# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. NO.</u>: 3009-01 <u>BILL NO.</u>: SB 679

**SUBJECT**: St. Louis City Circuit Clerk

TYPE: Original

<u>DATE</u>: January 24, 2000

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003				
None							
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003				
None							
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003			
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0			

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

L.R. NO. 3009-01 BILL NO. SB 679 PAGE 2 OF 3 January 24, 2000

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator (CTS)** assume the proposed legislation would give the 22<sup>nd</sup> Judicial Circuit of Missouri (City of St. Louis) the authority to control designated positions (court room clerks, docket and calendar control clerks, data processing and personnel staff) by local court rule. Overall, CTS assumes the proposal would have no appreciable impact on the state or county budget of the courts.

Officials from the **Office of the Attorney General** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agency.

Officials from the 22<sup>nd</sup> Judicial Circuit of Missouri – Office of the Circuit Clerk (City of St. Louis) assume the proposal would not have a direct fiscal impact on their budget; however, they assume their office would be required to employ additional persons to perform work that is currently performed by the employees who would be transferred to the control of the judges. The City of St. Louis Circuit Clerk is statutorily responsible for keeping records and their office enters nearly 400,000 pleadings into the computer record annually. The St. Louis City Circuit Clerk assumes that he would be unable to fulfill the duties required by his office, as he would be unable to require that the necessary work be completed by employees who are no longer under his authority. Specifically, the St. Louis City Circuit Clerk assumes he would be required to employ fifteen additional Circuit Clerk III's at an annual cost of at least \$315,900 (\$21,060 minimum starting salary times 15 positions); at least two new personnel employees at an annual salary cost of at least \$57,000; at least three new docketing clerks at an annual salary cost of at least \$93,000; and an unknown number of data processing clerks at an annual salary cost of at least \$120,000. Overall, the St. Louis City Circuit Clerk assumes the proposal would result in an annual cost of at least \$585,900, which does not include payroll costs, fringe benefits, and future salary increases.

**Oversight** assumes the proposal would not directly result in increased costs for the  $22^{nd}$  Judicial Circuit of Missouri, as the proposal would simply transfer the authority to control certain employees from the circuit clerk to the judges. Oversight assumes that the judicial personnel in the  $22^{nd}$  Judicial Circuit would ensure that all current tasks are completed with available resources.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government FY 2001 FY 2002 FY 2003 (6 Mo.)

MJC:LR:OD:005 (9-94)

L.R. NO. 3009-01 BILL NO. SB 679 PAGE 3 OF 3 January 24, 2000

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2001 (6 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003
	\$0	\$0	\$0
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2001 (6 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003
	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### **DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation would only affect the St. Louis City Circuit Court. The proposal would transfer control over deputy circuit clerks, docket clerks, data processing personnel, and personnel officers from the circuit clerk to the court. Removal and conditions of employment would remain subject to Supreme Court Rule 7. The transfer would become effective on January 1, 2001.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator Office of the Attorney General 22<sup>nd</sup> Judicial Circuit of Missouri

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA

Director

January 24, 2000