

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. NO.: 1983-01  
BILL NO.: SB 509  
SUBJECT: Appropriations; State Departments  
TYPE: Original  
DATE: March 5, 2001

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
None	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
None	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses  
This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

---

**FISCAL ANALYSIS**

**ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of Administration (COA)** assume the proposed legislation should not result in additional costs or savings to the COA during the specified period. However, officials noted that there will be costs starting in FY 2005.

Officials from the **Department of Health (DOH)** assume the proposed legislation would not be expected to significantly impact the operations of the department. If the proposed legislation were to substantially impact the DOH programs, then the department would request funding through the appropriations process.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DES)** assume the proposal would result in the need to hire one Sr. Budget Analyst (1 FTE at \$47,616 per year) to develop, coordinate and/or conduct the cost/outcome analyses for the DES's individual services and programs. Annual costs, including salary, fringe and associated expense and equipment would be approximately \$70,000.

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development** assume the proposal could result in additional overtime payments in preparing for the review. However, it is not estimated to be a significant impact.

Officials from the **Department of Conservation (MDC)** assume the performance-based budget review could require some additional personnel time and, consequently, some fiscal impact. The amount of fiscal impact is unknown.

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources (DNR)** assume the level of effort that will be involved in the performance-based review evaluation that may be requested by the budget and appropriations committees is unknown. Depending on the level of effort required in completing these performance-based reviews, the DNR may need to consider requesting resources for this effort.

Officials from the **Coordinating Board for Higher Education (CBH)** the majority of the CBH staff's budgetary work involves cooperating with the 23 Missouri institutions of higher education. Since it is unknown specifically what would be included in such a review, it is unknown whether or not current CBH staffing levels would be adequate to perform a review including all Missouri public colleges and universities.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Director's Office (DPS)** assume the proposed  
ASSUMPTION (continued)

legislation would result in fiscal impact due to the need of additional FTE and the related expense and equipment. The DPS would need two (2) Accountant II's (\$37,488/each) to compile data and supporting documentation for performance-based review. Officials noted that once the process is developed, it would be an ongoing process. The DPS would also need one (1) Clerk Typist II (\$20,472) to provide clerical support for performance-based review procedure and one (1) Computer Information Tech. Specialist (\$47,100) to assist smaller divisions to develop computer programs which will collect data needed to compile spreadsheets to support performance-based review.

Officials from the **Department of Transportation** assume the performance-based review information necessary can not be determined at this time. Assuming that the performance-based budget review would require additional detailed information other than the information gathered by the department's current system, one additional Senior Budget Analyst will be needed. The Senior Budget Analyst will monitor and gather the information required for the performance-based budget reviews. Annual costs, including salary, fringe and associated expense and equipment would be approximately \$70,000.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections, Department of Revenue, Department of Insurance, Department of Mental Health, Department of Agriculture, Office of State Courts Administrator, Veterans' Commission, Missouri State Water Patrol, State Emergency Management Agency, Division of Liquor Control, Division of Fire Safety, Capitol Police** and the **National Guard** assume the proposed legislation would have either no or minimal fiscal impact on their agencies that could be absorbed with existing resources.

In response to similar legislation (HB 363), officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Division of Highway Safety** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on their agency.

In response to similar legislation (HB 363), officials from the **Department of Social Services** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on their agency.

**Oversight** assumes some agencies could experience increased workload to implement this proposal. However, it is possible additional duties could be absorbed with existing resources. The proposal states that this review will occur at least once every five years, on a rotating basis, after January 1, 2004. Therefore, this date includes only six (6) months of the scope of this fiscal note. If an agency determines that additional resources are needed, such resources could be requested through the normal budgetary process.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government

FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
(10 Mo.)		

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal requires performance-based reviews of every department, division or agency of state government at least once every 5 years after January 1, 2004.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Coordinating Board for Higher Education  
Department of Agriculture  
Department of Conservation  
Department of Corrections  
Department of Economic Development  
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education  
Department of Health  
Department of Insurance  
Department of Mental Health  
Department of Natural Resources  
Department of Public Safety - Capitol Police  
Department of Public Safety - Director's Office  
Department of Public Safety - Division of Fire Safety  
Department of Public Safety - Division of Highway Safety

SOURCES OF INFORMATION (continued)

Department of Public Safety - Division of Liquor Control  
Department of Public Safety - Missouri State Water Patrol

L.R. NO. 1983-01

BILL NO. SB 509

PAGE 5 OF 5

March 5, 2001

Department of Public Safety - National Guard

Department of Public Safety - State Emergency Management Agency

Department of Public Safety - Veterans' Commission

Department of Revenue

Department of Social Services

Department of Transportation

Office of Administration

Office of State Courts Administrator

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeanne Jarrett". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "J".

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA

Director

March 5, 2001