

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 1893-02  
Bill No.: SCS for SB 476, 427, & 62  
Subject: Elections: Appropriations  
Type: Original  
Date: March 19, 2001

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
General Revenue	(\$5,050,986 to Unknown)	(\$5,053,734 to Unknown)	(\$5,055,069 to Unknown)
Technology	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds</b>	<b>(\$5,050,986 to Unknown)</b>	<b>(\$5,053,734 to Unknown)</b>	<b>(\$5,055,069 to Unknown)</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>(Unknown)</b>	<b>(Unknown)</b>	<b>(Unknown)</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

---

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **Office of Attorney General's Office** assume any action that could be required pursuant to this proposal, could be absorbed with existing appropriations and staff.

Officials of the **Kansas City Board of Election Commission** stated that the main fiscal impact with this proposal would be the costs of conducting early voting. Officials stated that early voting as envisioned could increase local costs in Kansas City by as much as \$20,000, possibly more. Officials stated costs would be driven by staffing and overtime. Officials estimate the costs of other requirements of this proposal, regulations, new posters at polls, multilingual forms, provisional ballots, etc. at approximately \$10,000.

Officials of the **St. Louis County Board of Election Commission** stated that the costs associated with early voting would cost additional money for workers, overtime pay, postage, etc.. Officials estimated costs for a 4 year election cycle would be \$2,745,511.

Officials of the **Office of Secretary of State** assume they would need 1.0 FTE, a Grant Administrator. Officials estimate costs for salary, fringe benefits, equipment and expense for 10 months of FY 2002 \$50,986; for FY 2003 \$53,734 ; and \$55,069 in FY 2004. Officials estimated that to increase election judges salaries to \$7 dollars per hour would cost less than \$100,000 in even numbered years, and approximately \$100,000 in odd numbered years.

Officials of the **Office of Administration** stated that only Section 115.062 pertains to the operations or budget of OA. Since the State's proportional cost for transactions is not delineated in either 115.065 or 115.157, and since the state's reimbursement to election authorities for costs related to 115.157 is specifically subject to appropriation, it appears that the state does not have a current obligation. Officials stated that there are funds appropriated for this purpose in Fiscal Year 2001 (\$720,430), and the Governor has recommended the same amount for Fiscal Year 2002.



<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
<b><u>Income</u></b> to Election Authorities from SOS for equipment grant *	\$5,000,000 to Unknown	\$5,000,000 to Unknown	\$5,000,000 to Unknown
<b><u>Income</u></b> to Election Authorities from SOS for election judge salary*	\$0 to \$100,000	\$100,000	\$0 to \$100,000
<b><u>Cost</u></b> to Election Authorities from upgrading election equipment *	(\$5,000,000 to Unknown)	(\$5,000,000 to Unknown)	(\$5,000,000 to Unknown)
<b><u>Cost</u></b> to Election Authorities from increasing election judges to \$7 *	\$0to (\$100,000)	(\$100,000)	\$0 to (\$100,000)
<b><u>Cost</u></b> to Local Election Authorities for Voting Early requirements, and other election related requirements	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>
<b>Estimated Net Effect to Local Government</b>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>

\* **Costs or Income to Counties is Subject to Appropriation by the General Assembly.**

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This act makes numerous changes to existing election law. With respect to voting, election authorities shall establish an advance voting period. Sole caretakers of the ill or disabled may vote absentee. Persons in federal service in remote areas may fax their absentee ballots. Polling places must have large posters of voting instructions. The Secretary of State may develop multi-lingual voting instructions and sample ballots. Butterfly ballots are prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Secretary of State. This act authorizes the use of computerized voting systems.

Election judges may take time off from work without penalty. Election judges may be from any political party or have no party affiliation. Also, each established political party may have a

DESCRIPTION (continued)

non-voting representative to boards of election authorities.

This act directs the Secretary of State to set statewide uniform counting standards. This act deletes the provision giving election judges discretion to count ballots where a voter's intent seems clear. This act also deletes the provision stating that ballots will not be rejected for containing fewer marks than required by law.

This act authorizes the Secretary of State to request appropriations from the General Assembly for election services and equipment and, subject to appropriations, to administer matching grant programs for improving voting processes or equipment, for youth voting programs, and for increasing pay for election judges. This act permits election authorities to receive federal grants for improving the election process in federal elections.

Regarding enforcement of election laws, this act grants the Secretary of State subpoena powers to investigate allegations of election law violations. The Attorney General may prosecute allegations of election fraud and irregularity.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Administration  
Secretary of State  
Office of Attorney General  
St. Louis County Board of Election Commission  
Kansas City Board of Election Commission



Jeanne Jarrett, CPA  
Director  
March 19, 2001