savings for <b>probation</b> and parole		Grand Total - Prison and Probation (includes 2% inflation)
Year 1	1	(\$10,485)	(\$8,737)	0	\$0	0	(\$8,737)
Year 2	2	(\$10,485)	(\$21,389)	0	<b>\$</b> 0	0	(\$21,389)
Year 3	3	(\$10,485)	(\$32,726)	0	<b>\$</b> 0	0	(\$32,726)
Year 4	4	(\$10,485)	(\$44,507)	0	<b>\$</b> 0	0	(\$44,507)
Year 5	5	(\$10,485)	(\$56,746)	0	<b>\$</b> 0	0	(\$56,746)
Year 6	6	(\$10,485)	(\$69,458)	0	<b>\$</b> 0	0	(\$69,458)
Year 7	54	(\$10,485)	(\$637,621)	0	\$0	(47)	(\$637,621)
Year 8	210	(\$10,485)	(\$2,529,231)	(3)	\$303,488	(202)	(\$2,225,743)
Year 9	303	(\$10,485)	(\$3,722,306)	(5)	\$441,729	(294)	(\$3,280,577)
Year 10	303	(\$10,485)	(\$3,796,752)	(5)	\$466,785	(294)	(\$3,329,967)

If this impact statement has changed from statements submitted in previous years, it could be due to an increase/decrease in the number of offenders, a change in the cost per day for institutional offenders, and/or an increase in staff salaries.

If the projected impact of legislation is less than 1,500 offenders added to or subtracted from the department's institutional caseload, the marginal cost of incarceration will be utilized. This cost of incarceration is \$28.73 per day or an annual cost of \$10,485 per offender and includes such costs as medical, food, and operational E&E. However, if the projected impact of legislation is 1,500 or more offenders added or removed to the department's institutional caseload, the full cost of incarceration will be used, which includes fixed costs. This cost is \$100.25 per day or an annual cost of \$36,591 per offender and includes personal services, all institutional E&E, medical and mental health, fringe, and miscellaneous expenses. None of these costs include construction to increase institutional capacity.

DOC's cost of probation or parole is determined by the number of P&P Officer II positions that are needed to cover its caseload. The DOC average district caseload across the state is 51 offender cases per officer. An increase/decrease of 51 cases would result in a cost/cost avoidance equal to the salary, fringe, and equipment and expenses of one P&P Officer II. Increases/decreases smaller than 51 offender cases are assumed to be absorbable.

In instances where the proposed legislation would only affect a specific caseload, such as sex offenders, the DOC will use the average caseload figure for that specific type of offender to calculate cost increases/decreases.

**Oversight** does not have any information contrary to that provided by DOC. Therefore, Oversight will reflect DOC's impact for fiscal note purposes.

L.R. No. 1011S.011 Bill No. SB 60 Page **6** of **8** January 20, 2025

In response to similar legislation from 2024 (SB 1368), officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assumed any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. However, the AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation.

**Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the Department of Public Safety – Missouri Highway Patrol, the Department of Social Services, the Missouri Office of Prosecution Services, the Office of the State Courts Administrator, the Office of the State Public Defender, the Phelps County Sheriff's Department, the Kansas City Police Department, and the St. Louis County Police Department each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

**Oversight** only reflects the responses received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other local law enforcement were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A listing of political subdivisions included in the Missouri Legislative Information System (MOLIS) database is available upon request.

FISCAL IMPACT	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully
<u>– State</u>	(10 Mo.)			Implemented
<u>Government</u>				(FY 2034)
GENERAL				
REVENUE				
Savings DOC				
$\underline{Savings} - DOC$				
(§§556.061 and				
568.045)				<b>*•••••••••••••</b>
Personal Service	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$253,575
Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$187,135
Exp. & Equip.	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$1,019</u>
Total Savings -				
DOC	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$441,729</u>
FTE Change -				
DOC	0 FTE	0 FTE	0 FTE	(5) FTE
$\frac{\text{Cost}}{(8.6555)} - \text{DOC}$				
(§§556.061 and				
568.045) Increased				
incarceration costs	<u>(\$8,737)</u>	(\$21,389)	(\$32,726)	(\$3,722,306)
ESTIMATED				
NET EFFECT				
ON GENERAL				
REVENUE	(\$8,737)	(\$21,389)	(\$32,726)	(\$3,280,577)
NE VENUE	<u>[[[]]]</u>	<u>[\$21,307]</u>	<u>[\$32,720]</u>	<u>[\$3,200,377]</u>
Estimated Net				
FTE Change on				
General Revenue	0 FTE	0 FTE	0 FTE	(5) FTE

FISCAL IMPACT	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully
<u>– Local</u>	(10 Mo.)			Implemented
Government				(FY 2034)
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 1011S.011 Bill No. SB 60 Page **8** of **8** January 20, 2025

### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

ENDANGERING THE WELFARE OF A CHILD (Section 556.061 and 568.045) Under current law, a person commits the offense of endangering the welfare of a child in the first degree if he or she unlawfully manufactures or possesses amphetamine, methamphetamine, or any of their analogues. This act adds fentanyl and carfentanil.

Additionally, this act provides that if a person is found guilty of the offense of endangering the welfare of a child in the first degree involving fentanyl or carfentanil then the person shall serve a term of imprisonment of not less than five years and not more than ten years. Such person shall not receive a suspended imposition or execution of sentence from the court and shall not pay a fine in lieu of a term of imprisonment. Finally, such person shall not be eligible for conditional release or parole until he or she has served at least five years of imprisonment.

This act also adds the offense of endangering the welfare of a child in the first degree to the definition of "dangerous felony."

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Attorney General's Office Department of Corrections Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol Department of Social Services Missouri Office of Prosecution Services Office of the State Courts Administrator Office of the State Public Defender Phelps County Sheriff's Department Kansas City Police Department St. Louis County Police Department

prece mouth

Julie Morff Director January 20, 2025

Jessica Harris Assistant Director January 20, 2025