COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 1011S.05P

Bill No.: Perfected SS for SCS for SB 60

Subject: Drugs and Controlled Substances; Crimes and Punishment; Probation and Parole;

Children and Minors

Type: Original

Date: February 18, 2025

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies the offense of endangering the welfare of a child in

the first degree.

FISCAL SUMMARY

EST	ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND							
FUND	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully				
AFFECTED				Implemented				
				(FY 2031)				
General Revenue	(\$8,737)	(\$21,389)	(\$32,726)	(\$69,458)				
Total Estimated								
Net Effect on								
General								
Revenue	(\$8,737)	(\$21,389)	(\$32,726)	(\$69,458)				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS							
FUND	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully			
AFFECTED				Implemented			
				(FY 2031)			
Total Estimated							
Net Effect on							
Other State							
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

L.R. No. 1011S.05P Bill No. Perfected SS for SCS for SB 60 Page **2** of **7**

February 18, 2025

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS									
FUND	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully					
AFFECTED				Implemented					
				(FY 2031)					
Total Estimated									
Net Effect on									
All Federal									
Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)							
FUND	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully			
AFFECTED				Implemented			
				(FY 2031)			
Total Estimated							
Net Effect on							
FTE	0	0	0	\$0			

	Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any
	of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.
Ш	Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of
	the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS								
FUND	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully				
AFFECTED				Implemented				
				(FY 2031)				
Local								
Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				

L.R. No. 1011S.05P Bill No. Perfected SS for SCS for SB 60 Page **3** of **7** February 18, 2025

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§568.045 – Endangering the welfare of a child

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state this proposal modifies the offense of endangering the welfare of a child in the first degree.

The bill adds language in section 568.045 specific to the involvement of fentanyl or carfentanil in an offense of endangering the welfare of a child in the first degree. The penalty for this offense is considered as a new class B felony without the possibility of conditional release or parole until serving at least five years of imprisonment.

Given the seriousness of class B felony offenses and that the introduction of a completely new class B felony offense is a rare event, the department assumes the admission of one person per year to prison following the passage of the legislative proposal.

Offenders committed to prison with a class B felony as their most serious sentence, have an average sentence length of 9.0 years and serve, on average, 5 years in prison prior to first release. The department assumes one third of the remaining sentence length could be served in prison as a parole return, and the rest of the sentence could be served on supervision in the community.

The cumulative impact on the department is estimated to be 6 additional offenders in prison and 0 additional offenders on field supervision by FY 2031.

Change in prison admissions and probation openings with legislation-Class B Felony

	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029	FY2030	FY2031	FY2032	FY2033	FY2034	FY2035
New Admissions										
Current Law	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
After Legislation	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Probation										
Current Law	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
After Legislation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Change (After Legislation	- Current La	w)								
Admissions	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Probations										
Cumulative Populations										
Prison	1	2	3	4	5	6	6	6	6	6
Parole							1	2	3	3
Probation										
Impact										
Prison Population	1	2	3	4	5	6	6	6	6	6
Field Population							1	2	3	3
Population Change	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	9

L.R. No. 1011S.05P Bill No. Perfected SS for SCS for SB 60

Page **4** of **7** February 18, 2025

	# to prison	Cost per year	Total Costs for prison	Change in probation & parole officers	Total cost for probation and parole	# to probation & parole	Grand Total - Prison and Probation (includes 2% inflation)
Year 1	1	(\$10,485)	(\$8,737)	0	\$0	0	(\$8,737)
Year 2	2	(\$10,485)	(\$21,389)	0	\$0	0	(\$21,389)
Year 3	3	(\$10,485)	(\$32,726)	0	\$0	0	(\$32,726)
Year 4	4	(\$10,485)	(\$44,507)	0	\$0	0	(\$44,507)
Year 5	5	(\$10,485)	(\$56,746)	0	\$0	0	(\$56,746)
Year 6	6	(\$10,485)	(\$69,458)	0	\$0	0	(\$69,458)
Year 7	6	(\$10,485)	(\$70,847)	0	\$0	1	(\$70,847)
Year 8	6	(\$10,485)	(\$72,264)	0	\$0	2	(\$72,264)
Year 9	6	(\$10,485)	(\$73,709)	0	\$0	3	(\$73,709)
Year 10	6	(\$10,485)	(\$75,183)	0	\$0	3	(\$75,183)

If this impact statement has changed from statements submitted in previous years, it could be due to an increase/decrease in the number of offenders, a change in the cost per day for institutional offenders, and/or an increase in staff salaries.

