

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 0834-08  
Bill No.: SCS for SB 226  
Subject: Boards, Commissions, Committees, Councils; Health, Public; Health Department  
Type: Updated#  
Date: March 6, 2001  
**#Updated to include funding for life sciences grants.**

---

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
General Revenue#	\$0 to (\$45,800,000)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)
Life Sciences Research	\$0 to (\$369,543)	\$0 to (\$422,560)	\$0 to (\$423,778)
Healthy Families Trust#**	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds***</b>	<b>\$0 TO (\$46,169,543)</b>	<b>\$0 TO (MORE THAN \$422,560)</b>	<b>\$0 TO (MORE THAN \$423,778)</b>

\*Does not include auditing costs.

\*\*Transfers and expenditures of \$45.8 million annually would net to \$0.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
None			
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of State Treasurer** assume this proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

**Department of Health (DOH)** officials state as a result of this proposal the department would need one Public Health Manager (\$51,888) to provide direction and leadership to the program and to the Board, one Management Analyst II (\$43,308) would provide expertise in the development of grants and contracts for bidding and awarding the funds, and one Clerk IV (\$26,460) would provide support to the Public Health Manager and the Management Analyst II. DOH states one Research Analyst III (\$40,716) would provide the expertise for establishing protocols for research, evaluating the merits of the applications for research received and provide technical assistance to the grantees for ensuring the protocols are adhered to, one Attorney (\$53,000) would review rules and regulations to implement the program and responsibilities of the board and provide expertise regarding the intellectual property rights as described in the proposed legislation, and review all grant applications and awards for propriety and compliance with the law and regulations, and one Clerk Typist II (\$20,472) would support the Research Analyst III and the Attorney and provide backup to the Clerk IV.

DOH states board costs are based on operational costs for each board member per board meeting: \$65 per night lodging, \$45 per day meals, \$75 mileage round trip. Eight two day meetings per year are estimated. 7 members x \$65 lodging, \$90 meals, \$75 mileage = \$1,610 x 8 meetings = \$12,880 annual. No inflation has been assessed for board costs. DOH states they apply indirect costs to all non-General Revenue funds in accordance with the indirect cost rate negotiated with the federal government.

**Oversight** assumes a range of income and expenditures from \$0 to (\$45,800,000) from the Healthy Families Trust Fund and would net to \$0. This amount reflects the amount of new funding requested from the Healthy Families Trust Fund in the FY2002 Governor's budget request upon receiving tobacco settlement funds. **Oversight** assumes that any funding is subject to appropriation.

Officials from the **Office of Secretary of State (SOS)** state this proposal creates within the Department of Health the Life Sciences Research Program and board which would promulgate rules. SOS states that based on experience with other divisions, the rules, regulations, and forms issued by the Life Sciences Research Board could require as many as 32 pages in the Code of

State Regulations. For any given rule, roughly half again as many pages are published in the Missouri Register in the Code because cost statements, fiscal notes, and the like are not repeated  
ASSUMPTION (continued)

in Code. These costs are estimated. The estimated cost of a page in the Missouri Register is \$23. The estimated cost of a page in the Code of State Regulations is \$27. The actual cost could be more or less than the numbers given. The fiscal impact of this proposal in future years is unknown and depends upon the frequency and length of rules filed, amended, rescinded, or withdrawn.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process. Any decisions to raise fees to defray costs would likely be made in subsequent fiscal years.

Officials from the **State Auditor's Office (SAU)** assumed one additional Staff Auditor II (\$35,000) and related expense and equipment would be needed to perform the duties of this proposal.

**Oversight** has also not reflected any auditing costs due to expenditures being subject to appropriation.

**Office of Attorney General** officials did not respond to our fiscal impact request.

**Oversight** assumes that tobacco settlement funds would be deposited into the General Revenue Fund in absence of this proposal. **Oversight** also notes that Missouri's tobacco settlement funds have been, thus far, deposited in an escrow fund and assumes that payments for 1998 through 2000 would be deposited at one time and payments for 2001 would also be made during FY 2002; therefore, the figure for FY 2002 represents three years worth of estimated payments (There were no payments in calendar year 1999).

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
---	---------------------	---------	---------

**GENERAL REVENUE FUND#**

<u>Loss - General Revenue Fund</u>			
Tobacco settlement revenue#	(\$45,800,000)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND#</b>	<b><u>(\$45,800,000)</u></b>	<b><u>(UNKNOWN)</u></b>	<b><u>(UNKNOWN)</u></b>

**LIFE SCIENCES RESEARCH FUND**

Cost - Department of Health

Personal services (6 FTE)	\$0 to (\$201,450)	\$0 to (\$247,784)	\$0 to (\$253,978)
Fringe benefits	\$0 to (\$67,143)	\$0 to (\$82,586)	\$0 to (\$84,651)
Expense and equipment	<u>\$0 to (\$100,950)</u>	<u>\$0 to (\$92,190)</u>	<u>\$0 to (\$85,149)</u>
Total <u>Cost</u> - Department of Health	<u>\$0 to (\$369,543)</u>	<u>\$0 to (\$422,560)</u>	<u>\$0 to (\$423,778)</u>

**ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LIFE  
SCIENCES RESEARCH FUND\***

<b><u>\$0 TO</u></b>	<b><u>\$0 TO</u></b>	<b><u>\$0 TO</u></b>
<b><u>(\$369,543)</u></b>	<b><u>(\$422,560)</u></b>	<b><u>(\$423,778)</u></b>

**\*Does not include grant expenditures  
and auditing costs.**

**HEALTHY FAMILIES TRUST  
FUND#**

Income - State of Missouri

Tobacco settlement#	\$0 to \$45,800,000	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown
---------------------	------------------------	----------------	----------------

Costs - Life Sciences Research Board

Grant expenditures#	<u>\$0 to</u> <u>(\$45,800,000)</u>	<u>\$0 to</u> <u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>\$0 to</u> <u>(Unknown)</u>
---------------------	--	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

**ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON  
HEALTHY FAMILIES TRUST  
FUND#**

<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>
-------------------	-------------------	-------------------

FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government

FY 2002  
(10 Mo.)

FY 2003

FY 2004

\$0

\$0

\$0

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small businesses would expect to be fiscally impacted to the extent they may receive grant-in-aids and incur additional expenses as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal would create a Life Sciences Research Program within the Department of Health. A nine member Life Sciences Research Board would distribute grants to increase the capacity and infrastructure for, and improve the quantity and quality of, life science research in the state. The Board may make provisions for peer review panels to research and review grant proposals. Grant recipients would have the duty to ensure timely disclosure of their research findings to the scientific community, and to promote public availability of their inventions and other intellectual property developed in the performance of research funded by a grant award. Institutions or organizations receiving grant awards would retain intellectual property rights, but the Life Sciences Board would be authorized to adopt reasonable regulations to insure that intellectual property rights are utilized in the public interest.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Health  
Office of State Auditor  
Office of Secretary of State  
Office of State Treasurer

**NOT RESPONDING: Office of Attorney General**

L.R. No. 0834-08  
Bill No. SCS for SB 226  
Page 6 of 6  
March 6, 2001

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeanne Jarrett". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "J".

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA  
Director

March 6, 2001