

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. NO.: 4463-01
BILL NO.: SB 1002
SUBJECT: Courts; Revision Bills
TYPE: Original
DATE: March 1, 2000

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator, Sheriff Retirement System, Office of the State Treasurer, Office of Administration, Department of Transportation, Department of Health, Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, Department of Insurance, Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, Office of the State Auditor, Department of Agriculture, Department of Mental Health, Department of Conservation, and State Tax Commission** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

In a response to similar proposed legislation, officials from the **Office of the Attorney General, Department of Revenue, State Public Defender, Office of Prosecution Services, Department of Social Services, Office of Administration - Budget and Planning, Department of Natural Resources, Department of Public Safety, Office of the Secretary of State, Department of Economic Development, and Gaming Commission** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003
	\$0	\$0	\$0
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003
	\$0	\$0	\$0
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business</u>			

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation recodifies various sections governing court costs, fees, charges, and surcharges into one section of the revised statutes. This revision was mandated by Senate Bill 869 of the Second Regular Session of the 88th General Assembly, 1996.


This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. NO. 4463-01
BILL NO. SB 1002
PAGE 3 OF 3
March 1, 2000

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the State Courts Administrator
Sheriff Retirement System
Office of the State Treasurer
Office of Administration
Department of Transportation
Department of Health
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Department of Insurance
Department of Labor and Industrial Relations
Office of the State Auditor
Department of Agriculture
Department of Mental Health
Department of Conservation
State Tax Commission
Office of the Attorney General
Department of Revenue
State Public Defender
Office of Prosecution Services
Department of Social Services
Office of Administration - Budget and Planning
Department of Natural Resources
Department of Public Safety
Office of the Secretary of State
Department of Economic Development
Gaming Commission

NOT RESPONDING: Coordinating Board of Higher Education, Department of Corrections, Missouri Lottery Commission, St. Louis County Circuit Clerk, St. Louis City Circuit Clerk, Kansas City Circuit Clerk, Jackson County Circuit Clerk, Cole County Circuit Clerk, Boone County Circuit Clerk, Greene County Circuit Clerk, Marion County Circuit Clerk, St. Louis County Prosecuting Attorney, St. Louis City Prosecuting Attorney, Kansas City Prosecuting Attorney, Jackson County Prosecuting Attorney, Cole County Prosecuting Attorney, Boone County Prosecuting Attorney, Greene County Prosecuting Attorney, and Marion County Prosecuting Attorney



Jeanne Jarrett, CPA
Director
March 1, 2000

L.R. NO. 4463-01
BILL NO. SB 1002
PAGE 4 OF 3
March 1, 2000