

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 5447S.03P
Bill No.: Perfected SS for SB 937
Subject: Property, Real and Personal; Governor and Lt. Governor; Easements and Conveyances
Type: Original
Date: February 4, 2026

Bill Summary: This proposal conveys certain state property.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
General Revenue*	Unknown or (Unknown)	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	Unknown or (Unknown)	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown

* Oversight assumes a fiscal impact of less than \$250,000.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- ☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect (savings or increased revenues) expected to exceed \$250,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act or at full implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS

FUND AFFECTED	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
Local Government	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§1 – Property in Cass County (City of Harrisonville)

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Facilities Management, Design and Construction (FMDC)** state that the FMDC does not make public appraisal values for property that may be sold or conveyed because public knowledge of such information may hinder the State's ability to gain the best value for the property. Additionally, since the terms of conveyances are yet to be determined, the fiscal impact, if any, cannot be calculated. Therefore, the fiscal impact is \$0 to unknown.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assumed that any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation costs.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Transportation** and **Office of the Governor** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight notes the land size to be conveyed is indeterminate.

§2 – Property in Adair County (City of Kirksville)

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Facilities Management, Design and Construction (FMDC)** state that the FMDC does not make public appraisal values for property that may be sold or conveyed because public knowledge of such information may hinder the State's ability to gain the best value for the property. Additionally, since the terms of conveyances are yet to be determined, the fiscal impact, if any, cannot be calculated. Therefore, the fiscal impact is \$0 to unknown.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assumed that any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation costs.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Transportation** and **Office of the Governor** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight notes the land to be conveyed is approximately 8.57 acres.

§3 – Property in Greene County (City of Springfield)

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Facilities Management, Design and Construction (FMDC)** state that the FMDC does not make public appraisal values for property that may be sold or conveyed because public knowledge of such information may hinder the State's ability to gain the best value for the property. Additionally, since the terms of conveyances are yet to be determined, the fiscal impact, if any, cannot be calculated. Therefore, the fiscal impact is \$0 to unknown.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assumed that any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation costs.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Transportation** and **Office of the Governor** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight notes the land to be conveyed appears to be immaterial in size.

§4 – Property in Greene County (City of Springfield)

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Facilities Management, Design and Construction (FMDC)** state that the FMDC does not make public appraisal values for property that may be sold or conveyed because public knowledge of such information may hinder the State's ability to gain the best value for the property. Additionally, since the terms of conveyances are yet to be determined, the fiscal impact, if any, cannot be calculated. Therefore, the fiscal impact is \$0 to unknown.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assumed that any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation costs.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Transportation** and **Office of the Governor** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight notes the land to be conveyed is approximately 0.45 acres.

§5 – Property in Jefferson County (City of Festus)

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Facilities Management, Design and Construction (FMDC)** state that the FMDC does not make public appraisal values for property that may be sold or conveyed because public knowledge of such information may hinder the State's ability to gain the best value for the property. Additionally, since the terms of conveyances are yet to be determined, the fiscal impact, if any, cannot be calculated. Therefore, the fiscal impact is \$0 to unknown.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assumed that any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation costs.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Transportation** and **Office of the Governor** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight notes the land size to be conveyed is indeterminate.

§6 – Property in Ripley County (City of Doniphan)

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Facilities Management, Design and Construction (FMDC)** state that the FMDC does not make public appraisal values for property that may be sold or conveyed because public knowledge of such information may hinder the State's ability to gain the best value for the property. Additionally, since the terms of conveyances are yet to be determined, the fiscal impact, if any, cannot be calculated. Therefore, the fiscal impact is \$0 to unknown.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assumed that any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation costs.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Transportation** and **Office of the Governor** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight notes the land to be conveyed is a lot within block number three in the City of Doniphan.