If the projected impact of legislation is less than 1,500 offenders added to or subtracted from the department's institutional caseload, the marginal cost of incarceration will be utilized. This cost of incarceration is \$28.73 per day or an annual cost of \$10,485 per offender and includes such costs as medical, food, and operational E&E. However, if the projected impact of legislation is 1,500 or more offenders added or removed to the department's institutional caseload, the full cost of incarceration will be used, which includes fixed costs. This cost is \$100.25 per day or an annual cost of \$36,591 per offender and includes personal services, all institutional E&E, medical and mental health, fringe, and miscellaneous expenses. None of these costs include construction to increase institutional capacity.

DOC's cost of probation or parole is determined by the number of P&P Officer II positions that are needed to cover its caseload. The DOC average district caseload across the state is 51 offender cases per officer. An increase/decrease of 51 cases would result in a cost/cost avoidance equal to the salary, fringe, and equipment and expenses of one P&P Officer II. Increases/decreases smaller than 51 offender cases are assumed to be absorbable.

In instances where the proposed legislation would only affect a specific caseload, such as sex offenders, the DOC will use the average caseload figure for that specific type of offender to calculate cost increases/decreases.

Oversight does not have any information contrary to that provided by DOC. Therefore, Oversight will reflect DOC's impact for fiscal note purposes.

L.R. No. 1011S.05P Bill No. Perfected SS for SCS for SB 60 Page **5** of **7** February 18, 2025

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender (SPD)** state per the National Public Defense Workload Study, the new charge contemplated by this change to Section 568.045, creating a class A felony which could result in life imprisonment, would take approximately ninety-nine hours of SPD work for reasonably effective representation. If one hundred cases were filed under this section in a fiscal year, representation would result in a need for an additional four to five attorneys. Because the number of cases that will be filed under this statute is unknown, the exact additional number of attorneys necessary is unknown. Each case would also result in unknown increased costs in the need for core staff, travel, and litigation expenses.

Oversight assumes this proposal will not create the number of new cases required to request additional FTE for the SPD and that the SPD can absorb the additional caseload required by this proposal with current staff and resources. Therefore, Oversight will reflect no fiscal impact to the SPD for fiscal note purposes. However, if multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties, the SPD may request funding through the appropriation process.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assume any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation costs.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol**, the **Phelps County Sheriff's Department**, the **Kansas City Police Department**, and the **St. Louis County Police Department** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Department of Social Services**, the **Missouri Office of Prosecution Services**, the **Office of the State Courts Administrator**, and the **Branson Police Department** each assumed the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight only reflects the responses received from state agencies and political subdivisions; however, other local law enforcement were requested to respond to this proposed legislation but did not. A listing of political subdivisions included in the Missouri Legislative Information System (MOLIS) database is available upon request.

L.R. No. 1011S.05P

Bill No. Perfected SS for SCS for SB 60

Page **6** of **7**

February 18, 2025

FISCAL IMPACT	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully
- State	(10 Mo.)			Implemented
Government	, , ,			(FY 2031)
GENERAL				
REVENUE				
$\underline{\text{Cost}} - \text{DOC}$				
(§568.045)				
Increased				
incarceration costs	<u>(\$8,737)</u>	<u>(\$21,389)</u>	<u>(\$32,726)</u>	(\$69,458)
ESTIMATED				
NET EFFECT				
ON GENERAL				
REVENUE	<u>(\$8,737)</u>	<u>(\$21,389)</u>	<u>(\$32,726)</u>	<u>(\$69,458)</u>

FISCAL IMPACT	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	Fully
<u>– Local</u>	(10 Mo.)			Implemented
Government				(FY 2031)
	\$0	<u>\$0</u>	\$0	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

ENDANGERING THE WELFARE OF A CHILD (Section 568.045)

Under current law, a person commits the offense of endangering the welfare of a child in the first degree if he or she unlawfully manufactures or possesses amphetamine, methamphetamine, or any of their analogues. This act adds fentanyl and carfentanil.

Additionally, this act provides that the offense of endangering the welfare of a child in the first degree if it involves fentanyl or carfentanil is a class B felony. A person guilty of such offense shall not be eligible for conditional release or parole until he or she has served at least five years of imprisonment.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 1011S.05P Bill No. Perfected SS for SCS for SB 60 Page **7** of **7** February 18, 2025

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Attorney General's Office
Department of Corrections
Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol
Department of Social Services
Missouri Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Office of the State Public Defender
Phelps County Sheriff's Department
Branson Police Department
Kansas City Police Department
St. Louis County Police Department

Julie Morff Director

February 18, 2025

Jessica Harris Assistant Director February 18, 2025