§7 – Property in Lafayette County (City of Higginsville)

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Facilities Management, Design and Construction (FMDC)** state that the FMDC does not make public appraisal values for property that may be sold or conveyed because public knowledge of such information may hinder the State's ability to gain the best value for the property. Additionally, since the terms of conveyances are yet to be determined, the fiscal impact, if any, cannot be calculated. Therefore, the fiscal impact is \$0 to unknown.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assumed that any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation costs.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Transportation** and **Office of the Governor** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight notes the land size to be conveyed is indeterminate.

§8 – Property in Cole County (City of Jefferson)

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Facilities Management, Design and Construction (FMDC)** state that the FMDC does not make public appraisal values for property that may be sold or conveyed because public knowledge of such information may hinder the State's ability to gain the best value for the property. Additionally, since the terms of conveyances are yet to be determined, the fiscal impact, if any, cannot be calculated. Therefore, the fiscal impact is \$0 to unknown.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assumed that any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation costs.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Transportation** and **Office of the Governor** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight notes the land size to be conveyed is indeterminate.

§9 - Property in Washington County (City of Richwoods)

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Facilities Management, Design and Construction (FMDC)** state that the FMDC does not make public appraisal values for property that may be sold or conveyed because public knowledge of such information may hinder the State's ability to gain the best value for the property. Additionally, since the terms of conveyances are yet to be determined, the fiscal impact, if any, cannot be calculated. Therefore, the fiscal impact is \$0 to unknown.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assumed that any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek

additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation costs.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Transportation** and **Office of the Governor** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight notes the land size to be conveyed is indeterminate.

§10 – Property in Jackson County

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Facilities Management, Design and Construction (FMDC)** state that the FMDC does not make public appraisal values for property that may be sold or conveyed because public knowledge of such information may hinder the State's ability to gain the best value for the property. Additionally, since the terms of conveyances are yet to be determined, the fiscal impact, if any, cannot be calculated. Therefore, the fiscal impact is \$0 to unknown.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assumed that any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation costs.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Transportation** and **Office of the Governor** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight notes the land to be conveyed is approximately 8.315 acres.

§11 - Property in Marion County (City of Hannibal)

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assumed that any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek

additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation costs.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Office of Administration - Facilities Management, Design and Construction (FMDC)** stated that the FMDC does not make public appraisal values for property that may be sold or conveyed because public knowledge of such information may hinder the State's ability to gain the best value for the property. Additionally, since the terms of conveyances are determined, the fiscal impact is \$1.

Oversight notes the proposal allows for consideration of \$1 or less; therefore, Oversight will not reflect a fiscal impact.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Transportation** and **Office of the Governor** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight notes the land consists of approximately 109.15 acres to be conveyed permanently to the State Highways and Transportation Commission.

§12 - Property in Marion County (City of Hannibal)

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assumed that any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation costs.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

In response to a previous version, officials from the **Office of Administration - Facilities Management, Design and Construction (FMDC)** stated that the FMDC does not make public appraisal values for property that may be sold or conveyed because public knowledge of such information may hinder the State's ability to gain the best value for the property. Additionally, since the terms of conveyances are determined, the fiscal impact is \$1.

Oversight notes the proposal allows for consideration of \$1 or less; therefore, Oversight will not reflect a fiscal impact.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Transportation** and **Office of the Governor** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight notes the land consists of approximately 3.29 acres to be conveyed permanently to the State Highways and Transportation Commission.

§13 – Property in Cole County (City of Jefferson)

In response to similar legislation, HCS HB 2108 (2026), officials from the **Office of Administration (OA)** stated that based on the plan proposed by the City of Jefferson, there are several impacts to consider.

- The State of Missouri will permanently lose an estimated 345 parking spaces. Parking spaces are at a premium at the Harry S Truman Building. To replace these 345 spaces using lots owned by the City of Jefferson at \$50/month, the cost would be an estimated \$207,000 annually, if available at all.
- The loss of valuable property owned by the State should also be taken into consideration.
- Temporary construction activity associated with this project will produce additional parking space losses, construction detours and reduce access routes to state office buildings and the state capitol.
- FMDC would like the opportunity to design and offer design modifications to ensure the project takes into consideration the circulation of the state workforce that uses the parking area.

Based on these items, the impact is \$207,000 to unknown.

For fiscal note purposes, **Oversight** assumes the cost to acquire additional parking spots would be an indirect cost that would occur following the conveyance of the specified property. (Oversight will show the loss of the value of the property, the proceeds from the conveyance and any savings for no longer maintaining the property.)

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assumed any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation costs.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Transportation** and **Office of the Governor** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Oversight notes that the land size to be conveyed is indeterminate.

§14 – Property in Nodaway County

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Facilities Management, Design and Construction (FMDC)** state that the FMDC does not make public appraisal values for property that may be sold or conveyed because public knowledge of such information may hinder the State's ability to gain the best value for the property. Additionally, since the terms of conveyances are yet to be determined, the fiscal impact, if any, cannot be calculated. Therefore, the fiscal impact is \$0 to unknown.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** assume any potential litigation costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources. The AGO may seek additional appropriations if the proposal results in a significant increase in litigation or investigation costs.

Oversight does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight assumes the AGO will be able to perform any additional duties required by this proposal with current staff and resources and will reflect no fiscal impact to the AGO for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Transportation** and **Office of the Governor** each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations. **Oversight** does not have any information to the contrary. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a zero impact in the fiscal note for these agencies.

Bill as Whole

Oversight will reflect the transaction of the conveyance of state property contained in §§1-14 as follows:

- 1) a loss of the value of the state property;
- 2) the proceeds (if any) of the sale/conveyance; and
- 3) the annual savings (if any) to the state no longer maintaining the property.
- 4) a cost to local/political subdivisions for annual upkeep/maintenance of the property

Oversight will assume a fiscal impact of less than \$250,000.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – State Government</u>	FY 2027 (10 Mo.)	FY 2028	FY 2029
GENERAL REVENUE			
<u>Sale Proceeds*</u> – Conveyance proceeds of the property (if any) p.9	\$0 or Unknown	\$0	\$0
<u>Property Value*</u> – Loss of Fair Market Value of the property p.9	(Unknown)	\$0	\$0
<u>Savings*</u> – For annual maintenance/upkeep of property – no longer a state property/interest p.9	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO GENERAL REVENUE	Unknown or (Unknown)	\$0 or Unknown	\$0 or Unknown

*Oversight noted that this impact is for all sections of this proposal (p.3-12)

<u>FISCAL IMPACT – Local Government</u>	FY 2027 (10 Mo.)	FY 2028	FY 2029
LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS			
<u>Cost</u> – For annual maintenance/upkeep of property – no longer a state property/interest p.9	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)

*Oversight noted that this impact is for all sections of this proposal (p.3-12)

FISCAL IMPACT – Small Business

No direct fiscal impact on small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The act authorizes the conveyance of state property located in:

- the City of Harrisonville, Cass County (Section 1);
- the City of Kirksville, Adair County (Section 2);

- the City of Springfield, Greene County (Sections 3, 4);
- the City of Festus, Jefferson County (Section 5);
- the City of Doniphan, Ripley County (Section 6);
- the City of Higginsville, Lafayette County (Section 7);
- the City of Jefferson, Cole County (Section 8);
- the City of Richwoods, Washington County (Section 9);
- Jackson County (Section 10)

The act further authorizes the conveyance of state property located in the County of Marion to the State Highways and Transportation Commission. (Sections 11, 12)

The act further authorizes the conveyance of state property located in Cole County to the City of Jefferson. (Section 13)

Finally, the act authorizes the conveyance of state property located in Nodaway County. (Section 14)

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Attorney General's Office
Office of the Governor
Missouri Department of Transportation
Office of Administration-Facilities Management, Design and Construction

Julie Morff
Director



Jessica Harris
Assistant Director
February 4, 2026